The New-York Times.

VOL. XXIV.....NO. 7407. NEW-YORK, SATURDAY, JUNE 12, 1875.—WITH SUPPLEMENT. PRICE FOUR CENTS.

WASHINGTON.

NEW TREASURY REGULATIONS.

RULES FOR THE PREVENTION OF SMUGGLING—THE SIOUX COMMISSION—THE CHORPENNING CLAIM—A REHEARING URGED.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

WASHINGTON, June 11.—The Treasury Department has issued new regulations concerning the examination of baggage of passengers arriving in the United States from foreign ports. Each passenger will be required to make a sworn statement of the number of his packages containing baggage; whether there is in such baggage any wearing apparel that has not been in use, and whether any articles are intended for the use of any other person. Also, whether it contains any piece goods, laces, jewelry, or other like effects, and whether any dutiable articles are concealed upon the person. Any misrepresentation of facts will subject the baggage to forfeiture. Blanks containing the declaration, together with questions to which sworn answers must be made, have been forwarded to the various ports of entry. It is expected that the new regulations will prevent much smuggling hitherto practiced by fashionable tourists.

THE CHORPENNING CLAIM.

There is a new attack upon the Government organized by the Chorpenning crowd, and they are now engaged in urging a rehearing and a readjustment of the old claim. Of course, it must be the desire of the Attorney General to do justice, and, if the *ex parte* statement of the case to which he must listen be strong enough, to demand further examination. No payment can be made till Congress votes an appropriation, and before there can be an appropriation there must be an investigation. John Cessna is credited with gaining a new hearing for Chorpenning. The action now is preliminary to measures before Congress next Winter.

THE COMMISSION TO VISIT THE SIOUX.

The commission to visit the Sioux country is not yet completely formed; but four of the members have been chosen and have accepted appointments. They are Senator Allison, Gen. Terry, ex-Congressman Comingo, and Mr. Hinman. The fifth Commissioner will possibly be Hon. F. W. Palmer, of the Chicago *Inter-Ocean*. Senator Morrill, of Maine, declined on account of ill-health. The commission will not leave the East before the middle of July. There are reports in circulation of an armed organization of 3,000 men who are determined to enter the Black Hills in defiance of the Army.

FAR WESTERN EXPLORATIONS.

MAJOR POWELL'S EXPEDITION—ITS ORGANIZATION AND WHAT IT HOPES TO ACCOMPLISH—THE SECTION OF COUNTRY THAT WILL BE VISITED.

From Our Own Correspondent.

WASHINGTON, Friday, June 11, 1875.

One of the most remarkable and interesting regions of the unexplored country of the West will be mapped and studied during the Summer by parties under the direction of Major J. W. Powell. The topographical work will be conducted by Prof. A. H. Thompson, with suitable assistance, and a tract about thirty by eighty miles, in the south-eastern portion of Utah, will be carefully and completely explored. Prof. Thompson's party is as follows: G. K. Gilbert, who will make a special investigation and study of the structural geology of the territory traversed. Mr. Gilbert was formerly connected with the explorations under the engineers of the Army. C. A. White will devote himself to paleontological studies. He was formerly State Geologist of Iowa, and is now leaving a professorship in Bowdoin College, Maine, to join Major Powell's exploration. Capt. C. E. Dutton, of the United States Ordnance Office, goes with Prof. Thompson's party especially to study volcanic rocks. The Assistant Topographers are Walter H. Graves, John M. Renshaw, O. D. Wheeler, and Robert Bell. Mr. Ward is the naturalist and Mr. J. K. Hiller the photographer. Mr. Hiller was two Summers with Major Powell in the boat explorations of the Grand Cañon, as was also Prof. Thompson. Mr. Ward will have charge of one of the barometric stations on the east of the territory to be explored, and will make a special study of the botany of the country. The party will rendezvous at Gunnison, Utah Territory, which is reached by railroad and stage from Salt Lake. The extreme surveys will extend to a point nearly 500 miles from the latter city. The territory to be visited embraces the San Rafael River, the Dirty Devil River, the Escalante and its northern tributaries, the Henry Mountains, the Sierra la Sol, and the region known by the Indian designation of *Toom-pin-wunear-tu-weap*. This means in English Land of the Standing Rocks. Scattered about over this region are monuments carved by the elements out of solid rock, varying from 100 or 200 to 1,000 feet in height. This land is situated on both sides of the Colorado River, near the junction of Grand and Green.

The entire territory to be explored by Prof. Thompson's party is an arid desert. Its general topographical features became known to Prof. Thompson, by a preliminary reconnoissance which he made across a portion of it a few years ago, during which he discovered the Escalante, a river before unknown. The country is traversed in every direction by cañons, from four or five hundred to four or five thousand feet deep. Sometimes it is necessary to travel along the brinks of these vast gorges several days before finding a place to cross, and sometimes two or three days are passed without meeting with a spring or "pocket" of water. No Indians live in this country, but they know most of the places where water can be found, and Indian guides must therefore be employed. In Prof. Thompson's former visit to this country he carried the primary triangles over a portion of it. This year the triangulation is to be completed, and the altitudes of peaks and the depths of cañons accurately measured. The Sierra la Sol is the Summer home of the Navajo Indians. During the Summer Navajo Mountain will be climbed and measured. It is a volcanic peak rising from the plateau, about ten miles back from the Grand Cañon. The altitude of the plateau is about 6,000 feet, and the summit of the mountain is supposed to be at least 6,000 feet higher. Major Powell says it is the largest single mountain mass in the United States. No white man has yet climbed it, though Major Powell was himself near the base of it during one of his voyages down the Colorado. This mountain is on the east side of the Grand Cañon, which can only be crossed at a point about seventy miles further down the river. It will be seen that Prof. Thompson and his party have before them a season of great labor, and they will endure some hardships, if for no other reason from the scarcity of water.

Major Powell will himself spend but little time this season in geological investigations. He will, however, take two or three weeks to secure further information upon a few points regarding the geological structure of the region of which his report will treat, which points are not yet entirely established. His final report upon the geology of the country he has explored will be completed next Winter. Major Powell is now engaged in a special study of the race of Indians which inhabits the region between the Rocky and the Sierra Mountain ranges, and he has now nearly a dozen of their dialects ready for publication and discussion. He will soon leave here to continue his ethnological investigations, and will travel through Southern Oregon, Western Nevada, and Eastern California, and will visit several Indian reservations to study Indian language, mythology, habits, customs, and tribal organizations. He will be accompanied by Mr. James C. Pilling, his stenographic Secretary, who is an expert in the work of reducing the Indian dialects to writing. In the Autumn Major Powell intends to visit the ancient Province of Tusayan, or the Pueblo cities in Northern Arizona, for the purpose of continuing his study of the remnant of a wonderful race, of which very little is now known.

NOTES FROM THE CAPITAL.

WASHINGTON, Friday, June 11, 1875.

NAVY ORDERS.

Lieut. Joseph Marthon is ordered as assistant in the Navigation Department in the Navy-yard at Boston, and Lieut. F. H. Delano to ordnance duty in the Navy-yard at Washington. Paymaster Edwin Putnam is ordered to the Navy-yard at Portsmouth, N. H. Capt. Ralph Chandler has been detached from the command of the Swatara on the 22d inst. and placed on waiting orders. Commander A. P. Cook is relieved from the command of the Alarm, and ordered to command the Swatara; Midshipmen H. H. Hosley, J. M. Helm, A. C. Hopson, David Daniels, N. R. Usher, and C. M. Winslow, on graduation from the Naval Academy, are ordered to report for duty on board the Tennessee on the 22d inst.; Passed Assistant Engineer L. W. Robinson is detached from the Navy-yard at Philadelphia and ordered to temporary duty as Inspector of Coal at Philadelphia; Paymaster A. J. Clark is detached from the Navy-yard at Portsmouth, N. H., and ordered to settle accounts.

APPOINTMENTS.

Peter G. Wheeler has been appointed Postmaster at Eufaula, Ala., vice C. P. Wheeler suspended. S. B. Brown has been appointed Internal Revenue Gauger for the First District of Illinois.

THE CANANDAIGUA.

Capt. Barrett, commanding the United States steam-ship Canandaigua, telegraphs from Key West, under date of June 9, as follows: "All well. Will leave here to-morrow for New-Orleans, via Pensacola."

THE NATIONAL GRANGE.

The head-quarters of the National Grange of the Patrons of Husbandry, which, ever since the organization of the order in 1868, has been in Washington, will probably be removed to Louisville, Ky., in a short time. At the last annual session of the National Grange in Charleston, S. C., in February last, the Executive Committee was charged with the selection of a new point for the head-quarters, to be located in one of the five Western States named, and the change was to be made within six months from the 1st of March last. The committee, it is understood, have, after due investigation, selected Louisville as the most eligible location, though it was expected that St. Louis would be chosen. Kentucky stands No. 5 as regards the number of granges, having 1,559. Indiana heads the list with 2,027 granges. Missouri has 2,026; Iowa, 2,004; Illinois, 1,584, and Kentucky, 1,559. The total number of granges in the United States is 23,500, with an estimated aggregate membership of 1,500,000. The official history of the order, just published by the Secretary, shows that the total receipts from 1868 to 1871, inclusive, were less than $5,000, while the receipts last year were $216,381. The order at present has $69,000 invested in Government bonds and $19,000 in cash on deposit at the financial agency in New-York.

THE COAL-MINE TROUBLES.

A MINERS' COMMITTEE IN PHILADELPHIA—PROSPECTS OF A COMPROMISE—THE PRESENT CONDITION OF THE LABORERS.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

PHILADELPHIA, June 11.—The committee from the Miners' and Laborers' Beneficial Association which was refused an interview by President Gowen, of the Reading Railroad, on Thursday last, succeeded to-day in gaining conference with that gentleman by two of its members, Messrs. A. B. Frazer and E. T. Davis. It was learned at the Reading Railroad office this afternoon that President Gowen refused to negotiate with the committee because he did not represent the Coal Exchange, and could not tell what that body would do. The miners asked Mr. Gowen if he would use his influence to secure a compromise, when he replied emphatically "No." They then wished to know if he would use his influence to prevent a compromise in case one should be effected with the Executive Committee of the Coal Exchange. He refused to give any definite answer to this question, but led the committee to believe that he would interpose all objection possible to prevent any compromise. The committee then telegraphed to Pottsville to the committee of three of the executive body of the Coal Exchange, to ascertain if they would negotiate, but up to a late hour to-night they had not received a reply. Your correspondent asked the miners what they would do if no compromise could be effected. They stated that they would then go to work at the rates offered and work for a month or two, when another strike, more fearful in its consequences than the present, one would be the result. The miners want a basis of ten per cent. off on contract work, and five per cent. on day labor, and no sliding scale at Port Carbon, which they say is ruinous to them. They say their funds are nearly out, and they want a chance to get more money, and settle up old accounts before new trouble com mences.

THE PIGEON-SHOOTING TOURNAMENT.

CLEVELAND, Ohio, June 11.—In the pigeon-shooting tournament this morning the ties of six in yesterday's shooting were shot off. Mr. Willard, of Windsor, Canada, took the prize, $50. The prizes offered to-day were: First, $300; second, $250; third, $150; fourth, $100, and fifth, $50. There were 128 entries. Fifteen killed 10 straight at 21 yards. At 26 yards 8 of the 15 dropped off, 7 falling back at 31 yards. The first prize was won by F. J. Wheat, of Cleveland, who killed twenty-five birds without a miss. Thirty men having killed nine birds each at 21 yards, they competed for the second prize, which was won by J. T. Quayle, of Galion, Ohio, who killed twenty-four out of twenty-five birds, South, winner of the first prize yesterday killing twenty-three. Darkness prevented the shooting off of the other ties, which will be finished in the morning. The attendance was large and the shooting fully up to that of yesterday.

THE CENTENNIAL EXHIBITION—ALLOTMENTS OF SPACE.

PHILADELPHIA, June 11.—The Director General of the International Exhibition is now making definite allotments of space to the foreign commissioners. The space required is in many cases very great, and American exhibitors cannot safely delay making their applications. A letter from the Consul General of the United States at Cairo announces that the Egyptian Commission is preparing the exhibit to be made by that country, and desires that quarters be provided for its residence in Philadelphia.

QUARANTINE PROCLAMATION AT NEW-ORLEANS.

NEW-ORLEANS, June 11.—A quarantine of ten days has been proclaimed by Gov. Kellogg against the ports of Havana and Vera Cruz, from the 10th of June; but, under a new regulation of the Board of Health, the ten days in the case of all vessels bringing a clean bill of health will begin to count from the time of their leaving the infected port for New-Orleans.

THE COLLEGIATE REGATTA.

THE MEN OF MAINE.

BOWDOIN'S REPRESENTATIVES—WHAT ENTHUSIASM DOES IN SPITE OF DIFFICULTIES—AN APPEAL TO THE WEALTHY ALUMNI OF BOWDOIN.

From our Own Correspondent.

BRUNSWICK, Me., Tuesday, June 8, 1875.

There are colleges where no general interest is taken in boating, and where the men join the boat crew entirely for the honor and glory of their Alma Mater. Such colleges have no class races—have no other boats on the water save those that are connected with the Saratoga crew. It is obvious that the chances against the victory of such crews are great. But wherever boating is really popular we will always find considerable excitement on the subject, independent of that which springs from the intercollegiate contest. The Juniors will have a boat and the Seniors will have another, and law and medicine will be represented on the water. This state of things exists very strongly at Yale, at Harvard, at Cornell, and in a less degree at Columbia. In all those colleges there are men famous at the oar who have never cared to represent their college before the world. At Cornell there is young Francis, son of Francis, of the Troy *Times*, who is an admirable sculler, but is only known to the good folks of Ithaca and Lake Cayuga. There was Paul Dana, at Harvard, also an excellent sculler, but who only cared to row on the Charles River. Wherever this status can be found there will always be an admirable nucleus for the creation of a formidable crew. And I found just this very condition of affairs at Bowdoin.

I hope the men of Maine will not consider it a slander it I say that Bowdoin College is a little out of the way. In spite of the fact that the greatest name in American literature is connected indissolubly with its history, that Nathaniel Hawthorne was educated and graduated here—and, by the by, Longfellow also—the remoteness of the situation has consecrated this university to the people of this State alone. Looking on the records of the students, of whom of all grades there are nearly 300, I found but few that were not from Maine. Yet, notwithstanding this isolation, it is but just to say that Bowdoin is fully abreast with other colleges in its ideas. Its gymnasium, although far less imposing than those of Williams and Princeton, is, perhaps, in essentials second to none in any university at home or abroad. By the regulations of the institution every student must either drill or attend the gymnasium for a certain portion of every day. It is compulsory; but during the boating season the crews who are engaged either for class races or for Saratoga are exempted, as also are the base-ball nines. Now, nothing can better show the genuine interest taken in rowing here than the fact that, although there are only six men exempted for the Saratoga race, there are in all forty men engaged on the water instead of the gymnasium. In other words, Bowdoin College has forty good oarsmen, who are constantly on the water, although six only will come before the public at Saratoga. Boating has taken root here, and is an accomplished fact.

This accounts for the good crews that this college has sent to the collegiate regattas. In 1872 it may be remembered that they took the lead and held it for two miles, when the bow oar had a nervous spasm and fell into the bottom of the boat. Amherst (the winners) on one side, and Harvard on the other, had been pressing the crew with alternate spurts, and the excitable nerves of the bow were so worked up that they proved too much for him. The next year, at Springfield, Bowdoin and Cornell were stationed on the extreme right—Bowdoin on the top of a sand-bar, and Cornell in the rushes and just behind a willow stump. It was, of course, a foregone conclusion that the crews who were in the shallows could not possibly win; but these two did so well that nobody has ever known which was fourth—Columbia, Cornell, or Bowdoin. I believe Columbia had the best right; but it was a day of utter confusion, and no one has ever been quite satisfied with all the decisions made on that occasion. The next year the men were in active rebellion against the authorities concerning drill, and so were not able to send a crew. This year they enter the field, and their boat will be one of the most formidable there.

	Age.	Height.	Weight.
E. H. Hall, bow and Captain...	19	5.10	163
Charles Sargent, port bow.....	22	5.09½	158
F. H. Crocker, starboard waist.	24	5.10½	175
J. F. Hall, port waist.....	23	5.10	170
F. C. Payson, starboard stroke.	19	5.10	159
J. M. Burleigh, stroke.....	21	5.11½	177
D. A. Sargent, substitute.....	25	5.09	170

E. H. Hall graduates this term, is from Windham, Me., 37 inches, natural size, round the chest, with a biceps of 13 and a forearm of 11¾.

Charles Sargent is of the Class of '76, is from Machias, Me., measures 38½ inches, natural size, round the chest, has a biceps of 12 inches and a forearm of 11¼.

F. H. Crocker is of '77, also from Machias, Me., measures 40 inches, natural size, round the chest, has a biceps 13½, with a forearm 11½.

J. F. Hall is of '78, from Disfield, Me., is 38½ inches, natural size, round the chest, has a biceps of 12 and a forearm of 11¼.

F. C. Payson is of '76, from Portland, Me., is 39½ round the chest, with a biceps of 13¼ and a forearm 11¼.

J. M. Burleigh is of '78, from South Berwick, Me., 40 inches round the chest, with a biceps of 15 inches and a forearm of 12.

D. A. Sargent, the substitute, is of '75, from Belfast, Me., measures 40 inches, natural size, round the chest, has a biceps of 14½ and a forearm of 13.

Gymnastics being compulsory, the University crew did not train exclusively during the Winter, but worked at the same general exercises as the rest of the college. The gymnasium drill is directed by a paid instructor, Mr. D. A. Sargent, who graduates at the college this year and will be the substitute at Saratoga. This gentleman is a firm disbeliever in getting up muscle for the occasion, especially on the arms. He says if you develop men specially for some great performance they will have to undevelop afterward. If you subject them to intense training to make them do things beyond their powers, you run the risk of crippling them vitally. He believes that the dissipation of pugilists and rowing men, and others who use their physical strength intensely, results from a law of compensation which makes them go as far in one direction as they had previously gone in the other. And he instanced Heenan, Brown, and Renforth. I think that his views are absolutely sound, and that his discipline of getting the men of the crew into the highest natural condition is the true one. It is certainly in accordance with the practice of Mr. Cook, of Yale, who has a thorough contempt for unnatural masses of muscle on the upper arm and for professional training. All the secrets are, in his opinion, either humbugs or worse. And the common sense of the country accepts his course as one of the conditions of college boating. But here and there one finds crews who think it absolutely necessary to get up impeding muscles, and who cannot be taught that it is the body that rows.

The Androscoggin became clear of ice all at once at the opening of the river. Generally the expectancies of the boating men are dallied with by the capricious stream for a week or more, during which the river is free of ice one hour and covered the next. On this occasion, however, all the ice came away at once, and the oarsmen went at their work vigorously. There is one little drawback in a poor college that has a taste for boating, and that is that it is very hard to get money, for when a student has to subscribe for a class boat he does not feel excessively liberal toward the college boat. So the Saratoga men of Bowdoin have had to practice in a species of boat called a lapstreak, which weighs at least 500 pounds if it weighs an ounce and looks very much like the cutter of a frigate. The difference between this boat and a shell is excessive, for the latter only weighs 140 pounds. Every man in the former has to drive through the water eighty-three and a third pounds of boat, besides his own weight, while in the latter he has only to force through twenty-three and a third pounds. As the crew rows twice every day, three miles in the morning and three in the afternoon, their practice is of a quality calculated to scare a weak brother. In fact, a man must be sound who pulls that heavy boat at the pace that Mr. Burleigh, the stroke, gives them. I timed them and found that they pulled, with perfect regularity, between thirty and thirty-one strokes to the minute; and they drove their old craft through the water at a gallant rate, every man throwing his body right into the stroke. It stands to reason that the stroke they pull is not the shell stroke. They must go slowly through the water—slowly, that is, in comparison with the racing stroke, because they are compelled, by the heaviness of their vessel, to displace so much more water. When they begin to pull in the shell they will find it a luxury after their long practice in this ponderous lapstreak.

The question whether they will pull in the shell or not is a monetary one, and has not yet been answered in the affirmative. I do most sincerely trust that this very gallant crew will not be forsaken by the old Alumni of Bowdoin. If all the admirers of Hawthorne would only contribute a trifle to the necessities of this college they would be in funds for many years. It seems to me that the Alumni of Bowdoin ought to feel intense pride in the honorable place which their college maintains in spite of its isolation from centres of wealth and literature. And this crew that goes to represent the old Alma Mater is composed of such sturdy, honest, and sweet-tempered enthusiasts that they cannot fail to win an honorable place for the white banner. They do not dare to hope for victory, because they go very late to Saratoga and have some difficulties in their way. But the Alumni of their college may rely upon it that the white ribbons will not be far from the front when the first boat crosses the line.

For myself, whatever an individual opinion may be worth, it is very much in their favor. Their coach, Mr. D. A. Sargent, is a man who evokes enthusiasm without loosening the restraints of discipline. The crew are to a man, what their measurements cannot depict them, very muscular. They are remarkably square-shouldered and broad-loined, with fairly-developed chests. They abound with vitality and good humor, and are very honest pullers. Every member of the crew throws his heart into the stroke, and you may look in vain for a man rowing only with his arms or not holding the water fairly. Of course, as they have not been tried in the shell, I cannot say a word about their racing stroke. In the shell a man must catch the water very quickly, or he will find the boat slide from him, and he will then not hold the water at all. If he catches too quickly he will hold too much, and will bucket, as the technical phrase is, which will interfere with the way on the boat. But with a fortnight's hard coaching the exact time and manner will surely be acquired by bright men such as these. If their Alumni, however, do not help them, I don't see how they can go, for they have no boat. GAR.

ANOTHER DARING BURGLARY IN BROOKLYN.

PARTIALLY SUCCESSFUL ATTEMPT TO ROB A HOUSE IN THE NEIGHBORHOOD OF MR. SCHUTE'S RESIDENCE—ESCAPE OF THE THIEF.

A daring and partially successful attempt at burglary, which is specially remarkable from being made, as if in contempt of the Brooklyn Police, upon a house in the immediate neighborhood of that occupied by Mr. Schute, who, it will be remembered, was seriously, and probably fatally, shot by a burglar on Tuesday morning last, was fortunately frustrated at an early hour yesterday morning. The house attacked is a three-story frame structure, situated at No. 122 Pulaski street, Brooklyn, and occupied by Mr. Joseph A. Cook and his family. About 2 o'clock A. M. Mrs. Cook was aroused from her sleep by hearing a noise in the hallway outside her bedroom door. Her husband was slumbering heavily, and remembering the Schute case she feared to wake him. After waiting for a few moments she sat up in bed and called out, "Who is there?" She had hardly uttered the words when the form of a man appeared at the door of the bedroom. The burglar, for such he was, turned a dark lantern full on Mrs. Cook, and glaring fiercely on her, raised his hand in a threatening manner, and then turning on his heel proceeded down stairs. The bedroom of the Cooks, like that of the Schutes, was on the second floor. As soon as she had recovered from her fright Mrs. Cook screamed and awakened her husband. Mr. Cook, when he was fully aroused, found his wife at the open window, screaming for help, and it was some minutes before she had sufficiently overcome her agitation to explain the cause of her fright. While at the window Mrs. Cook saw the burglar, or a man she supposed to be him, run across Tompkins avenue, and disappear in the direction which the assassin of Mr. Schute is supposed to have taken. The screams of Mrs. Cook aroused the whole neighborhood, and in a little time the Police and a number of citizens had gathered around the house. As in the Schute case, so in this, search was at once instituted for the burglar in the neighborhood. No arrest, however, was made, nor has any been made up to the present.

An examination of the house revealed the fact that the burglar had obtained entrance by pushing back the catch of the back-basement window. After entering the basement he collected a quantity of silverware and placed it on a table ready for removal. Finding that, in order to make a further search of the house, it would be necessary to provide himself with a dark-lantern, the housebreaker improvised one by cutting a hole in the crown of a brown straw hat belonging to one of Mr. Cook's children, and proceeded to explore the other apartments in the house. Just outside the door of Mrs. Cook's bedroom, a dress belonging to that lady hung on the balusters. Fastened in it were two gold ornaments, the glitter of which attracted the burglar. These the intruder secured, but, owing to the hurried manner of his retreat, he was unable to carry off the silverware. The only clue left behind by the burglar was a Scotch cap, found near the silverware on the table in the basement.

CALIFORNIA POLITICS.

THE REPUBLICAN STATE CONVENTION.

NOMINATION OF T. G. PHELPS FOR GOVERNOR AND JOSEPH M. CAVIS FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR—THE STATE PLATFORM OF 1871 AND THE NATIONAL PLATFORM OF 1872 REAFFIRMED—INDORSEMENT OF PRESIDENT GRANT AND APPROVAL OF HIS LETTER ON THIRD TERM—REFORMS SUGGESTED.

SAN FRANCISCO, Cal., June 11.—The Republican State Convention met at Sacramento yesterday afternoon. Hon. A. A. Sargent presided. The convention adopted strong anti-railroad resolutions, which were supported by George C. Gorham in a vigorous speech denouncing the Central Pacific. Gov. Pacheco was withdrawn as a candidate for Governor, and T. G. Phelps nominated by acclamation. Joseph M. Cavis was nominated for Lieutenant Governor, and O. H. Hallet for Secretary of State. The following is the balance of the State ticket: Controller, J. J. Green; Surveyor, R. E. Gardner; Treasurer, William Beckman; Attorney General, E. D. Sawyer; Clerk of the Supreme Court, Grant I. Taggart, and Superintendent of Public Instruction, Ezra C. Carr. The convention adopted the following platform:

The Republican Party of California, in State Convention assembled, makes the following additional declaration of principles reaffirming the State Platform of 1871 and the National Platform of 1872:

Resolved, That we have undiminished confidence in the wisdom, patriotism, and integrity of the present Chief Magistrate of the United States, U. S. Grant, and join our political brethren throughout the Union in the cordial and earnest support of his administration, in the fullest recognition of his illustrious career in the military and civil service, and in condemnation of that rancorous party spirit which prompts his and our enemies to as unconsidered and unjust attacks on him as those to which his great predecessors, Washington, Jackson, and Lincoln, were subjected during their administrations.

Resolved, That the letter addressed by the President to the Chairman of the Pennsylvania Republican State Convention upon the subject of his succession is a full refutation of the slanders of those who charged him with intriguing for re-election; that we accept it as explicit to a final settlement of the third term agitation created by our enemies solely to arouse jealousies and dissensions in the Republican Party.

Resolved, That the thirteenth, fourteenth, and fifteenth amendments to the Constitution of the United States, added to that instrument by the votes of the requisite three-fourths of the States of the Union, are as sacred and binding as any of its provisions; that they are to be maintained by the enforcement of all Congressional enactments, notwithstanding the opposition, secret or avowed, of the Democratic Party, or hostile white leagues in the Southern States.

Resolved, That the conduct of that portion of the people in the South who defy the fifteenth amendment, and seek by intimidation or force to prevent the lawful exercise of the elective franchise by the great body of colored citizens resident therein, is a rebellious defiance of the national authority, and an attempt to prevent the election of the next President by the people, calculated to arouse the worst passions of men, and to disturb the peace of the nation, and should be met by all law-abiding citizens North and South as an effort to revive the flames of civil war. While admitting that differences of opinion exist as to the extent of the conspiracy against the constitutional election of the President in 1876, we deem it well to caution the people against slumbering in the face of danger.

Resolved, That with all our countrymen of whatever schism who yield obedience to the Constitution and laws, and who do not defend or justify those who disobey them, we desire to cultivate fraternal relations without regard to mere party difference; will at all times unite with them to promote justice and good order, and public tranquillity.

Resolved, That the cost of the State and several county governments can and ought to be reduced one-half, and to that work the next Legislature should address itself. This can be done by a readjustment of public business, curtailment of the large list of officers and employes, and such reduction of the salaries and fees as will place the public servants on a footing as to compensation with persons in private business, and immediately abate the almost universal preference for public over private employment; honesty and economy in the maintenance of the various institutions of the State; total abandonment of the practice of voting the people's money to institutions which were called into existence without State action, and by additional checks and safeguards to render official peculation difficult of concealment and surer of punishment when discovered.

The next resolutions demands the punishment of citizens adopting corrupt practices.

Resolved, That the Republican Party will aid in the development of the resources of the State by all legitimate means. As it appears that the agricultural capability of large sections cannot be fully shown unless some practicable system of irrigation is adopted, the Republican Party demands of the Legislature that it assume control of the various lakes and rivers, subject only to mining and mechanical rights, and provide for its distribution over the largest possible area of irrigable land by the adoption of a comprehensive system that shall make the irrigation works to be constructed for this purpose part and portion of the penalty of the various districts, and to be wholly subject to the control and management of the owners of the irrigable lands therein.

Resolved, That the freedom of the State from ecclesiastical control is of equal importance with the maintenance of religious freedom from State control. That the common schools and institutions of the State were established as a preventive of the crime and poverty which attend ignorance, and we will tolerate no interference with it from any quarter, and that any effort to divide the school fund for the purpose of supporting sectarian schools with portions thereof shall be met with all the resistance in our power.

Resolved, First—That the Central Pacific Railroad is a State corporation, and as such amenable to the laws of the State concerning corporations. Second—That the relations of that company to the nation are simply those of agent and principal, and debtor and creditor, so far as their property within this State is concerned. Third—The power of the State over said corporation is far from having been surrendered by the act of the Legislature in April as claimed by that company; it was rather affirmed thereby in these words: "Said company is to be subject to all laws of the State concerning railroad and telegraph lines." Fourth—That the right to regulate fares and freights whenever it exists is a condition annexed to the franchise, and is necessarily vested in the Government by which the franchise has been granted. The right to collect tolls is one of the essential franchises of railroad corporations, and the power to regulate these is simply a power to regulate the franchise under which they are collected, and the power must be exercised by the authority creating the corporation. Fifth—That the existence of the Central Pacific corporation and its right to operate roads in California rest exclusively upon the authority of the State law; that its natural character is limited to retain the functions which it derived by the State's consent from Congress, such as the right to operate its road outside of the State, and to create liens upon its entire line in favor of the National Government and other creditors. Sixth—That, as a necessary result of the foregoing, while Congress has the right to regulate tolls over the road on through and inter-State traffic, the State Legislature has absolute and exclusive power to regulate the rates of freight and fares between all points within this State. Seventh—That experience has shown that the railroad companies, where left uncontrolled, naturally look exclusively to their own interests; often disregard the interests and convenience of the public they were created to serve, whereby unreasonable rates for freight and fare have been and are still imposed, and unjust discrimination made against communities and classes of merchandise, and many settlements and towns put to inconvenience and even seriously injured for the building up of localities in which members of the company are interested. Eighth—That we recommend that the people should exact in advance from candidates for the Legislature an unequivocal pledge, first, that they will, if elected, vote for such reductions in the rates of freights and fares as will leave to the railroad companies fair incomes on the actual cost of construction of their roads, and allow for maintaining and operating the same to enable them to meet their just obligations; second, that they will to that end institute a thorough investigation into the affairs of corporations, as they have ample power to do, in order to ascertain the real cost of construction of their roads, and the annual outlays for maintaining and operating the same; and third, that they will, in adjusting a tariff of freights and fares, abolish all unfair discrimination between some classes of merchandise, and equalize rates between different localities, having due regard to grades and curves.

Resolved, That the Republican Party disclaim any sympathy with indiscriminate war upon associated capital, with blind disregard of its rights. It is entirely independent of all corporate power, and it is opposed to all schemes in State, county, and city, whereby, under pretense of providing gas and water to communities, or irrigation for farming, a few men seek to enrich themselves by imposing heavy burdens on the people. It repels all attempts of corporations to force into office through Republican conventions men who will guard their own interests rather than those of the public, and it expects to be judged by its acts and the character of its candidates, rather than by the false accusations of its enemies, who, after having had the executive power of the State for the past eight years divided equally between them, can present no better claim to public confidence than loud and empty professions, and horror at the alleged corruptions and profligacy in public affairs which they themselves have managed and controlled.

The eleventh resolution invites the co-operation of the farming interests in carrying out the measures of the platform.

The twelfth resolution decries disunion in the Republican ranks, and invites unity of action in the approaching Presidential election.

THE LOSS OF THE VICKSBURG.

THE DOMINION GOVERNMENT TO SEND STEAMERS TO SEARCH FOR MISSING BOATS.

MONTREAL, June 11.—Messrs. Torrance & Co. have nothing further about the wreck of the Vicksburg. They have been in communication with the Government as to sending out steamers in search of the missing boats. The following telegrams have been received in answer to their dispatches:

OTTAWA, June 11.

Thomas Cramp, of D. Torrance & Co., Montreal.

Telegram received. Huntingdon is in Montreal. Lady Head is the only boat we have; have telegraphed to know if she is available. Have also telegraphed to the Government of Newfoundland requesting them to dispatch a steamer in search of missing boats. Will advise you when I get reply.

(Signed,) R. W. SCOTT.

OTTAWA, June 11.

D. Torrance & Co., Montreal:

Your telegram has been sent to the Minister of Marine, Dorchester, N. B. The Secretary of State has telegraphed to the Newfoundland Government to see if they could send a steamer.

(Signed,) WILLIAM SMITH.

DORCHESTER, N. B., June 11.

To D. Torrance & Co., Montreal:

Your telegram just received. I will move in the matter at once, with view to meet your wishes.

(Signed,) A. J. SMITH.

PASSENGERS BY THE VICKSBURG.

MONTREAL, June 11.—The names of only fifteen of the steerage passengers by the steam-ship Vicksburg can at present be procured. They are as follows:

C. Perran, residence unknown.
G. R. Armstrong, of Markham.
Phillipe Penil, obtained his ticket at Quebec.
Isaac Rusor, of Markham.
Mrs. E. Gunning and infant, of Sherbrooke.
Mr. Griffith, of Minnesota.
John Delaney, of Melbourne, P. Q.
G. Burner, Mary Burner, Thomas Burner, Minnie and George Burner, all of one family, supposed to be from Montreal.
R. Corbett, of Montreal.
Mrs. J. C. Barry, of Montreal.
A. Knople, residence unknown.

THE STEAM-SHIP AND HER CARGO.

MONTREAL, June 11.—The steam-ship Vicksburg was an iron vessel, built in Scotland, and considered the neat ship of the Dominion Line. She was of about 2,500 tons, well engined and fitted, and commanded by able and efficient officers. Capt. Bennett was late of the Allan Line of steam-ships. Her value is stated at $325,000, and is fully insured in England. Her cargo consisted of 21,100 bushels of wheat, shipped by W. Gunn & Co., insured for the consignees in England. 11,000 bushels of wheat, shipped by R. Simms & Co., insurance not known; 20,366 bushels of wheat and 7,945 bushels of peas, shipped by D. Butters & Co., insurance not known; 92 head of cattle, shipped by James McShane, insured for $14,000 in the Royal Canadian.

THE VICKSBURG WARNED OF HER DANGER BY ANOTHER STEAMER.

OTTAWA, Ontario, June 11.—A passenger by the Dominion steamer Quebec, who arrived in this city yesterday, reports that that vessel spoke the Vicksburg just as the latter was about encountering the ice, and that the Captain of the Quebec warned the ill-fated vessel not to risk the passage, as it was only with difficulty that the Quebec was enabled to get through.

LOOKING OUT FOR THE BOATS.

ST. JOHN, N. F., June 11.—Nothing has been seen here of the missing boats of the steamer Vicksburg. A sharp look out is being kept.

THE WRECK OF THE FRIGATE CUMBERLAND.

THE SAFE RECOVERED BY A DIVER—FROM $60,000 TO $100,000 IN GOLD BELIEVED TO BE IN IT.

NORFOLK, Va., June 11.—Capt. Brown, of the schooner J. C., arrived here to-day in charge of an iron safe of the United States man-of-war Cumberland, which was run into and sunk in Hampton Roads by the Confederate ram Virginia, in 1862. Divers had been at work on the wreck ten years, having in view the recovery of this safe. The lucky man had only been at the wreck forty-eight hours when he found the safe buried in three or four feet of mud. The water at that place is seventy-eight feet deep. By the explosion of a torpedo the safe was cracked, and, as it was hoisted on deck, a few pieces of gold coin dropped out. It is generally believed that the safe contains between $60,000 and $100,000 in gold.

It is estimated that more than $100,000 have been lost by different parties in trying to recover this treasure. The safe and treasure belong to Capt. Brown and O. E. Maltby, of this city, and Herbert Smith, of Detroit, Mich.

While Capt. Brown was searching the wreck he came across a petrified human body in a perfect state of preservation. It was unhurt by crabs or fish, and the flesh was extremely hard. The Captain supposes the body to be that of an officer, and he intends to return and get it.

SOUTH CAROLINA AND THE BUNKER HILL CENTENNIAL.

PRESENTATION OF A FLAG TO A CHARLESTON MILITARY ORGANIZATION—A NOTEWORTHY LETTER FROM GOV. CHAMBERLAIN.

CHARLESTON, S. C., June. 11.—Gov. Chamberlain has obtained for presentation to the Washington Light Infantry, who start to-morrow for Bunker Hill, an elegant flag, designed after that under which the victory of Fort Moultrie was won in 1776. In his letter requesting Hon. W. D. Porter to make the presentation in his name, the Governor says: "I wish that this flag may be received by the Washington Light Infantry as a proof of my personal and official interest in this organization, and especially in the purposes and feelings which inspire their present visit to Massachusetts. I wish that its presence at Bunker Hill may signify the sincere veneration in which the people of South Carolina hold all who bore a part in founding the American nation. I wish that it may call to mind, wherever it may be seen, the early patriotism which gave to America and the world the examples and memories of Eutaw and Bunker Hill, of Lexington and King's Mountain, and the hundred other battle-fields where South Carolina and Massachusetts, the North and the South, mingled their best blood. If that early patriotism, if those costly sacrifices are to have full fruition, it will be when the North and the South shall again be united by the indissoluble bond of their love of country. If the recent bitter estrangements are to be succeeded by the sweet rewards of peace, it will be when by the common efforts of the North and the South a free and just National Government shall command the love and honor of all sections and States. To hasten such results is the function of those memorial observances in which the Washington Light Infantry and this flag of South Carolina will bear a significant and honorable part.

I beg you to int

AMONG THE MAIL BAGS.

A FEW OF THE SECRETS OF THE NEW-YORK POST OFFICE.

THE PUBLIC RESPONSIBLE FOR MANY OF THE SUPPOSED LOSSES—MISSING LETTERS AND THEIR HISTORIES—CARELESSNESS OF BOX OWNERS—A CASE IN POINT—HOW THE LOCK BOXES ARE ROBBED BY CLERKS AND OFFICE BOYS—OPERATIONS OF THE THIEVES IN THE SUMMER OF 1874—DIFFICULTIES IN THE WAY OF DETECTION—FINAL ARREST AND CONFESSION OF THE ROBBERS—WORKINGS OF THE MONEY-ORDER DEPARTMENT—HOW MONEY ORDERS ARE LOST AND RECOVERED—NECESSITY OF SYSTEM ON THE PART OF PRIVATE FIRMS AND THE PUBLIC.

Behind what was once the Sunday-school gallery of the old Middle Dutch Church is now located the Searchers' Department of the New-York Post Office. The management of this important bureau of the postal service is in charge of John H. Hallett, who for nearly half a century has, day in and day out, been at his post discharging duties that seem never to try his patience or tax his courtesy. He may be justly called an impersonation of that virtue, for no one without unusual endowments in the way of self-control could satisfactorily perform his duties in a manner acceptable to both the service and the public. As it is, this official is daily the target for all the denunciation and abuse that disappointed and perplexed correspondents hurl at the Post Office officials.

A VERY COMMON CASE.

On a recent occasion a gentleman, who was afterward ascertained to be a prominent hop merchant, accosted him in a complaining mood desiring to know why it was that his letters so frequently miscarried or never turned up. The imperturbability of the venerable official as he interrogated the merchant regarding the destination of the strayed letter, and the *insouciance* with which he informed him that it would be looked after, was not calculated to soothe the irritation of the loser. After the latter had departed the Superintendent turned to the writer and said, "That was a mild complaint. When a missing letter contains a draft, or, as they frequently do, money—for there are many merchants who will not register a letter—then they come at me red hot. The fact that the letter contained money is frequently, to the complainant, convincing proof of the dishonesty of our clerks. Now, in this last case, the letter was intended for Newark, N. Y. The writer, should I have asked him, would never have admitted that he directed it Newark, N. J., but that is just where it is, and it will be here to-morrow morning. Only the other day an intelligent gentleman came to me in high dudgeon, stating that a money order which he had directed to Hyde Park, Mass., had never reached the payee. There was but one thing to do, which was to take all the particulars on a printed form. There were other complainants waiting to recite their grievances, and any attempt on my part to explain where his letter probably was would have led to argument. Notwithstanding the gentleman's assurances that he had posted the letter himself in the Eastern drop, I asked him if he was sure he had made no mistake in directing it. It was with him as with most all others, it was simply adding fuel to the fire. Well, I sent to Hyde Park, N. Y., and the return mail brought me the letter plainly directed Hyde Park, N. Y. There is no red tape in this office." Pointing to a well-filled book-rack, he said, "There are the Directories of all the principal cities; by the aid of those, hundreds of misdirected letters are daily put on the right track. In the Distribution Department, Superintendent Yeomans, or his assistant, John Brady, are always at hand to alter discrepancies that the vigilant clerks hourly detect. This has not always been so, but Postmaster James, like his predecessors, has a policy of his own, and his orders are that the public shall have the benefit of all the knowledge which his subordinates may possess. As he forcibly expressed it, there are a thousand ways of doing a thing wrong, but only one of doing it right. The increase of complaints regarding lost letters is not proportionate to the increase of the service. Previous to the introduction of the register and money-order systems, and before the express business was known, special agents were kept at work constantly. Down stairs you will find Col. Forrester, who was one of the dozen letter-carriers of this office fifty years ago. I think you will ascertain from his experience that the public are less careless nowadays than they were in the good old times."

REMINISCENCES OF THIRTY YEARS AGO.

The writer found that Col. Forrester was Superintendent of the Newspaper Department, and while sorting the multitudinous bundles, which his clerks would swiftly distribute, he gave an interesting account of the trials and tribulations of a Post Office official in the early days of the Republic. Everything, remarked this venerable servant of the Government, improved with age, except ourselves. In olden times, everybody and anybody handled mail matter at both ends, before and after it was in post. Now they are more particular. I remember that once quite a sum of money was sent from Naples, N. Y., to a firm in this City. They were on my route, and the fact that they complained that the letter never reached them lost me many an hour's sleep and caused the Postmaster much anxiety. A special agent investigated the Naples office, and decoy letters were sent each way, but nothing "crooked" was detected. Several weeks followed, when one day I carelessly inquired if the letter had ever turned up. One of the firm good-humoredly remarked that I need not give myself any concern about that letter. A persistent inquiry on my part, however, elicited the fact that the letter had been received by one of the clerks, after bank hours, and carelessly stuck in the safe. It had fallen behind an old ledger, and when discovered, not one of the firm took the trouble or thought it necessary to acquaint the Postmaster or myself of the fact. In 1831 a firm in Pearl street, who had instructed me to push their letters under the door when it was locked, complained of the loss of a letter containing two $1,000 bills on the old United States Bank. Of coure I kept no record of the letters, nor did I ever look to see where they came from. Six months followed, when on taking up the oil-cloth in their store they discovered the lost letter, which had slid underneath just far enough to escape observation. All such cases are very annoying and vexatious to every Post Office official, but, if your conscience is clear, you soon get used to it. As an instance of how confiding some merchants can be with their own employes, and how ready they always are to suspect a Government official guilty of dishonesty, let me describe to you an incident which occurred some years ago:

A prominent shipping house who now own a fleet of European steamers were daily in receipt of letters from the West containing money for passage tickets to be forwarded to Ireland. They frequently complained of the loss of their letters, positively assuring the Postmaster that one of their own firm received their letters. Special Agent Holbrook worked on the case day and night, but was baffled at every turn. One day he followed the young member of the firm from the office after he had received the letters for the firm. It was raining, and the merchant's umbrella rested on his head, the handle being supported between his arm and breast. On his way to the store Holbrook saw the letters go from one side pocket into the other and the torn fragments of some of them flutter into the street. These Holbrook picked up hastily while following his victim. The latter entered the office soon after the clerk had passed in, and inquired for the senior member of the house. On asking permission to investigate the matter, he was asked who he was and by what right he interfered with their business. "I am the Special Agent of the Post-office Department," Holbrook replied, "and there"—pointing to the young man—"is the culprit, with the money in his vest pocket, and here are the fragments of the letters from which the moneys were abstracted." The offender was a nephew of the head of the house, and, of course, the matter was hushed up.

Now, you can readily understand how it is that the principal obstacles to the safe transmission of letters more frequently exist outside than inside of the Post Office. See Levi Blakeslee, Superintendent of the Box Department, and he can give you some idea of the vigilance that is required of his clerks to keep track of the unpaid letters that are daily waiting delivery. You are now on a scent that will lead you into a nest of recent depredations that will startle the business community. Thus far you have got a pretty good insight into the ways of the public in carrying on their correspondence; but you know nothing as yet of the "ways that are dark and tricks that are vain" which have been only recently revealed. The writer climbed over innumerable bags of mail-matter, amid which lusty porters were working like beavers. The thick, heavy atmosphere and unwholesome oder from the greasy bags, together with the information that two clerks had just been taken down with small-pox, had a tendency to accelerate his movements, and he soon reached the division of which he was in quest.

CARELESS BOX OWNERS.

The Superintendent of the Box Department remarked that among the 6,000 lock boxes there were about a half dozen keys left behind every day. One man's attention was so absorbed while perusing the correspondence that he had just taken from his box, that after his departure his key, from which was hanging his watch and chain, was found by Officer Blackwell dangling from the open door of the box. When foreign letters are received, upon which there is postage due, we place in the box a red card, which notifies the owner that the letters are awaiting payment. The other day one house brought fourteen cards which their messenger had hid away, for which they received as many letters, several of which had been in the office for some time. Frequently the boys who call for the mail will leave the card in the box, and when they slam the door shut the card will be pushed through to the floor. All unpaid letters have on their backs the day and hour of their arrival, and it is only in this way that we can keep any account of them. Thomas J. O'Brien, who has been twenty years in the office, is the deputy of this department, and he carries in his head the name of every occupant of the 6,000 boxes. It was through a significant hint from him that the writer ascertained that there had recently been a large number of arrests of depredators, who, for some time past, had played sad havoc with the letters that were delivered through the lock-boxes.

ROBBERIES IN THE BOX DEPARTMENT.

When the disorder among the mails becomes epidemic there is another official whose services are called into requisition and who is well known to every Post Office employe. What Fouché was to Paris, Special Agent Sharratts is to the Post Office. The writer was admitted to his confessional, which is a six by nine room adjoining the Postmaster's private office. Here the agent explained the difficulties with which the service had to contend by saying: "One of the greatest fallacies in the world is the idea, so prevalent in commercial circles, that the depredations among the mails are mainly perpetrated by Post Office employes. Many years' patient investigation has convinced me that the sanctity of many a merchant's correspondence is violated on the street, either before or after it has been in charge of the Government. No sanctuary is more sacred than a mail-bag, as many a culprit who has robbed them has found to his sorrow. By far the largest recipients of valuable letters are the publishing houses and newspaper offices, and of course when they fail to get their letters the Government comes in for unmeasured censure. Many of them have boxes that are locked by our clerks in the Box Department, an arrangement which it is generally supposed prevents their messengers from tampering with the letters. At one time the complaints became so numerous that the Postmaster ordered that the entire lobby should be put under surveillance and the depredators hunted down. It is appalling when one considers the number of irresponsible persons who fetch and carry letters to and from the Post Office. The same persons would not be allowed access to the safe or money-drawers in the places in which they are employed, and yet they are daily and hourly entrusted with important letters, which are frequently destroyed merely for the value of the uncanceled stamp. Could you have heard the confessions that have been made to me here by half-starved boys, many of them in receipt of but $2 or $3 per week, which they are often obliged to carry home to their parents, you would not be surprised at what I am about to reveal to you.

HOW THE THIEVES WERE DETECTED.

It is some time since I first commenced operations in the lobby of the Post Office. No particular box was watched, but every person who took letters from them, and who acted in any way suspiciously, was immediately marked, and, as soon as the opportunity offered, was 'shadowed.' With but few exceptions the thieves proved to be small boys from seven to sixteen years of age. It was easy to pick out the guilty ones, for they would invariably 'give themselves away' by holding the letters up to the light that they might judge of their contents. The difficulty in every case was to detect them in the act of rifling the letters. To tell you all the tricks that were resorted to by these boys to escape observation would tax your patience. They were as cunning as foxes. One boy would go to a closet in the building where he was employed, and to detect him it was necessary to gain access to the adjoining closet, where, a hole having been bored by one of my detectives, he was enabled to watch his operations. The offender was caught in the act and confessed that he had destroyed a large number of letters. Another boy selected a retired place in a hallway and our agent was obliged to watch his operations through a skylight. Another went on the roof of his store and sat on the scuttle, where he was finally observed from behind, the chimney of an opposite building. The scene of operations of more than one was in the Trinity Church graveyard, and a number of them opened and destroyed letters in the street without any attempt to elude observation. A quantity of letters directed to foreign countries were destroyed in the lobby of the Post Office, the offenders being caught in the act. The stamps that had been affixed to them were afterward found in the boys' pockets. These they would usually sell to piemen in the neighborhood. Whenever a boy was detected it was seldom that any punishment was meted out to him beyond the loss of his situation. The Government had no jurisdiction in the matter, hence no example could be made of any of them. Had they been in the employment of the service they would have been surely punished. Very many of them have undoubtedly been reclaimed, and no good could be done by giving their names to the public. With most of them it was undoubtedly their first crime."

AN OFFICE BOY'S TEMPTATIONS.

Some idea of the temptations that beset many of these misguided boys can be ascertained from the following letter which Special Agent Sharratts received from one whom he had detected stealing letters:

DEAR SIR: I am very thankful to you for the way you treated me. I hope you will give every poor boy that may do wrong as good a show as you gave me. I am sure that I will not do that which is wrong again. I hope you will be the Post Office detective all the time, because you will give any one that does wrong a show to do right, as you did me. I have been hungry many a day, or would not have touched that which did not belong to me, but I was so hungry that day that I could not help it. I will not touch anything again if I was starving, thanks to you. Please do not let Mr. T—— know that I did such a thing, if you did it would worry me so that I could not stay with him. I know that Mr. L—— will always dislike me after this. If you ever want an errand done and I am at leisure I will do it cheerfully, and you may trust me too. Yours, respectfully,

That boy, remarked Mr. Sharratt, is sincere and penitent; but, had he not been detected, he might have gone on until he was a confirmed thief.

A LIST OF THE SUFFERERS.

Below is given a list of those firms that have suffered from the depredations of their own employes:

Sun,	Haldeman & Co.,
Tribune,	Homans & Lemmon,
Associated Press,	Woodward, Baldwin & Co.,
World,	F. E. Smith,
Irish World,	H. Seymour & Co.,
Evening Mail,	Van Wyck & Co.,
Christian Intelligencer,	Garner,
Industrial Publishing Company,	B. Collard,
Baptist Publishing Society,	J. F. Henry, Curran & Co.,
Synod Rooms,	Paxson, Vickey & Co.,
Forest and Stream,	W. Mitchell,
Liberal Christian,	Hallgarten & Co.,
J. B. Ford & Co.,	C. C., & D. B. Ogden,
Condict & Co.,	E. S. Mills & Co.,
Hoyt, Busick & Co.,	Wachsman & Co.,
Horstman & Co.,	S. G. McCotter,
Pier No. 41, North River,	Hurd & Houghton,
Bowdoin & Warren,	E. Steiger & Co.,
C. F. More & Co.,	Gill & Rodman,
Engineers' and Manufacturers' Company,	Townsend & Tapley,
Coast Wrecking Company,	H. T. Williams,
S. Kaufman,	Radway & Co.,
W. H. Irving,	A. K. Phillips,
J. M. Lover & Co.,	T. J. Briggs,
	Ivison, Phinney, Blakeman & Co., and several others.

Postmaster James, on whom the writer next called, remarked that it had always been to him a source of much gratification to observe the vigilance and zeal exercised by his subordinates when tracing a missing letter, and for that reason he thought it was but just to them that the public should know of the carelessness and cupidity every day brought to light by their efforts.

A COMPLAINT BOOK.

The writer was here shown by the Postmaster a monster complaint book for the use of the public, in which can be recorded every letter that may have gone astray. When found, the cause of its delay will be stated in the column of remarks, and complainants will thus be enabled to see for themselves who is to blame for the miscarriage of correspondence. On the Postmaster being asked if he had any suggestion to make regarding the prevention of irregularities and discrepancies, he replied that just as much system was required in securing the safe and speedy transmission of letters by firms having a large correspondence as in any other branch of their business. There is A. T. Stewart & Co., for example; they have very little complaint to make against the postal service. They have a man who attends to their Post Office business exclusively. I don't say that it is necessary for every large publishing house or firm to employ a person especially for that branch of their business, but I only wish to mention that this man employed by A. T. Stewart manages in some way or other to keep peace and harmony between his firm and us. Of course I have never had the opportunity to find out the way in which he does it, but if you will call on Superintendent Plimley, of the Money-order Division, I think he will give you a point or two on the matter of safe and quick mail transit. He has a larger correspondence than any other business man in the country, and, as he has little or no complaint to make, there must be some method about his business that will be interesting to the public.

THE CONFUSION OF NAMES.

Mr. Plimley kindly informed the writer that there were, including the British, German and Swiss departments, 5,000 money-order offices in the United States; that letters should go astray he does not think surprising, when it is considered that there are 15 Buffalos, 12 Bostons, 18 Buena Vistas, 12 New-Havens, 12 Newarks, 14 Albanys, 10 Oranges, 18 Highlands, 8 Hyde Parks, 15 Bradfords, 5 Cincinnatis, and 3 Chicagos, to say nothing of the almost innumerable Johnstowns, Jamestowns, and Smithtowns. Then, too, there are States that are thus abbreviated: Me., Md., Mo., Mon., Mass., Mich., and Miss. Now, said the Superintendent, a letter posted without bearing the name of the county, is not properly addressed, and to find one properly addressed passing through the mails would be like looking for a needle in a haystack. For instance, a letter addressed Newark, Wayne County, N. Y., which, by the way, is a large place, would not get to Newark, N. J., if so directed, if it had the county on. The clerk would see that it was intended for New-York, because Newark and Wayne County correspond, nor would Orange, Sullivan County, N. Y., get to New-Jersey if the Y did resemble a J, because there is no Sullivan County in New-Jersey. A. T. Stewart & Co., have a postal guide and a money-order directory. Their money-order applications have the county, whether it is Albany or Chicago. That is the secret.

A CASE IN POINT.

For instance, here is a striking illustration of absent-mindedness, and it is only one of the many received every day. By substituting fictitious names for the real ones no offense will be given to the parties concerned:

BOLTON, WARREN COUNTY, N. Y., June 7, 1875.
To the Postmaster, New-York City:

DEAR SIR: At the request of Mr. John Brown, of this place, I sent, on the 8th or 9th of July last, a Post Office money order for twenty dollars, issued from your office, the money being entrusted to me for that purpose. The order was issued as sent by Mr. Brown, of this place, payable to the order of John Smith, Maquikota, Iowa, and drawn on the Postmaster of that place. It is barely possible that the order was issued in my name, but not probable. As Mr. Brown has vainly endeavored to ascertain whether it was received by the party for whom it was intended, and there may arise a doubt whether I sent it, will you do me the favor to inform me here, by return mail, whether such an order was issued from your office that I may be relieved from any responsibility in the matter.

Very respectfully,
JOHN ROBINSON.

Our records show that the remitter was not Mr. Brown but Mr. Robinson himself. Furthermore it was sent as payable to Mr. Brown at Glens Falls, which is the nearest money-order office to the residence of Mr. Brown, and there it now is waiting payment. Besides, the amount of the order was $31 62 instead of $20, and the name of Mr. Smith, of Maquikota, for whom the order was intended, was never mention ed at all.

THE HELL GATE IMPROVEMENT.

The improvements which are now approaching completion at Hell Gate, and which have for their object the enlargement of the channel between the East River and the Sound, are daily becoming an object of increasing interest, not only to engineers and men of science, but to the public at large. Within the past four months they have been visited by officers of private corporations who are engaged in similar enterprises, as well as by delegations from the various Boards of Trade of this and other cities. Yesterday had been fixed upon by Gen. Newton, the officer in charge, for an official visit and inspection of the works at Hallett's Point, but for some reason the trip was postponed to a future day. During the afternoon a TIMES reporter visited the shaft and underground tunnels at this place, and obtained from the Assistant Superintendent the following facts in connection with the progress of the undertaking.

At present the numerous tunnels which radiate from the main shaft are well-nigh completed, and the only work of any consequence yet unfinished is the boring of the apertures to be used for the final blast on the 4th of July, 1876. Six machines are employed daily for this purpose, the boring being conducted with great caution, lest the shell of rock remaining between the roof of the tunnels and the water above should be pierced prematurely. Of the thirty-six tunnels or galleries which diverge from a common centre, twelve are over 200 feet in length, and one, the largest, a fraction over 315 feet. The total aggregate length is more than 7,500 feet. In the gallery which approaches nearest to the water the thickness of solid rock intervening is a little less than seven feet. In others it is ten, twelve, and even fifteen feet. Over 60,000 cubic yards of rock have been excavated thus far, the total weight of which amounts to not less than 120,000 tons. To the south of the main shaft are three huge embankments of loose rock from 300 to 400 feet long ten to twelve feet high and twenty-five feet thick, all of which has been taken from the shaft and its submarine galleries. To the northward are four similar embankments, one of which is 1,000 feet long by fully ten feet in height, after setting some nine feet in the soft swampy soil around the Point. All this has been accomplished by a force of not more than 120 men, and which has never during the past two years exceeded 150, all told. For a large part of this period the project has been practically abandoned for want of funds, so that the average of working time since the commencement of the excavation in 1868 has been but a few hours. The great ally in the prosecution of the work has been the use of the new patent air drill, an apparatus by which progress through the solid rock has been accelerated tenfold over the old method. A single one of these drills worked continuously will perforate thirty feet of rock in eight hours, or by manual labor the onefire distance achieved would be less than two feet. At Hallett's Point, each machine bores five holes two inches in diameter and six feet deep, daily. In due time these will be filled with the explosive material—nitro-glycerine or dynamite—to be used in making the final blast. Each machine employs a force of ten men in working, and as the water is constantly falling from the roof above, and the greatest care is necessary in handling the explosive agents, the work is by no means of a holiday order. The men, of whom 175 are now employed, receive $1 75 per day of eight hours—not extravagant compensation, although better than that of their comrades employed on private works.

In addition to the main shaft and galleries already described, operations have recently been commenced on Flood Rock, distant 500 to 600 feet to the west of the Point. Here the work of blasting has been going on briskly since Monday last, over 100 cubic yards of solid rock being removed already. This part of the Hell Gate improvements will be still more important than that now nearing completion. The present channel between Long and Ward's Islands, though fifty feet deep in some places, is narrow and tortuous in the extreme, and has from time memorial been the terror of Eastern pilots. In form it resembles the letter S, and as it is in no place more than 300 or 250 feet in width, the difficulties which beset the navigation of the Gate are of no ordinary kind. By the improvements now in progress all this will be changed, and instead of a narrow and cramped channel, a broad water-way 1,200 feet wide, and twenty-six feet deep in the shallowest parts, will be opened to shipping. The narrow parts opposite Hallett's Point will be enlarged to like dimensions, and other obstacles to navigation which have so long infested the surrounding waters, be removed. Once open throughout its entire length, the Gate will become much more popular as a channel to the ocean, the shelter afforded by Long Island being an important item in the consideration of Eastern-bound shipmasters. Residents in the vicinity of Astoria and Hunter's Point are already building largely on the promises thus held out. Many of the more sanguine anticipate the removal of a large percentage of the warehouses and shipping from Brooklyn and South street, to the ample water front afforded by the shores of the East River in the vicinity of Hell Gate.

LAW REPORTS.

THE RING FRAUDS.

A BILL OF INDICTMENT ORDERED AGAINST PETER B. SWEENY — CONNOLLY AND OTHERS TO BE INDICTED.

The Grand Jury of the Court of Oyer and Terminer adjourned yesterday until next Monday. Keyser, the Ring plumber, appeared before the Grand Jury yesterday and gave testimony, it is said, which so seriously implicated various persons in the Ring frauds that their indictment is certain. These indictments will probably be presented to the court on Monday or Tuesday next. Among the indictments to be handed in is one against Peter B. Sweeny, either for forgery or grand larceny. It is probable that Connolly and other notorious members of the Tweed Government will also be indicted, and arrested wherever found.

SUPREME COURT OF THE UNITED STATES.

TEXAS INDEMNITY BONDS SOLD BY THE REBEL AUTHORITIES—JURISDICTION OF PROVOST COURTS IN NEW-ORLEANS AFTER THE CAPTURE IN CIVIL CASES—THE NEW-ORLEANS BANKS SUITS—THE CESSION OF THE TERRITORY NORTHWEST OF THE OHIO RIVER TO THE UNITED STATES BY VIRGINIA.

WASHINGTON, June 11.—The following opinions have been rendered by the Supreme Court of the United States:

No. 1.—Original.—*The State of Texas, complainant, vs. George W. White, John Chiles et al.*—Petition for a rule on John Chiles.—Mr. Justice Miller delivered the opinion of the court in substance as follows:

In the original decree in this case, White and Chiles were perpetually enjoined from setting up any claim or title to any of the bonds, or coupons attached to them, which were the subject matter of the suit. (Bonds known as Texas indemnity bonds, issued to the State by the United States and sold by the rebel authorities at the outbreak of the war.) The bill, answers, and proceedings in the case show that the purpose of the suit was to establish the title of the State to these bonds, and to free it from the embarrassment of the claim of the defendants. All parties to the suit were, therefore, bound by the decree as to the title, and because Chiles was the owner, or held the claims to be owner, through a transaction not set up in his answer, he is not the less concluded and bound to obey the above injunction. Notwithstanding he now asserts a different title, or source of title, held by him when the suit was brought, from the one imputed to him in the suit and defended by him, he is in contempt of court in setting up and seeking to enforce this claim. Punishments for contempt of court have two aspects, namely: 1. To vindicate the dignity of the court from disrespect shown to it or its orders. 2. To compel the performance of some order or decree of the court which it is in the power of the party to perform, and which he refuses to obey. In the present case there is no part of the original decree which Chiles can perform which remains unexecuted, and no additional order or decree can be made for him to perform in the proceeding for contempt. The court therefore sentences him to a fine of $250 and costs for his contempt in setting up a claim or title to seventy-six of the bonds mentioned in the decree.

No. 202.—*The Mechanics' and Traders' Bank, plaintiffs in error, vs. The Union Bank of Louisiana.*—In error to the Supreme Court of the State of Louisiana.—Mr. Justice Strong delivered the opinion of the court, in substance as follows: The facts in this case are that in May, 1862, after the capture of New-Orleans by the United States Army, Gen. Butler, then in command of the army at that place, issued a general order appointing Major J. M. Bell, volunteer, aide-de-camp of the division staff, Provost Judge of the city, and directed that he should be obeyed and respected accordingly. The same order appointed Capt. J. H. French Provost Marshal of the city, and Capt. Stafford Deputy Provost Marshal. A few days after this order the Union Bank lent to the plaintiffs the sum of $130 000, and subsequently the loan not having been repaid, brought suit before the Provost Judge to recover the debt. The defense was taken that the Judge had no jurisdiction over civil cases, but judgment was given against the borrowers, and they paid the money under protest. To recover it back is the object of the present suit, and the contention of the plaintiffs is that the judgment was illegal and void, because the Provost Court had no jurisdiction of the cause. The judgment of the District Court was against the plaintiffs, and this judgment was affirmed by the Supreme Court of the State. To this affirmance error is now assigned. The argument of the plaintiffs in error is that the establishment of the Provost Court, the appointment of the Judge, and his action as such in the case brought by the Union Bank against them were invalid, because in violation of the Constitution of the United States, which vests the judicial power of the General Government in one Supreme Court, and in such inferior courts as Congress may from time to time ordain and establish, and that under that constitutional provision they were entitled to immunity from any liability imposed by the judgment of the Provost Court. Thus, it is claimed, a Federal question is presented, and the highest court of the State having decided against the immunity claimed, our jurisdiction is invoked. Assuming that the case is thus brought within our right to review the controlling question is whether the commanding General of the army which captured New-Orleans and held it in May, 1862, had authority, after the capture of the city, to establish a court and appoint a Judge with power to try and adjudicate civil causes. Did the Constitution of the United States prevent the creation of civil courts in captured districts during the war of the rebellion, and their creation by military authority? This cannot be said to be an open question. After noticing the decisions which had been made on the question, the opinion proceeded: Thus it has been determined that the power to establish by military authority, courts for the administration of civil as well as criminal justice, in portions of the insurgent States occupied by the national forces, is precisely the same as that which exists when foreign territory has been conquered and is occupied by the conquerers. What that power is, has several times been considered. In Leitensdorfen and Houghton vs. Webb, 20 How., 176, may be found a notable illustration. Upon the conquest of New-Mexico, in 1846, the commanding officer of the conquering army, in virtue of the power of conquest and occupancy, and with the sanction and authority of the President, ordained a provisional Government for the country. (Ex. Doc., 2 Sess. 29 Cong., vol. 3, doc. 19.) This ordinance created the courts, with both civil and criminal jurisdiction. It did not undertake to change the municipal laws of the Territory, but it established a judicial system with a superior or appellate court, and with Circuit Courts, the jurisdiction of which was declared to embrace, first, all criminal causes that should not otherwise be provided for by laws; and secondly, original and exclusive cognizance of all civil cases not cognizable before the Prefects and Alcaldes. But though these courts and this judicial system were established by the military authority of the United States, without any legislation of Congress, this court ruled that they were lawfully established. And there was no express order for their establishment emanating from the President or the Commander in Chief. The ordinance was the act of Gen. Kearny, the commanding officer of the army occupying the conquered territory. In view of these decisions it is not to be questioned that the Constitution did not prohibit the creation by military authority of courts for the trial of civil causes, during the civil war, in conquered portions of the insurgent States. The establishment of such courts is but the exercise of the ordinary rights of conquest. The plaintiffs in error, therefore, had no constitutional immunity against subjection to the judgments of such courts. They argue, however, that if this be conceded, still Gen. Butler had no authority to establish such a court; that the President alone, as Commander in Chief, had such authority. We do not concur in this view. Gen. Butler was in command of the conquering and occupying army. He was commander in charge of the war in that region. He was, therefore, invested with all the powers of making war, except so far as they were denied to him by the Commander in Chief, and among these powers, as we have seen, was that of establishing courts in conquered territory. It must be presumed that he acted under the orders of his superior officer, the President, and that his acts, in the prosecution of the war, were the acts of his Commander in Chief. Again, it is argued that even if the Provost Court was rightly established, it had no jurisdiction over civil causes. It must be conceded that the order by which the court was created did not define expressly the nature and extent of its jurisdiction. It is also true that a Provost Court ordinarily has cognisance only of minor criminal offenses, but that a larger jurisdiction may be given to it by the power which brings it into being is undeniable. Whether a larger jurisdiction was conferred in the case now under consideration we are not called upon to determine. It is not a Federal question. The Supreme Court of Louisiana decided that Gen. Butler had a right, after the capture of New-Orleans, in May, 1862, to appoint a Judge to try civil cases, notwithstanding the provisions of the Constitution. Having determined that he had such a right, we have disposed of the question which entitles the case to be heard here, and it is not for us to inquire whether the Provost Court acted within its jurisdiction or not. That is a question exclusively for the State tribunals. In determining as the State Supreme Court did, that the plaintiffs had no such constitutional immunity as they claim, there was no error. If in other respects errors were committed, they are not reviewable by this court unless they present some other Federal question. Such a question, the plaintiffs allege, is presented. Assuming that the judgment given by the Provost Court in favor of the Union Bank was void for want of jurisdiction of the court, they argue that when they paid the sum adjudged against them the law raised an implication of a promise by the Union Bank to refund it, and that the obligation of this contract was impaired by the 149th article of the State Constitution of 1868. That article ordained that all judgments and judicial sales, marriages, and executed contracts, made in good faith and in accordance with existing laws in the State, rendered, made, or entered into between the 26th day of January, 1861, and the adoption of the Constitution, should be valid. But if the court was lawfully established, as the Supreme Court of the State decided, the law raised no such promise as is asserted, and the validating clause of the Constitution, therefore, imposed no contract obligation. Besides, we cannot admit that the Legislature of a State may not invalidate the judgments of a court in fact, though in giving the judgments, the court may have transcended its jurisdiction. Nothing more need be added. Sufficient has been said to show that, in our opinion, the plaintiffs have been denied no right or immunity secured to them by the Constitution and laws of the United States. If there is any error in the record, it is one of which this court can take no cognisance. The judgment is affirmed.

No. 139.—*Lawrence Langdeau, plaintiff in error, vs. Amasa Hanes.*—In error to the Circuit Court for the Southern District of Illinois. Mr. Justice Field delivered the opinion of the court, of which the following is an abstract:

The Commonwealth of Virginia, in an act of her Legislature passed on the 20th of October, 1783, authorizing her delegates in Congress to execute a deed transferring to the United States her right, title, and claim, as well of soil as of jurisdiction, to the territory north-west of the River Ohio, provided that the transfer should be subject to various conditions, and, among others, to this one, "That the French and Canadian inhabitants and other settlers of the Kaskaskies, St. Vincents, and the neighboring villages, who have professed themselves citizens of Virginia, shall have their possessions and titles confirmed to them, and be protected in the enjoyment of their rights and liberties," and the deed executed by the delegates embodied the act of Virginia. Held: That the acceptance of the deed by the United States imposed upon them the duty of performing the condition and giving the protection stipulated, and that to confirm the possessions and titles of the inhabitants was to give to them such further assurance as would enable them to enjoy undisturbed their possessions, and assert their right to their property in the courts of the country as fully and completely as if their titles were derived directly from the United States. By an act passed on March 26, 1804, Congress required claims to lands within certain designated limits in the territory north of the Ohio and east of the Mississippi, by virtue of any legal grant made by the French Government prior to the treaty of Paris of the 10th of February, 1763, or by the British Government subsequent to that period and prior to the treaty of peace between the United States and Great Britain, on the 3d of September, 1783, to be presented, with a plot of the tract or tracts claimed, to Commissioners designated by the act for examination, who were to hear all matters respecting such claims and decide thereon "according to justice and equity," and transmit a transcript of their decisions made in favor of the claimants to the Secretary of the Treasury, by whom they were to be laid before Congress. Among the claims presented under this act was one on behalf of the heirs of Jean Baptiste Tongas for 704 acres, granted in the year 1767 by Governor Vincennes, a place which is designated in the cession from Virginia as St. Vincents, such claim being founded upon an ancient grant to their ancestor. The Commissioners decided in favor of the heirs and confirmed their claim, and transmitted a transcript of their decision to the Secretary of the Treasury, by whom it was laid before Congress. By an act passed March 3, 1807, this decision and all other decisions in favor of persons claiming lands in the district of Vincennes, contained in the transcript transmitted to the Secretary of the Treasury, were confirmed. Held, that this confirmation was the fulfillment of the condition stipulated in the deed of cession so far as the claimants were concerned, and was authoritative recognition by records of the ancient possession and title of their ancestor, and gave to them full assurance of the validity of that possession and title; and that the subsequent clause of the act providing for the issue of a patent to the claimants, when their claim was located and surveyed, did not impair this effect of the confirmation. In the legislation of Congress a patent has a double operation. It is a conveyance by the Government, when the Government has any interest to convey, but where it is issued upon the confirmation of a claim of a previously existing title it is documentary evidence, having the dignity of a record, of the existence of that title, or of such equities respecting the claim as justify its recognition and confirmation. A legislative confirmation, of a claim to land is a recognition of the validity of such claim, and operates as effectually as a grant or quit claim from the Government. If the claim be to land with defined boundaries, or capable of identification, the legislative confirmation perfects the title to the particular tract, and a subsequent patent is only documentary evidence of that title. If the claim be to quantity, and not to a specific tract capable of identification, a segregation by survey will be required, and the confirmation will then immediately attach the title to the land segregated. In this case the claim of the heirs of Tongas, confirmed by the act of 1807, was not issued until 1820, and the patent thereon was not issued until 1872. Held that if the ancient grant to Tongas was of a defined tract, the title of the heirs was perfected, assuming that they previously had only an equitable interest upon the passage of the confirmatory act of 1807; if, however, the grant was of a certain quantity of land, then undefined and incapable of indentification, the title became perfect when the quantity was segregated by the survey made in 1820; and that no title was conferred by the patent; and that an adverse possession of the premises by the defendant, under claim and color of title, made in good faith, with payment of the taxes legally assessed thereon, after the title of the heirs was perfected, as mentioned above, and before the patent issued, continued within the period prescribed by the statute of limitations of Illinois, was a bar to any recovery by the heirs upon the patent. Affirmed.

DECISIONS.

SUPREME COURT—CHAMBERS.

By Judge Lawrence.

Wright vs. Wright et al.—Motion for leave to amend complaint is granted.

Memorandums for counsel.—Bayles vs. Kiersted; Kiersted vs. Bayles—ordered.

Martera vs. Delaney.—I shall not disturb the judgment entered in this case.

Schelly vs. Diehl.—Motion for a bill of particulars is denied, with $10 costs.

Anderson vs. Anderson.—Report of Referee confirmed, and judgment of divorce granted to the plaintiff.

The Nassau Bank vs. Cowles et al.—If counsel desire to withdraw the application in this case, the papers can be obtained from the Clerk in Circuit, Part 2.

Whalen vs. Burke.—The case must be sent back to the Referee for a specific finding as to the value of the alleged extra work, and if from the evidence said value cannot be determined, the case should be reheard before the Referee.

Hart vs. Petit.—The defendants, Murphy and Nesbit, may serve the amended bill of particulars on payment of $10 costs of motion to the plaintiff, and on condition that they serve said amended bill within five days from this date; no postponement of the trial to be had before the Referee on account of this order.

Crane vs. Farnham.—The Commissioner to whom the first commission was issued was so negligent in executing it that I do not deem it proper to send the commission back to him in the face of the defendant's objections. A commission may be issued to a new Commissioner, if the plaintiff desires it, in which commission the defendant may join.

SUPERIOR COURT—SPECIAL TERM.

By Judge Speir.

Orders granted.—Craig vs. Nye; Glenney vs. World Mutual Life Insurance Company.

Holbrook, Receiver, &c., vs. Orgler, &c.—Motion granted on payment of $10 costs.

Parker vs. Harrison et al.—Ordered that the plaintiff file security for costs. Order to be settled on notice.

Lawrence et al. vs. Cobot et al.—Case and amendments settled.

Lustig vs. Lustig.—Motion to punish for contempt denied. Motion that this case be set down for trial this term denied. In this case the payment of alimony may be suspended until the action is tried. If it be desired by the parties to have a speedy determination of the action they can consent to an order of reference.

COMMON PLEAS—SPECIAL TERM.

Cummings vs. Timbermann.—The motion is denied, but under the circumstances, without costs.

Lincoln vs. Burton.—Motion denied, without costs. See memorandum.

Root et al. vs. Gage.—Motion granted.

Jacobs vs. Lichtenstein.—Application granted.

Jarvis et al. vs. Driggs et al.—Motion to place cause on special calendar of short causes granted.

DISPOSING OF THE BURGLARS.

In the Court of General Sessions yesterday District Attorney Nolan called up Fritz Muller, charged with breaking into the residence of Joseph Wolf, No. 50 Forsyth street, on the 26th of May, and stealing therefrom clothing valued at $40. Muller was captured in the act by a son of Wolf, and handed over to a Police officer. On being arraigned the prisoner pleaded guilty to burglar in the second degree, and was sentenced by Recorder Hackett to seven years in State Prison. Thomas Sullivan and James Kelly, who attempted to break into the premises of Joseph Mosenthal, No. 334 West Fifty-first street, on the 19th of May, pleaded guilty, and were each sent to State Prison for eighteen months. Eugene Veren, jointly indicted with Sullivan and Kelly, also pleaded guilty, and was sent to

MR. BEECHER ON TRIAL.

CONTINUATION OF MR. BEACH'S GREAT ADDRESS.

A LARGER CROWD THAN EVER IN ATTENDANCE—OVATION TO THE PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL—TEARING MR. EVARTS' ARGUMENT TO PIECES—THE FOREMAN OF THE JURY AGAIN REBUKED—A PERSONAL ALTERCATION BETWEEN MR. BEACH AND JUDGE PORTER—VINDICATING MR. TILTON'S CHARACTER—ENTHUSIASTIC POPULAR DEMONSTRATION TO MR. BEACH.

The crush for admission to the court-room was much greater than usual yesterday. Inside, however, the attendance had not increased over the two previous days, for the simple reason that that would have been an impossibility. An addition was made to the audience, however, in the shape of several listeners, who hung by their hands from the fan-lights of the open doors of the galleries. The atmosphere was almost unbearable. Mr. Beach's appearance in the corridor was greeted with a loud round of applause, which penetrated into the court-room and aroused the expectation of those inside. A few minutes subsequently, when the advocate succeeded in forcing his way into the room, those within attempted to give him another round, but Judge Neilson, who had come early, promptly suppressed it. An old lady, who sat near the reporters, on having his Honor pointed out to her, declared enthusiastically that he was the perfect counterpart of "old Baron Fitzgibbon, the Master in Chancery, of Dublin," a comparison which elicited much merriment at the lady's expense. When Judge Fullerton and Gen. Pryor entered a few minutes later the crowd broke out into renewed applause, which his Honor attempted less effectually to suppress. With the addition of Gen. Pryor, all the counsel on both sides were present, Mr. Evarts coming in soon afterward. Mr. Beecher and his wife were four minutes late. Brother Caldwell takes exception to the statement that Plymouth Church continues to turn out in full force, but appearances do not bear him out. They certainly seem to be as numerous as at any period of the trial, unless it be taken for granted that hitherto nobody but Mr. Beecher's friends have attended. Judging from a partial count there must have been 200 women present. On the judicial bench were Senator Morton, of Indiana; Courtlandt Parker, Esq., of this City; Chief Justice Sanford E. Church and Associate Justice Allen, of the New-York Court of Appeals; Hon. E. O. Perrin, Clerk of the same body; Judge Van Syckle, of the New-Jersey Court of Appeals; Dr. Darrien, of Rochester; Gen. Tibbetts, of Troy; Hon. D. M. De Witt, of Kingston; Corporation Counsel De Witt, of Brooklyn, and C. H. Winfield, Esq., of this City. In the audience were Rev. C. E. Miller, of the Embury M. E. Church, of Brooklyn; Gen. Crocker, of New-Jersey; Mrs. Judge Birdsall; Mrs. Bullard, sister-in-law of Mrs. Beecher, and her husband; Mr. and Mrs. James W. Brooks, a friend of Mr. Evarts; Mrs. Field; Howard J. Parker, Esq., of this City; Stephen Pearl Andrews, and Mr. Harmon Blauvelt.

Jurors Case and Jeffrey were late for roll-call, but entered immediately afterward. At 11:09 Mr. Beach arose and resumed his argument. His previous efforts had made him a little hoarse, but he was none the less effective. He said that much time had been expended on the other side on the theory that Moulton had been guilty of dishonorable conduct in not burning the papers. Mr. Beach showed that neither Mr. Storrs, nor any other witness of the defense, pretended that the proposed burning of the papers had anything to do with the arbitration, an assumption upon which the defense had based their argument. The speaker also argued that Moulton had never been asked to burn the papers in the light of an obligation, and if, in the exuberance of his good nature after the tripartite covenant, he had promised to burn the papers, and upon reflection, there being no obligation upon him, he had changed his mind, there was nothing dishonorable in that. What had it to do with this case in any event? All through this defense the prosecution had been led into all sorts of side and collateral issues, the only effect of which had been to cover up the main issue, and embarrass the minds of the jury. Mr. Beach did not think he was called upon to enter into any defense of Henry C. Bowen. Bowen had acted an unfriendly part, to say the least, to Mr. Tilton. Bowen knew things about Beecher which, in his judgment, would drive the latter out of Brooklyn in twelve hours. He told Tilton that he would support his insolent letter to Beecher. Why did the defense not ask Bowen his reasons for betraying Tilton and going back on his word? Mr. Evarts smiled. Mr. Beach saw it and instantly said: "My friend smiles ironically, and I will give him an opportunity now to explain why he didn't ask those questions of Mr. Bowen. We could not do it, and he knew it. We could not ask as to a conversation between Mr. Tilton and Mr. Bowen. But he had the whole range of the inquiry. And if he could have contradicted the relation of Theodore Tilton, oh, how that Latin maxim would have rolled from his mouth, *Falsus in uno, falsus in omnibus.* [Roars of laughter.] Then there would have been no skirmishing around the outposts, but the gentleman would have advanced to the citadel of his case as he has not dared to." [Loud applause.] Mr. Beach supplemented Mr. Evarts' funny story about miracles with another, in which an Irish priest explains the term to his stupid parishioner by administering a vigorous kick and asking if it hurt. The inquirer after knowledge replying in the affirmative, the priest said that if it hadn't it would be a miracle. [Roars of laughter.]

It *would* have been astonishing had Tilton treated Beecher as Beecher says he did at the interview of Dec. 30, if, as the counsel for the defense claimed, he had been fresh from a confession of adultery at the lips of his wife. Counsel forgot that the confession had been made in the previous July, and that Tilton was bound to secrecy by a promise made to the wife he adored. In addition to this, Mr. Beach argued that Mr. Beecher's version of that interview was unnaturally colored, and read Tilton's account of it to show that it bore the stamp of probability. Why did the defense not take advantage of Bowen's appearance on the stand to overthrow all the allegations of previous adulteries narrated as having come from Bowen in Tilton's version of that interview? They must be accepted as true if they were not contradicted when they could have been. Mr. Evarts "wanted to be understood as objecting to all this" at a time when, according to his speech, he was particularly delighted with it all; with every word Tilton uttered, Evarts was getting him firmer in his clutches. [Laughter.] It was conceded by both sides that Mrs. Tilton loved devotedly Henry Ward Beecher. [Sensation.] Her conception of her crime, at the time under discussion, was well conceived by Mr. Evarts, when he said it was ushered in with a prayer and ended with a benediction. [Great laughter.] The interview of Dec. 30 was rendered necessary by what Mrs. Tilton, in her judgment, believed to be an error of her husband in regard to her domestic affairs. Seeing a smile of incredulity on Foreman Carpenter's face, Mr. Beach here turned savagely upon him and said that though he might smile ironically, he had no right to flout the intuitions of counsel; he had sworn to decide upon the law and the evidence, and the speaker was entitled to a respectful hearing, and would have it. [Loud applause.] Mr. Carpenter grew sober at once, and moved uneasily in his seat under the lashing administered. Mr. Beach then read a letter of Mrs. Tilton, dated Jan. 26, 1867, in which she earnestly expresses her desire for a reconciliation between Beecher and her husband; and another, written in 1866, which speaks of her confidence having been shaken in Mr. Beecher during the previous years.

It was a grand thing, Mr. Beach said, to send such a letter as that of Dec. 26, 1870, to a man occupying Beecher's position and surrounded by those 3,000 redeemed souls; but had not Tilton said I will forgive this man who has put this injury upon me; I will stay my hand against him—was that not grand? Yet it is considered by the other side as fit for a witticism and a jest. This, spoken in a burst of spendid eloquence, elicited a murmur of ill-restrained applause. At this point, 12:57, Judge Neilson asked whether Mr. Beach would not consent to stop. Mr. Beach being willing, recess was taken. Mr. Shearman, who sits in front of the jury, and snickers at them by way of emphasis on Mr. Beach's remarks, attempted several times to interrupt Mr. Beach, but the latter paid no attention to him. During recess Judge Neilson introduced each of the counsel to Judge Church.

On entering after recess, Mr. Beach was again applauded. He was accompanied by one of his sons, who sat beside him. Neither Mr. Beecher nor Mr. Evarts returned on the resumption of court after recess—the former not at all, and the latter not until near the close. Counsel for the defense were very dilatory, and Mr. Beach had risen to resume his speech before either of them appeared. He first disposed of a number of Mr. Evarts' criticisms on Mr. Tilton's language in narrating the conversation of the interview of Dec. 30, 1870. In reply to Mr. Evarts' statement that such pleas had never been addressed to woman as are attributed to Beecher in reference to Mrs. Tilton, Mr. Beach read from Sir Walter Scott's *Woodstock* the story of Master Tompkins and Phœbe, in which the same arguments were used. The man who reads Dumas, he added, has not neglected Scott. Mr. Beach granted that Mrs. Tilton's confession was no evidence against Mr. Beecher. It was only when it was detailed to Mr. Beecher and he quailed under it that it began to have significance and force. The defense assumed that the paper of accusation was Mrs. Tilton's confession. It was not that that was detailed to Mr. Beecher, but the confession that was made six months before. It made no difference whether the writing of the 29th was obtained by importunities and force, though the circumstances render that improbable. What did Tilton want of a confession then? He was throwing off his armor at his wife's instance. For her sake he was telling Beecher that he wanted to withdraw his charge against the latter. There is more involuntary perjury in courts of justice than anyone has any idea of. Tilton and Moulton and Beecher were in the same box in that regard; Bessie Turner was worse off. [Laughter.] But there was no possibility that any of the witnesses could have forgotten the central fact that Beecher confessed his crime to them. Mr. Beach bitterly denounced the course of the other side in blackening Tilton's character. Tilton, he said, will not recover for years from these unjust and undeserved calumnies. Mr. Beach then, probably by way of contrast, paid a long and glowing tribute to Beecher's conduct in England during the war. Mr. Evarts had actually used as an argument why Beecher should be found innocent, that England would laugh at America if he was not. Mr. Beach's handling of this point was exquisitely sarcastic. "My friend," he concluded, "has had too much to do with England." [Applause and laughter.] A magnificent outburst followed, which drew forth another round of loud and long-continued applause. Counsel made a mistake if they thought the speaker mistrusted this jury, though he knew full well the influences that had been brought to bear upon them, and that had been resented by them. [Applause.] Gen. Tracy, in his world-wide opening, [great laughter,] had said the issue lay between adultery and improper advances. The counsel for the defense did not deny that Beecher was guilty of offering improper advances to Mrs. Tilton. Judge Porter here sprang to his feet and pronounced that assertion a falsehood. [At this moment Mr. Evarts entered the room.] Mr. Beach turned like lightning upon Mr. Porter and told him that he was forgetting himself; that even the cords of thirty years' friendship would not resist the tension of an accusation of falsehood. [Excitement and applause.] Judge Porter retorted that the cords of thirty years' friendship would not permit him to let such a statement as Mr. Beach's pass. Judge Neilson attempted to pour oil upon the troubled waters by saying that there had evidently been a misapprehension. He understood Mr. Beach to say that counsel had not denied that there was a charge of improper advances. [Applause.] Mr. Beach said warmly that he still asserted that there had not been one word in the speeches of Porter and Evarts of denial of the charge of improper advances, [loud applause,] and he repeated that Gen. Tracy had made the issue between that and adultery. [Renewed applause.] Judge Neilson rebuked the audience, and interposed by stating that there had evidently been a misunderstanding. Mr. Beach said that no gentleman had a right to come into court and accuse him of falsehood. Judge Neilson said he did not think Judge Porter had done that. Mr. Beach answered that he had, and he [Mr. Beach] was sorry he had. Judge Porter disclaimed any such intention. Mr. Beach said, hotly, that he had exposed the misrepresentations of counsel, but had not charged them with being knowingly guilty of falsehood. God forbid he ever should. Judge Porter had no right to tell him that he had stated a falsehood when he knew it was not true. He would tell him not to charge him again with falsehood. [Loud applause.] Judge Porter said he would continue to insist that he had not admitted the guilt of his client. Judge Fullerton asked his Honor when these interruptions were going to cease? Mr. Beach then went on and made his point. The passage at arms was a very warm one while it lasted.

Quoting from Mr. Evarts, that the wicked classes supported the theory of the plaintiff, Mr. Beach asked how he had found that out? [Laughter.] What kind of associations did he keep to enable him to learn that? [Laughter.] Did he learn it from nine-tenths of the press of the country? Are those ministers who show their conviction of Beecher's guilt by their absence profligate? It is really an argument made by the Geneva advocate that Beecher was too busy to commit sin; yet Beecher says that all his work is done at 10 o'clock in the morning and that he takes every Saturday as a holiday; and it turns out that the difficulties in which he became involved occurred on Saturday. [Great laughter.] He not only found time on one occasion to put the babies asleep, but engaged himself for that service in the future. [Laughter.] He found time to go out riding and walking with Mrs. Tilton. In what a desperate strait must a fruitful and ingenious lawyer be to resort to an argument of that kind. It is argued that Beecher's heroism was too well known to do anywhere without being seen. Didn't he visit Mrs. Tilton—and see her alone? Didn't he have all the opportunity he wanted? Bessie Turner is the only witness who calls Tilton a brutal husband. Mr. Beach appealed to Mr. and Mrs. Tilton's letters, to Tilton's appearance on the stand, and the sentiments he expressed. If Tilton was the monster the imaginations of counsel made him out, why did the defense rest on the pert and puerile Bessie Turner? What becomes of the evidence of half a dozen of the witnesses for the plaintiff, and of Beecher himself? If justice be denied him here, Tilton will get it some time, and if there be retributive justice Henry Ward Beecher will get *his* due reward. [Applause.] The court here adjourned until Monday morning.

After adjournment a crowd, which completely blocked up the immense corridor surrounded the circular opening around the stairways and filled the hallway down stairs in a solid mass waited for Mr. Beach. As soon as he appeared a storm of hand-clapping greeted him. Then some one called for three cheers, and the Court-house rang with the response. Cheer after cheer marked his progress to and down the stairs. Hand-clapping was too tame, however, after the first outburst, and when Mr. Beach took off his hat and bowed his thanks the air fairly shook with the thunders of the multitude. Inside the court-room a lady who heard the unwonted noise grew alarmed, and asked what it was. "Oh, some loafers outside," answered Judge Porter testily. Meantime Mr. Beach had reached the foot of the staircase, and the crowd, which had been growing louder and more enthusiastic in their demonstrations every moment, now began to close in around him with the evident intention of lifting him upon their shoulders and carrying him off in triumph. Seeing this he spread his arms out before him and rushing through those in front, sprang into a hack and ordered the driver to whip up his horses. As the hack went off the crowd gave three concluding cheers and a "tiger" and dispersed.

THE VERBATIM REPORT.

At 11:08 o'clock Mr. Beach resumed his address, as follows:

Very much time and a very large degree of indignation was expended upon the theory that Mr. Moulton had been guilty of dishonorable and faithless conduct in his failure to burn the papers relating to this scandal, as it was assumed that that was one of the conditions of the award made by the arbitrators to which Mr. Tilton and Mr. Moulton assented. But the foundation of the especial comments, severe and reprehensible upon the part of Mr. Evarts, was the testimony of Mr. Charles Storrs relating to a conversation some ten days subsequent to the arbitration and award. It is my duty, gentlemen, to draw your attention to that topic. Mr. Storrs says that Mr. Moulton, in a conversation of which he spoke, said that:

"Sam Wilkeson, as he called him, had either seen him or written to him and requested him particularly to burn Mr. Beecher's 'apology' and the papers, and Mr. Moulton said: 'Oh, yes, I have burned the papers,' and laughed, and he says, 'Mr. Beecher thinks I have.' Then he remarked: 'If Sam Wilkeson thinks that I have burned all these papers he is mistaken. What would Theodore do in case of trouble?'"

Mr. Evarts—With his trouble.

Mr. Beach—I believe I can read, Sir, with the print before me. He says, on cross-examination:

"Well, this was a conversation, as I said. It commenced about the tripartite settlement; as far as I now remember I had not any talk with him before, and I was telling him that Mr. Bowen felt that we brought in too large a sum, and that his sons felt aggrieved, and then Mr. Moulton, during this conversation—Mr. Moulton said that Sam Wilkeson had seen him, or written to him, that he wanted me to burn—to be sure and burn Mr. Beecher's apology and all the papers, and Mr. Moulton says: 'Of course,' says he: 'I have burned all the papers,' and he laughed; and he says: 'Mr. Beecher thinks I have;' and he then says: 'If Sam Wilkeson thinks I have burned all the papers, he is mistaken. What would Theodore do with his trouble?'"

I then handed to Mr. Storrs the letter of Mr. Wilkeson, but as you perceived, Mr. Moulton stated that this request to burn the papers had come from Mr. Sam Wilkeson, and there is no intimation in this conversation on the part of Mr. Moulton or Mr. Storrs that the duty of burning the papers, or that the request to burn the papers, was connected with or arose in any degree out of the arbitration and the award. Mr. Storrs does not here pretend that the conversation had any connection with the arbitration and award; he says it arose out of the tripartite agreement, with which, as you remember, Mr. Samuel Wilkeson was intimately connected, but having no connection whatever with the arbitration and award, and after its composure and terms had been completed, as between the parties, then addresses this letter to Mr. Moulton, which I read to you:

MY DEAR MOULTON: Now, for the closing act of justice and duty.

Let Theodore pass into your hands the written apology which he holds for the "improper advances" (with quotation marks)—and do you pass it into the flames of the friendly fire in your room of reconciliation.

Then let Theodore talk to Oliver Johnson.

I hear that he and Carpenter, the artist, have made this whole affair the subject of conversation in the club.

Sincerely yours,
SAMUEL WILKESON.

Now, two things result from that letter. First, that it did not originate from the arbitration and the award; and, second, that there was no request or demand or intimation that it was the duty of Mr. Moulton to burn all the papers. It was confined to the "letter of apology," or the so-called letter of contrition. Well, when I handed that letter to Mr. Storrs, and when he repeated the conversation that Mr. Moulton attributed the request to burn the papers to the letter of Mr. Wilkeson, arising out of the tripartite covenant, he was, of course, somewhat confounded. And it is perfectly evident, gentlemen, that Mr. Storrs in the relation of this conversation was wholly mistaken in the allusion which was made by Mr. Moulton to the papers. You will remember the lessons which our learned friends have given to us in regard to the fallible nature of evidence as to conversations, confessions. My friend, Mr. Porter, read us a very able, instructive, and truthful essay upon that subject, with every word of which I concur. It is one of the most difficult efforts of the human memory to recall and repeat a conversation, and it is, I admit, a hazardous species of evidence to be scrutinized and criticised and taken with proper degrees of allowance. I am quite content that that rule of law should be applied to the evidence upon the part of the plaintiff, but I insist that the same application should be made of it to the evidence on the part of the defense.

WHAT HAS THE BURNING OF THE PAPERS TO SAY TO THE CASE?

Another thing is obvious from this letter—that there was no assertion upon the part of Mr. Wilkeson, there never has been a pretense made, so far as this evidence is concerned, of any demand or suggestion to Mr. Tilton or Mr. Moulton that the papers or any paper connected with this transaction was to be burned, founded upon any idea either of express agreement to do it or of any obligation arising out of any proceedings before the arbitrators or otherwise. Mr. Wilkeson had just completed that act of amnesty and conciliation of the tripartite covenant. Then he appeals to Moulton, or to Tilton through Moulton, as an act of clemency and of duty under the circumstances, to burn the apology and nothing else. What if there had been, gentlemen, such a promise upon the part of Mr. Moulton? Suppose, in the exuberance of friendly feeling which controlled or followed the tripartite covenant, Tilton and Moulton had said that they would burn all the papers connected with this scandal, and that, after reflection, considering the possibilities of the future, there being no imperative obligation assumed, there being no duty by any authority imposed upon these parties to burn the papers, there never having come from Mr. Beecher a single request or intimation that he desired any paper to be burned—what if they had changed their purpose and determined to preserve these documents to meet any possible exigency that might arise in the future? I do not see any particular dishonor in it. I do not see why Mr. Moulton should be excoriated as a faithless and treacherous man either to his word or to his duty. And I see no obligation upon the part of Mr. Moulton, acting as the friend of both these parties, the custodian of the papers belonging to each, and on behalf of the interests of each—I see no obligation upon the part of Mr. Moulton to follow the requests or pursue the line of duty or obligation suggested by Mr. Samuel Wilkeson, and without any concurrence upon the part of Mr. Beecher, without any request upon the part of Mr. Tilton or of Mr. Beecher, to burn papers which were entrusted to his custody and confidence. What has it to do with this case, gentlemen? We have spent days in the consideration of this question. How does it throw any light upon the real intrinsic issue of this case? The papers are here; they are unchanged, they are unmutilated, they speak for themselves in the same language of truth as if this agreement—if there were any agreement—did not exist. The fact that Mr. Moulton or Mr. Tilton may have pledged himself to their destruction does not reflect any light upon the construction of these papers, or change a syllable of the significance of a single word. And what has all this denunciation and all this waste of time and ingenuity over this question of propriety or honor to do with the examination or decision of this case? And in this way, throughout the whole of this trial, upon the part of the defense, we have been led into side and collateral issues, the only effect of which has been to embarrass our minds, our consideration of this case, to cover up the true question which is to be presented for your deliberation and decision. Well, my friends say it reflects upon the integrity of Mr. Moulton, it destroys his credibility as a witness. A party to no proceeding, disconnected in interest with the arbitration, entering into no obligation to destroy these papers, although requested to do so by Mr. Wilkeson, without any promise to that effect, and although believing that Mr. Beecher supposed the papers were to be or were burned, this gentleman yet preserves them, and what obligation or duty has he violated here? What pledge has he assumed or broken, and why is he villified because, with a view to the whole relations of these parties and the possible conditions of this scandal, then brooded about the country and a matter of gossip within this city and abroad—what if he did determine to preserve them?

WHAT WAS THE TROUBLE TO WHICH MOULTON ALLUDED?

I repeat again it is no reflection upon his character for sincerity or honor. Ah, but says the gentleman, Mr. Moulton—Mr. Moulton indulged in the exclamation "What will Theodore do in case of trouble?" or, "What will Theodore do with his trouble?" Which of these expressions is accurate? The preference of my learned friend seems to tend to the latter. What trouble was there then? The affairs with Bowen had just at that instant been concluded. The award and arbitration had been made—the arbitration and award had been held and made. All the difficulties with Bowen had been composed. Tilton had received the $7,000, putting him in comparatively easy circumstances, and relieving him from all his pecuniary distress and difficulties. He was then prosecuting the enterprise of the *Golden Age*. What was the trouble to which Mr. Moulton alluded, and in reference to which these papers might, perhaps, be useful? The gentleman has not told us that. Is there anything in this evidence indicating any circumstances of difficulty, any possible trouble which was apprehended by Mr. Moulton, except that growing out of this very scandal which we are now investigating, and Mr. Moulton standing in the attitude in which he is represented by the evidence, and which is conceded to him in anticipation—notwithstanding the arbitration and award—notwithstanding the tripartite covenant—knowing all the surrounding circumstances and the knowledge which others possessed of this scandal, Mr. Moulton, under these circumstances, says: "These papers ought not to be and shall not be destroyed, unless both parties request their destruction. This is a pernicious and a babbling secret. It never has been still. It never will be at rest. It is a secret confided to too many mouths. It is a secret reaching too many interests. It is a secret of too grave and troublous character to be trusted to the hazard of examination hereafter, except upon the truthful records and the evidence in these documents which I possess and hold as the representative of these parties, and I will hold them."

Now, it has to my mind very considerable significance. It has been said all along by our learned friends that Mr. Tilton was worried and excited, and spurred by his necessities and his difficulties with Bowen. He had fallen from a high position. His resources had been reduced. He was in perplexity and want. The *Golden Age* was embarrassed and a failure, and he was irritable and restless and forever stirring the hot embers of this scandal. Why, all these things had been pacified and silenced. There was no more, I repeat, trouble with Bowen; there was no more irritation from business difficulties. There were none of those perplexities arising from a straitened income and resources. The man was relieved and at last stood erect, able to pursue his enterprise without any embarrassment or fear. What was the trouble which then disturbed the mind of Moulton, and in view of which he determined to preserve these evidences bearing significantly upon it, whatever it was? It was this difficulty with Beecher. It was this secret in regard to Beecher, and it was in view of that that he came to this determination and acted in the manner he did. And yet the gentleman would have us believe by the testimony of these arbitrators that all this trouble between Beecher and Mr. Tilton had been composed by submission to these gentlemen and by their award.

TILTON'S DIFFICULTY WITH BEECHER NOT BEFORE THE ARBITRATORS.

It seems to me one of the boldest and most astounding propositions connected with this case that the difficulties between Mr. Tilton and Mr. Beecher formed a portion of the subject matter of that arbitration. The submission to arbitration is produced; Mr. Bowen testifies; Mr. Tilton testifies; Mr. Moulton testifies; the written record—so far as there was any record, there being no written award—the written record is produced; it shows what was submitted. A suit had been commenced by Mr. Tilton against Bowen; the friends of the parties interposed; an arbitration is agreed upon; a written submission is made; a meeting is had before the arbitrators; the parties relate their differences and their claims; an award is made of a money verdict; it is paid on the instant; the matter is closed, and now, when a difficulty arises between Mr. Beecher and Mr. Tilton, it is pretended that that difficulty was submitted to these arbitrators. Where was Mr. Beecher? Who represented him? Who presented his defense? Why was Tilton silent? Why was there no representation of his claims and his complaint as against Mr. Beecher before these arbitrators? Why, can a right of action and cause of complaint, by the mere will of arbitrators chosen for another purpose, without any appearance of the parties, without any submission of the cause of the difficulty, dispose of the rights of parties? The testimony upon all sides—of the arbitrators—is that the only matter discussed by the only parties appearing before the arbitrators was in relation to the claim of Mr. Tilton against Mr. Bowen—no allusion to Mr. Beecher, and having no connection with that affair; and the arbitrators say that in their consultations it was spoken of—something concerning this difficulty suggested as between themselves—that it ought to be settled, and Mr. Storrs tells you that after the award was announced—not till sometime after that—it was then suggested that the papers relating to this scandal ought to be burned. Well, the gentlemen arbitrators were members of Plymouth Church; they were the ardent, devoted friends of Henry Ward Beecher, then, and to-day, and it may very well be that they felt a great anxiety to end these difficulties, and they judged wisely in my opinion. They ought to have been composed then; they ought to have been composed before; there ought to have been quiet in the ranks of Plymouth Church and the members of Plymouth Church, and the members of that arbitration were the persons who felt most keenly and most sensibly the necessity of composing this difficulty. Day after day it was fomenting in the midst of their membership, creating distraction and dissension, and disturbing the comfort and the peace not only of the Pastor but of the congregation, and it may very well have been a subject constantly pressing upon their thoughts and their anxieties too. Very likely, when considering this question as between Bowen and Tilton, these suggestions passed as between themselves, and that suggestion in regard to the burning of the papers, the announcement of the award, very likely may have been made by them. But the point is, gentlemen, was there any submission of this difficulty in all the formal and solemn appearance before a quasi judicial tribunal, which should conclude parties and bind them to the performance of the conditions afterward? Why did not my learned friends interpose it as a bar? We have had no plea of that kind; no such defense in these pleadings, and my learned friends have not had the boldness of arguing that that arbitration and that award was an estoppel upon this right of action. But if it were submitted, if there was any authority upon the part of that arbitration to pass upon the interests and control the rights of Henry Ward Beecher or Theodore Tilton, and to make an award that these papers should be burned, or that the difficulties as between these two parties should be held settled and satisfied by that arbitration, why, gentlemen, did not my friends present that as a bar and an estoppel upon this prosecution, as it would have been had any such circumstances occurred? Well, I asked Mr. Storrs questions for the purpose of showing that, in the determination these arbitrators advanced, no consideration whatever of the claims or the rights as between Mr. Tilton and Mr. Beecher were considered by them. Mr. Storrs, was this $7,000 awarded by you to Mr. Tilton, in your judgment and to your conscience, a just and a true amount due to him? Yes. Mr. Tilton through all this difficulty had been insisting that Mr. Beecher had been guilty of an offense toward him. Mr. Beecher himself had confessed it—I mean not now by the general evidence to which I shall allude hereafter to prove the truth of this accusation, but in his statement before his own packed committee he had admitted an offense. Theodore Tilton had been denounced throughout the whole length and breadth of this land as the calumniator of Henry Ward Beecher—everywhere he had been denounced as the assailant of the proudest Pastor of the greatest pulpit in the land; and did Theodore Tilton submit to these arbitrators this question affecting his integrity and honor and fame without claiming a single word of justification in the award, without at least demanding that the offense to which Henry Ward Beecher confessed, however limited it may be, whatever may have been its character, should have been declared by these arbitrators, that he might hold it up as his justification against these foul and destructive assaults upon his honor and fame? Why, gentlemen, it seems to me one of the most preposterous propositions that could be advanced, and it is only done for the purpose of reviling and calumniating a gentleman who had that day stood unchallenged and unrebuked by Mr. Beecher, or by any friend of Mr. Beecher, as the most honorable and truest friend, sent by the express providence of God, as Mr. Beecher says, for his protection and defense. Then there was no suspicion of Mr. Moulton; then there were no degrading accusations against Mr. Moulton; then there were no revilings and mutterings from Plymouth Church; Moulton was then the staff upon which Mr. Beecher leaned, and leaned with entire confidence and trust. There was not an act performed by Mr. Beecher at this period with reference to any of the complications which surrounded him—and there were many involving him in difficulty; he could not even receive a letter from Victoria Woodhull without sending to Moulton to know whether he should answer it and how he should answer it, and in every step in all his perplexities Moulton was the man to whom he resorted and in whom he trusted.

WHY IS THERE SUCH AN OUTCRY IF BEECHER IS INNOCENT.

Why, gentlemen—why all this uproar about the non-destruction of papers? When one is destroyed, why, there is a clamorous outcry, and when they are kept there are ferocious complaints. If these papers reveal no wrong—if they are consistent with the honesty and integrity of Mr. Beecher—if the theory and the construction of my learned friends are all correct and sound, what is the cause of this disturbance? Why do my friends complain that we have kept their papers, their own writings, and produced them when they wanted them for use? The papers don't disturb them if their contents are so frivolous and so easily reconciled with the integrity and the innocence of Mr. Beecher. What is the trouble, gentlemen? Take your papers, be judged by yourselves, construe your own writings, and if they acquit you, why, bless God and thank us that they were preserved. [Applause.]

The difficulty is, gentlemen, that these papers are full of a big sugnificance, which disturbs the consciences and excites the fears of their author and his advocates. They cannot be satisfied that they are kept, that they speak loud-mouthed, with a clear and unerring voice of truth upon the subject of this scandal.

BOWEN'S ACCUSATIONS AGAINST BEECHER.

I do not think I am called upon in this case to enter upon any defense of Henry C. Bowen. I know, if the testimony of Mr. Moulton is true—and I think I will satisfy you by and by that it is veracious—I know Mr. Bowen acted an unfriendly part, to say the least, toward Mr. Tilton. I know that while he refused to both sides, both our learned friends and ourselves, all information in regard to the facts within his knowledge, all revelation of the testimony he would give upon this stand, that we called him as a witness, and that relation usually imposes upon a lawyer an honorable duty of protection, so far as protection is justice. He was called, gentlemen, as you well know, in answer to a demand. You felt the propriety and the necessity of hearing from Henry C. Bowen what he had to say upon this subject. Theodore Tilton had sworn that on the 29th of December Henry C. Bowen had revealed to him disgraceful charges against Mr. Beecher. It is not necessary to repeat the details of these charges. They were of such a grave and serious character, according to Mr. Tilton, as to lead Henry C. Bowen to say that he was unfit to hold his exalted position as a Pastor and instructor in a church; they were sufficient to authorize Henry C. Bowen, in his judgment, to say that the promulgation of these charges would drive Mr. Beecher from the pulpit and from Brooklyn in twelve days.

Mr. Evarts—Twelve hours.

Mr. Beach—Twelve hours. Mr. Tilton then gives a partial revelation of his own difficulties with Mr. Beecher. Mr. Bowen says to him: "In the Spring of this year Mr. Beecher and myself settled our business difficulties, and I cannot well be a principal in any accusation against him; but you, Mr. Tilton, write a demand upon Mr. Beecher for his resignation of his pastoral charge and his departure from the City of Brooklyn and I will support you in the charge. It is not necessary to specify the causes of the demand; say to Mr. Beecher, for reasons which he explicitly understands, the demand is made; I will furnish the evidence, I will support you in the accusation, I will justify you in this imperious and insolent demand upon this preacher in the largest—to the largest congregation, and in the greatest pulpit of the country." Mr. Tilton does it, and why he did it, and why he withdrew from it hereafter, I shall submit to you. But he does it, and he sends that demand by the hands of his coadjutor and principal, in the name and upon the authority of whom he is acting. He bears it, and then he is found—according to the testimony of Mr. Beecher and himself—then he is found co-operating and confederating with Mr. Beecher; and Mr. Tilton, under the circumstances, is left betrayed and forsaken by the man who had stimulated the charge, undertaken to furnish the evidence; and we have no explanation of the reason or the circumstances which led to that sudden confederacy. Mr. Bowen is on the stand; my friends do not ask him whether Theodore Tilton's relation of the proceedings between them on the 29th of December were truthfully told by Mr. Tilton. My friend did not ask Mr. Bowen whether or not on the 30th of December he did tell Theodore Tilton to present this demand, and he would back him up in it. My friends did not ask him to tell how and why if he did this, if in the name of truth and morality, and upon the evidence he held in his own hands he had undertaken to pronounce Henry Ward Beecher an unfit occupant of the sacred pulpit; they did not ask him to tell how he came to change his views and purpose, and to betray the ally whom on the 29th of December he had taken to his confidence and trust. My friend smiles ironically; I would be happy to give him an opportunity now to explain why he did not ask these questions of Mr. Bowen. We could not do it, and he knew it. We could not ask as to the conversation between Mr. Tilton and Mr. Bowen, but that gentleman had the whole range of the inquiry, and if he could have contradicted the relation of Theodore Tilton, oh, how that Latin maxim would have rolled from his mouth, *Falsus in unum, falsus in omnia.* [Laughter and applause.] There would then have been a contradiction upon material points, something which touched the heart and merits of this controversy, and not a fighting about the outskirts and skirmishing among the patrols of this conflict. The gentleman would have thus come to the citadel of this case; he dare not—he has not advanced to it. It is a somewhat significant omission, and I will show you by and by, when we come to that tripartite covenant, how it is and why it is that, upon the documentary evidence in this case, Theodore Tilton stands so exalted and truthful and supported that my friend dare not assail him on any essential point of his evidence, except by Henry Ward Beecher and Bessie Turner. But when we get an apparently truthful and intelligent man, a man who, from his position, is adverse, adverse to Mr. Tilton, because not even in the speeches of my learned friends is there so severe a philippic, so unsparing and crushing a denunciation as stands in this evidence uttered in writing by Theodore Tilton upon Henry C. Bowen? He is no friend of ours; he was not called as a friend of ours. He was called upon the same principle and with the same motive as have induced the management of the cause of this plaintiff, as I asserted before, to open every avenue of proof; to present every possible witness whom we could control, who, from his relations to this transaction or to Plymouth Church, could give any light upon this extraordinary and world-wide controversy.

AN IRISH DEFINITION OF A MIRACLE.

But Mr. Evarts proceeded to comment upon the relation by Mr. Tilton to Mr. Beecher, in their first interview on the 30th of December, of the alleged confession of his wife. And my friend then indulged in a most extraordinary vein of exultation. Oh, he knew he knew he had Theodore Tilton then! And you never will forget the unction with which my learned friend made that declaration, as if he held the destinies of this case and of all the cases in his hand. "I knew I had him then," says the counsel. I did not interrupt his narration; I did not try to change a word of what he said; it was so absurd, so unbelievable, so contrary to the laws of nature that it was one of those miracles the counsel spoke of. And, by the way, an Irish friend of mine insists that Mr. Evarts and *Punch* are plagiarists upon Irish humor and wit; that long before *Punch* thought of it or Evarts adopted it, there was a better illustration in Irish history of the definition and character of a miracle. I never could tell a story, gentlemen; I shall probably break down in getting through it; but I have faith in my informant, a gentleman who favors us with his presence very often here—enlivens us with his witticisms in the course of this dull trial. His story is that an Irish priest was delivering a sermon upon the subject of miracles and giving a definition of a miracle, entirely satisfactory to himself, I suppose, but one of his rustic hearers was a little confused and uncertain about it. The definition was too learned; it was not practical enough—he didn't understand it. And therefore, at the end of the service at the door he addressed the priest and says: "Your Reverence, I didn't quite understand your definition of a miracle and your explanation of a miracle. Won't you make it a little more plain and satisfactory to me?" "Oh, yes, Pat," said the priest; "turn around." And there was a spasmodic effort of the extensor muscles of the lower limb of the priest which disturbed somewhat the perpendicularity of Pat. But he got himself all straightened out, and the priest said to him, "Pat, did that hurt you?" "Oh, yes, your Reverence, it hurt me badly." "Well," says he, "Pat, if it hadn't it would have been a miracle." [Laughter.] And if my learned friend has any uncertainty upon that subject, if he will submit to a practical illustration, I will promise him he will have a more forcible idea of a miracle than he now has. [Laughter.] Well, this relation of Mr. Tilton was a miracle.

TILTON'S INTERVIEW WITH BEECHER OF DECEMBER 30.

Now you see you must remember—because Mr. Evarts must be consistent in his arguments—you must remember that Tilton is a silted rhetorician, a vainglorious, lofty, famous utterer of big words. He must do everything with strutting and ceremony. Every word must be rolled out with the utmost dignity. That is the man who is talking; we must know about him to see how truthful the story is that he tells. That is what Mr. Evarts says about it. Well, Mr. Evarts says, this is a most astonishing relation. Tilton, fresh from the discovery of the seduction of his wife, just learning the commission of this adultery, raging and terrible—for he quotes Scripture and says, "Jealousy is the rage of man"—repeating the confession or the statement of a woman yet reeking with the stains of adultery, why, he delivers that formal and set address to Mr. Beecher. Well, it would have been astonishing even to give to Mr. Tilton the character he is represented to possess of a wordy, formal, and ceremonious talker. It would have been astonishing, but when was it? It was on the 30th of December. The confession of his wife had been made in July previous. It was that confession he was relating. For six months he had stayed his hand and bound his impulses by the strong motives and considerations which pressed upon his heart and his conscience. He came to that interview with Beecher under restraint, manacled, and bound; and, with the stormy rage of a ruined man burning within him, he was yet under the

of the wife, or as imputing to her the style of expression, or even the term of communication, which was then made by Mr. Tilton to Mr. Beecher—

"That this sexual intimacy had begun shortly after the death of her son Paul; that she had been in a tender frame of mind, consequent upon that bereavement; that she had received much consolation during that shadow on our house, from her Pastor; that she had made a visit to his house while she was still suffering from that sorrow, and that there, on the 10th of October, 1868, she had surrendered her body to him in sexual embrace."

Does anybody suppose that Mrs. Tilton used that precise phraseology in that communication to Mr. Tilton, and that he is pretending to reproduce it in precise form?

"That she had repeated such an act on the following Sunday evening at her residence, No. 174 Livingston street; that she had consequent upon those two occasions repeated such acts at various times at his residence and hers, and at other places—such acts of sexual intercourse—continuing from the Fall of 1868 to the Spring of 1870; that in July, 1870, she had made to me a confession in detail of those acts; that she had given to me also, during that recital, many of the reasonings by her Pastor communicated to her to change what was her original scruples against such sexual intimacy."

Now, I have pursued this long enough, gentlemen, to show you the general character of the narrative made at that time by Mr. Tilton. And I have done it for the purpose of illustrating the proposition I made that this was not a revelation of a mortal wrong done by Mr. Beecher to Mr. Tilton, from the pressure of which upon his heart and soul Theodore Tilton was then burning and raging. It was a narrative of a preceding transaction of six months before; it was a narrative made necessary by certain immediate circumstances, in which Theodore Tilton had committed, according to his wife, an error of judgment with reference to their domestic condition and their relations to Mr. Beecher. He was called upon to have this interview with a man whom I in my judgment properly admit—with a man against whom he felt in his heart a sentiment of resentment and hostility, which was only covered and controlled by his own Christian resolution, by the restraint of his wife, and her love.

A SIGNIFICANT ADDRESS TO THE FOREMAN.

You [turning to the foreman] may not believe it, Sir; it may excite incredulity in your mind; it may excite a smile of ironical disbelief; but you are to judge from this evidence. You are not to adopt the prejudices of counsel; you are not to adopt the misrepresentations of counsel. You have sworn to decide this case upon the evidence and according to the law, and I am entitled to a respectful hearing from this jury, and each and all of them. [Appause.] And I may ask the intelligence of this jury, in its highest and best exercise, and with its most impartial and justest judgment, to consider the arguments which, in answer to thirteen days of appeal, I am attempting to make to the judgments and the consciences of this jury.

WHAT MRS. TILTON SAID OF BEECHER.

Well, my learned friend spoke or the comments made, according to Mr. Tilton's representation of this interview, upon the earlier moral condition of Mr. Beecher, and mocked at it. He could not do otherwise. Having exalted the character of Mr. Beecher to a standard of infallible purity, having clothed it with a white robe, unsoiled by sin through its career, he could not, of course, admit that in any portion of it there was ever any doubt of its exalted morality and purity. But, according to Henry Ward Beecher, Mrs. Tilton is a truthful woman. Again and again, asked upon the stand if certain things were true as connected with the assertion of Mrs. Tilton, his answer was, "I don't recollect, but if Elizabeth Tilton said so it is true." What did she say of Mr. Beecher? Writing on the 25th of January, 1867:

"MY OWN DEAR HUSBAND: Four letters from you reached me to-day, including one to Mrs. Diamond and Oliver's letter to you. I did not go to Mrs. D.'s wedding, as it was celebrated at Mrs. Merriam's, in Springfield. Mother went on, and has not yet returned. I will forward your letter, though not the kiss.

I think in reference to Oliver's"—

That is Oliver Johnson—

"opinion of Mr. B——"

That is Mr. Beecher—

"as his rewards"—

Remarks I suppose it should be—

"were made to Mr. Bowen, and they are embittered toward one another, that what Mr. B—— said of you may appear very different through the coloring that Mr. Bowen may give it. Oh! how my soul yearns over you two dear men. You, my beloved, are higher up than he. This I believe. Will you not join me in prayer that God would keep him as he is keeping us? Oh! let us pray for him! You are not willing to leave him to the evil influences which surround him. He is in a delusion with regard to himself, and pitifully mistaken in his opinion of you. I can never rest satisfied until you both see eye to eye, and love one another as you once did. This will not come to pass as quickly by estrangement. But with all the earnestness of my being, I commit you both to God's love. He has signally blessed you both, and he will keep his own beloved. Why I was so mysteriously brought in as actor in this friendship I know not, yet no experience of all my life has made my soul ache so verily as the apparent lack of Christian manliness in this beloved man. Mattie"—

That is, Mrs. Bradshaw—

"Mattie feels as I do. I saw her to-day," &c.

(To Mr. Morris.) There was a letter, Mr. Morris, in which she spoke of his past. I don't seem to have it. Well, I read an extract from another letter bearing date a year earlier, in 1866. It is not the one which I intended to present, and will find hereafter:

"But, to return to Mr. B."—

That is, Mr. Beecher—

"He has been the guide of our youth, and until the three last dreadful years, when our confidence was shaken in him, we trusted in him as in no other human being."

This was in 1866. For these three preceding years something had occurred in the history of Mr. Beecher which had shaken the confidence of this woman in his integrity, and yet she appeals to her husband to gather about him all the influences of their friendship and all its sweet and restoring solace. You see how she yearned over these two men whom she united in her affection. Around whom her heart clustered with its tenderest affections and sympathy, toward both of whom she was struggling always to lift them to a higher plane of moral conception and conduct. But, yet, after all, this woman, with her keen spiritual conceptions, had found for three years prior to 1866 something in the life and character of Henry Ward Beecher which had shaken her trust and confidence in one whom she called the guide of her youth and the father of her religious faith. Well, Mr. Tilton ventured to say, in the course of this narrative, I believe, or somewhere else where my friend, Mr. Evarts, found it, that it was a grand thing to write that letter or demand, but it would have been grander not to have done it. Well, the opportunity for a witticism could not be passed by my learned friend, and he always does things so beautifully that he is pardonable if he misrepresents both philosophy and morality. It is a grand thing, says the counsel—the man speaks and its a grand thing, but if he had kept still it would have been grander. Well, it was a very pert and witty thing; it easily disposed of that sentiment of Theodore Tilton. Let us look at it a little more philosophically and with a juster regard to morality. Mr. Tilton had learned from Mr. Bowen, to say nothing of his own experience—he had learned that this man, so honorable and proud and exalted was but a whited sepulchre, that he was occupying the grandest pulpit position on earth, that he was an unworthy man and assumed that he was prompted by a regard for religion, morality, truth, and honesty, and he says this man shall no longer defile the church of God; he shall no longer preach in the robes of his hypocrisy, and it was the part of my learned friend, and it is by such treatment of the moral attitudes of this cause and these parties, it is by such a ridicule and calumny upon the holiest truths of this cause, my friends, expect to control the judgments of this jury.

MRS. TILTON'S CONFESSION.

Now, gentlemen, I am not at this moment intending to make an examination for the purpose of the application of this so-called confession of Mrs. Tilton presented at the time by this interview to Mr. Beecher. There is a total misconception upon the part of my learned friends in regard to the character of this paper; it is not a confession; that term has been applied to it, but it was not written on the 29th of December—the circumstances under which it was produced, the motive under which it was written, are all explained now upon the evidence. It was not to be preserved as a muniment of this transaction; it was not intended, nor did it purpose to be, a confession; a confession had been made six months before in full. It had been told to others before this by both the parties; it was in proof and uncontradicted. The charge of adultery had been made before this—not to Mr. Beecher, but yet under circumstances to which we will allude hereafter, justifying, demanding the communication. This was not a confession; it was a mere statement, or writing, or memorandum, given by Mrs. Tilton to Mr. Tilton for the purpose of inducing him, and as an introduction to the conversation in which he should scatter that which she felt hung over her and her children, through the combination which had been made between Bowen and Tilton to eject Mr. Beecher from his pulpit, and which she knew would in the end produce a revelation disastrous to herself and hers. Nobody disputes this, and if anything is to be believed in this case that is to be believed. If you reject all our evidence, and Tilton and Moulton and Mrs. Moulton and Woodruff and Mrs. Bradshaw and Mrs. Morse cannot be believed, why say so, and send all these people, upon the mere declaration of Henry Ward Beecher and the breath of his counsel, from this court-room dishonored by such a verdict. But if they are entitled to any credit upon matters which are not at all disputed—if there is anything in this matter, it it is not all myth and shadow, if Plymouth Church has been convulsed with nothing, if the whole wide world has been disturbed, and half of it, if you please, believing in the guilt of Mr. Beecher without any evidence, and without any fact, and without any reality in it at all, why let us learn it, and learn it by a bold and frank declaration upon the jury that there is nothing of truth in what four witnesses all swore to. Now, you will remember that we were not permitted to prove what this statement made on the 29th of December by Mrs. Tilton was. We offered it. We could have proved it by two witnesses; they objected, and the court ruled it out. We struggled as best we could for the admission of the evidence. We desired that you should hear what these witnesses, Tilton and Moulton, would say on that subject, and give to you the opportunity and the privilege of judging whether they were to be credited and believed, or whether they were to be rejected. If you disbelieve them, why the plaintiff's case failed, and their statements of what this writing of Mrs. Tilton contains, of course, would be discredited and disbelieved, but my friends would not have it.

They would have told you according to their best recollection, I assume, and upon their consciences and oaths—they would have told you just what was in that writing; and why it was destroyed we will talk of by-and-by. But, I repeat, our friends would not have it. Who is to be blamed? Why, Mr. Tilton read it to Mr. Beecher. Mr. Beecher—if there is any truth in Tilton—Mr. Beecher must have understood what it was. He says that the charge was improper solicitations. Tilton says it was sexual intimacy.

Mr. Shearman—No, he has not said so.

Mr. Beach—He has.

Mr. Shearman—He has never said so.

Mr. Beach—Yes! Never has said so!—That the charge made upon that occasion against Henry Ward Beecher was not sexual intercourse?

Mr. Shearman—No, Sir; he has never said so.

Mr. Morris—He says so, distinctly.

Mr. Evarts—Oh, the oral part.

Mr. Beach—That is what I am talking about. I said that Mr. Beecher said that that charge made on that occasion was improper solicitation; that Mr. Tilton swears it was sexual intimacy. Well, if Tilton and Moulton could have sworn as to what the contents of the statement that Mrs. Tilton made, communicated to Mr. Beecher at that time, were, we should have had some additional light upon the subject, and it would have defended them. Whether it would have enlightened us would have depended upon the credibility to be attached to the statements of Mr. Moulton and Mr. Tilton.

The Court—Will you suspend now, Mr. Beach?

Mr. Beach—At any time, Sir; it is immaterial to me.

Recess was then taken until 2 o'clock.

AFTER RECESS.

The court reassembled at 2:05, when Mr. Beach resumed his address, as follows:

Mr. Evarts criticised the relation given by Mr. Tilton of the confession of his wife on account of the particularity—circumstantiality of the statement—that it was too precise and formal; that one speaking under the circumstances in which the wife was supposed to be situated, making a revelation of this character to the husband, could not by possibility have so controlled her emotions and their effect upon her mental operation as to descend to the minutiæ of detail—that is, in speaking of the commission of this offense, to say that it was committed at No. 174 Livingston street. Well, I suppose a very reasonable explanation of that phraseology would be that they had resided at two places in Livingston street—one, No. 48, and the other, No. 174—and in giving an account to her husband of the circumstances connected with her dishonor, she says they occurred at the place where they resided. She undoubtedly did not use the term No. 174 Livingston street, but Mr. Tilton, in communicating the information which he had received from his wife, clothed it with these specifications, with that language. Well, then, Mr. Evarts contends it is incredible that Mrs. Tilton should have used the language "my Pastor, Mr. Beecher," in identifying the person against whom this charge was being made. Well, I am not able to appreciate the force of that criticism, and I am referred to a memorable example which I suppose will not be doubted in its authenticity, which would excite the incredulous criticisms of my learned friend. When Jacob asked Laban for his daughter, he says, "I will serve thee seven years for Rachel, thy younger daughter." Well, the Scriptures are thought to be tolerably good rhetoric—a pretty reliable standard in the use of language, and if Jacob, in addressing the father, in addition to naming the daughter for whom he was willing to serve seven years by her name, should specify her also as his younger daughter, it seems to be admissible for Mrs. Tilton, speaking of Mr. Beecher, to speak of him, Mr. Beecher, as her Pastor, and perhaps there was an implication in that form of expression a little beyond the especial object of the communication. It implies something of intensity, and, possibly, of rebuke. The man who commits a crime was not only Mr. Beecher, but he was my Pastor, and there is a wonderful deal of implication in that term, as we shall find when we connect it with the different steps in the progress of this seduction. Mr. Evarts says, in answer to the idea which seems to grow out of the testimony of both the principal parties in this transaction, that this woman, so exalted and spiritual in her nature, and so devoted in her Christianity as she is represented to be, could not have fallen unless through some specious sophistry, some spiritual delusion operating upon her senses and her inclinations. Mr. Evarts says: "Why, no man, in the history of all the world, has used any such arguments to persuade the virtue of a woman as are attributed to Mr. Beecher—that the indulgence of love is innocent, that we cannot control the passions and emotions of our nature. We cannot shut our eyes to beauty and loveliness; we cannot close our hearts to the approach of tenderness and affection for those who are lovable and attractive. Therefore, it is justifiable to love, and, if that be justifiable by the ordinary forms and expressions through and by which love is manifested and love is intensified, it must be innocent. Nobody, nobody could have used such language and argument.

THE ARGUMENTS WHICH BEECHER USED WITH MRS. TILTON.

Walter Scott is supposed to have been a tolerably good scholar in human nature. No writer has ever pictured more graphically, more beautifully all the manifestations of our nature in its highest and best developments. No man has studied more profoundly the character of man as expressed in his history and his actions, and he has been considered as the great master of human passion, as the great painter of human nature. In "Woodstock," which I hope some of you have read, a historic romance, which develops to a great extent the wonderful career of Cromwell and his roundtable Ironsides, and one of them was a Master Tompkins, a man who fought with the Bible on his lips, and was an independent preacher—as independent as Plymouth Church—a law unto itself, judging for itself, acknowledging no allegiance to presbyteries or councils or synods, but standing upon its own law, acknowledging no allegiance to any higher authority. Well, these Ironsides, who preached at every interval between battles, and who fought with the courage of religious zealotry were very remarkable characters. This Master Tompkins, as he is called, was a famous exhorter of the Cromwellian legions, and yet he was touched with the weakness of human nature and even the sternness of his bigotry and the rigidity of his religion could not entirely exclude the temptations of the flesh, and while they were occupying the old ruins of Woodstock, the old but somewhat demolished home of a royalist, he came into contact with the daughter, as it is said, of a bold forester named Phœbe, while she was drawing water at the well, and attempted to induce familiarity with her by offering to cary her pitcher. Well, she resented this, and he says to her:

"Stand up, foolish maiden, and listen," said the Independen steruly, "and know in one word that sin, for which the spirit of man is punished with the vengeance of Heaven liveth not in the corporal act, but in the thought of the sinner. Believe, lovely Phœbe, that to the pure, all acts are pure, and that sin is in our thought, not in our actions. Even as the radiance of the day is dark to a blind man, but seen and enjoyed by him whose eyes receive it, to him who is but a novice in the things of the spirit, much is enjoined, much is prohibited, and he is fed with milk fit for babes. For him are ordinances, prohibitions, and commands. But the saint is above these ordinances and restraints. To him, as to the chosen child of the house, is given the pass key to open all locks which withhold him from the enjoyment of his heart's desire. Into such pleasant paths will I lead thee, lovely Phœbe, as shall unite in joy and innocent freedom, pleasures which, to the unprivileged are sinful and prohibited."

Well, here is the very sentiment which is at tributed to Henry Ward Beecher by the mouths of two or three—three witnesses. It is the mode in which he is alleged to have addressed this lady, united with all the other influences which clustered around him as a great genius and a distinguished preacher. And we find under the treatment of a great novelist, of a man versed in all the intricacies of human knowledge and human experience, tracing out the operations of human nature as a romance, but yet with fidelity to nature, the same idea suggested. Well, Henry Ward Beecher has read that undoubtedly. A man who reads Dumas will not neglect Walter Scott—and very many—as is the case with all of us—very many of the prettiest and highest subscriptions of our own minds are derived from these sources of information, because there is very little originality in the world. There are original forms of expression, but it would be difficult to indicate a thought, a sentiment, a principle of morality, or nature, or science that has not been long ago dreamed of and developed. And it is not very surprising. If it be true that Henry Ward Beecher was by his intercourse with this lady governed by his lust, or if under the fierceness of temptation, he yielded to the attraction and the opportunities of the situation, it is not surprising that Mr. Beecher should have used arguments of this character and addressed to this woman this sort of sentiment. Another great man, great as Mr. Beecher, as lofty in all the fields of knowledge, as consecrated in the hearts of the world as Henry Ward Beecher, puts into one of his characters and gives the authenticity of his name to sentiments and arguments of like kind, and when Mr. Evarts says that no human ingenuity ever dreamed of arguments of this character addressed to the seduction of virtue under such circumstances, I present him the example as delineated by Walter Scott.

THE IMPORTANCE OF MRS. TILTON'S CONFESSION.

And, if your Honor please, a legal principle or two upon the subject of confessions, tortified by authorities, have been submitted to your Honor, I have no occasion, Sir, to contend with them. I admit every proposition of my learned friend upon the subject. I maintain the same doctrine; but do not my friends see that when they are claiming the benefit of their propositions for their own witnesses, that they operate with great force and efficiency in favor of their adversary? It is said that the confession of Mrs. Tilton is no evidence against this defendant. Granted, Sir. Your Honor has over and over again ruled it, and we again and again acceded to the proposition. It is only when that confession is detailed to the party whom it implicates; when with precision and with emphasis, under all the possible solemnities of a communication of that character, it was made to Mr. Beecher, and he quailed and withered beneath the charge, and gave the confession by silence, sinking and tottering from the presence of his accuser and exclaiming: "This will kill me!" it is only then that the confession of Elizabeth R. Tilton becomes significant and important. Well, says my friend, it was a forced confession. Ah! There is the mistake of my friends' argument. There is the great error of their position. They assumed that the document of December 29 was the confession, and that that was extorted from Mrs. Tilton. Ah! gentlemen, the confession which was related to Henry Ward Beecher, and which then, in the moment of detection, he dared not stand up and deny like a brave and innocent man, was the confession made six months before. That was the narrative submitted to him containing the accusation made against him of dishonor and virtue. And it was the manner in which he received that, the silence and submission and broken-heartedness with which he listened to that, that gives to that confession and to its statement the force of convincing, denouncing proof. Now, I care not for the purposes of this case, whether the statement given by Mrs. Tilton to her husband on the 29th of December was won from her by importunities and solicitation. The situation of things, the condition in which the parties were then placed, the purpose for which this writing was to be used, I submit to you, contradicts any such supposition. Theodore Tilton did not want to use it as a confession. He did not use it as a confession. He did not want to use it for the purpose of making an accusation. He did not use it for the purpose of an accusation, for in the very instant when he presented and read it to Henry Ward Beecher he professed to him silence. He withdrew the demand which four days before he had made upon Mr. Beecher to vacate his pulpit and abandon Brooklyn. What did he want of a confession, so far as the idea of crimination or accusation or hostility was concerned? Why, under the teaching and the influence of his wife he was throwing off his armor; he was withdrawing the assault he had made upon Mr. Beecher. He was telling him that for his wife's sake, not for his [Beecher's] sake, or his [Tilton's] own sake, "but for the sake of that woman, weary and sick, and fearful and trembling and anxious, I spare you. I withdraw this demand; consider it blotted out forever." And then he tells him of the confession made to him by his wife; for the first time lets him know that that secret between Henry Ward Beecher and Elizabeth R. Tilton for six months had been held and hoarded in the heart of hearts of this plaintiff. Now, what of that statement? Of what importance is it? And who cares what becomes of it? It is not the foundation of this accusation; it was not the confession of Mrs. Tilton; it bore the character, originated in the motive, produced the effect which I have described to you. Well, I shall come to the examination of that by and by. My friend says that Mr. Tilton does not deny that it was a forced confession. Well, surely that was a thoughtless expression upon the part of the gentleman, because Mr. Tilton tells you first the circumstances under which it originated. He explains to you that it was volunteered by the wife for the purpose of smoothing the interview, of the approach to the interview, between himself and Mr. Beecher. Well, is not that a denial of any imputation that it was forced from Mrs. Tilton by Mr. Tilton? And, under the instructions given to us by the court, which justify us in passing through all these details of conflict upon these important and material circumstances by re-examining where it was perfectly evident that there was an irreconcilable conflict in the testimony as it then stood, what effect, if your Honor please, does this doctrine which I concede have upon other circumstances and relations in this case? My friend produced to you an authority, (Stiles against Stiles, I think,) where a divorce was sought by the husband upon the ground of the confessions of the wife. Well, the case does not doubt, I apprehend, that upon confessions fortified by circumstances, however forcible or trivial, that the court could probably decree a divorce, but it turned out that these confessions were made by the wife to quiet and control the lunacy of the husband, to restrain its outbursts and its violence. Well, the court say, there is a motive for the confessions; they were made with a specific object, not with reference to the truth of the particular asseverations made by them, but were made for a laudable and commendable purpose, and not with any intention of confessing adultery. Therefore we will disregard the confession. Your Honor will, I think, approve of that idea of the authority and of the principle.

WHY TILTON DENIED THE STORY.

But, gentlemen, in the same breath, our friends say to Mr. Moulton and Mr. Moulton, why for three years, all through this land, by solemn declarations of mouth, by written asseverations, by letters, by reports, under all circumstances, you have been persistently declaring that Elizabeth R. Tilton was innocent, and that the only offense of Henry Ward Beecher was improper solicitation. And yet we prove to you by the only proof that by possibility can be given, that these declarations were made with the specific purpose of protecting Elizabeth R. Tilton and her family, and concealing from the public the guilt of Henry Ward Beecher. Well, now, we cannot prove this to you by the persons to whom these declarations were made. Mr. Tilton would not have gone to these various individuals and said: Sir, my wife is as white as snow, and Henry Ward Beecher has only attempted to seduce her and failed, and she repulsed him with scorn; but I tell you this for the purpose of concealing the fact that she was seduced. We cannot prove it in that way; no such declaration as that could have been made, because it would have contradicted the very purpose of the assertion, and we prove by Tilton and by Moulton that the declarations which they made were for that specific purpose, with the approval and concurrence of Henry Ward Beecher and by the professional advice of Benjamin F. Tracy, and repeated in every form to meet every exigency and emergency that arose in this case, and still, under the light of their own theory, and under the authorities which we produce, they still insist that "you are to be concluded by the declarations which you made." Now Henry Ward Beecher does not deny that this was the policy. He says that it was. And more than that, in his statement given in evidence before the Examining Committee, he says that that policy "ought to have succeeded." In the treatment of Woodhull, in the treatment of every inqury, in the attempted circulation, or modified circulation of this story, in those outbreaks, and in those difficulties, which were constantly springing up through the uneasiness and suspicions of Plymouth Church, or of some of its members, these stories were circulated that the public might have something to which they could apply all these outbreaks and belchings forth of the fiery secret; and that those who were struggling to conceal it might have some plausible pretense and ground upon which they could maintain the actual integrity of Mr. Beecher, and the entire purity of Mrs. Tilton. Do you not see it, gentlemen? Are we to be misled by the theory of the other side that we are concluded by these statements and representations made under these circumstances? Why, it is not only contrary to the theory of the law, but it is contrary to common sense. No man disputes it. Henry Ward Beecher does not dispute it—that from the first it was resolved by Mr. Tilton to protect the reputation and integrity of his wife. Every statement made by him, in every conceivable circumstance in which they appear, is traceable to that purpose—not only entertained, but upon every occasion avowed; and by his oath, upon this trial, every such statement and averment upon his part is applied to the particular object with which, not the conspiracy, but the league between Henry Ward Beecher and Tilton and Moulton was formed, in the first outbreak of this difficulty.

DID MR. BEECHER DENY HIS GUILT?

But my friend says that Mr. Beecher, in the very representation given by Moulton of his declarations, denied this. In an account of one of the interviews Mr. Moulton represents that in answer to the relation of the charge or circumstances of his offense, Mr. Beecher said that "if he had fallen, he had fallen through love, and not through lust." My friend argues that that shows a doubt, at least a hesitating uncertainty, upon the part of Mr. Beecher, whether he had been guilty of adultery or not. That is not the language, and does not profess to give the language of Mr. Beecher. He said if he had fallen, he had fallen through love and not through lust. What would have been the language of Mr. Beecher as derived from this phrase as given? Why, he would have said, "If I have fallen, I have fallen through love, and not through lust." A man comes to you, to me, and charges me with sinfulness, and I, conscious of my wrong, but believing that there are some redeeming qualities about it nevertheless; that, although to human appearance I had been gross, sensual, and devilish, yet that, nevertheless, there was some palliation for the offense, so that I felt that I had not been so brutally offensive to morals and to society as was represented; and I say, "True, Sir, I have fallen, but I have not fallen through lust, but through love"—would you consider that a denial of the offense charged? And is the conclusion that that is a denial a fair mode of argument, or a fair deduction from the language used, or the circumstances to which it was applied? It is perfectly plain that no such thing was intended to be represented by Mr. Moulton, and that the expression which my friend has seized upon in his hyper-criticism does not lead to any such conclusion. Does anybody doubt that Mr. Moulton means to testify, in that very conversation, (you remember its connection, probably,) that Mr. Beecher was sensible of his guilt, and confessed his guilt? Why, he states it in that very narrative. And do you suppose that Mr. Moulton, swearing distinctly to the charge, and to the admission of adultery, or of sexual intercourse, in that very conversation, yet repeats a phrase of Henry Ward Beecher which would contradict the whole story that he has been telling? That is not the sense in which it was used. It is not the way in which the evidence is to criticised. Your Honor surely will instruct this jury that it is their duty to reconcile evidence, to consider the whole testimony, and to draw rational and common-sense conclusions by a comparison of the whole of it. We are not marching here to-day for the purpose of condemning the character and the reputation of witnesses. We are seeking after the truth; and, through human infirmity and weakness, though the errors and frailties of memories, through the clouds of passion and prejudice, we are doing the best we can to reach the truth. Believe me, gentlemen, this is all that I seek in this case. My only purpose is to present to you what I conceive to be a professional and logical argument upon this case, in order to aid you in your effort to ascertain the true character of this case. Now, I agree that human memories are imperfect. I believe that there is more involuntary perjury committed in a court of justice than you or I can conceive—yet with perfect innocence, and merely through our inevitable frailties. And we are not to condemn men upon mere surmise and conjecture. No man can correctly detail all the facts of a given occurrence happening years ago. It is not in the power of human faculties to retain the recollection of them. You remember the illustration of my learned friend, where different parties are looking upon the same transaction, viewing it from different standpoints, are impressed differently by these different phases, each and every one retaining a particular memory of distinct and separate parts, but they differ in their relations of that transaction; and it is the best evidence of their truthfulness that they do differ, because then there is no presumption of manufacture, arrangement and agreement in the conversations and interviews. You may apply that principle all around in this case. Mr. Moulton, you come here telling conversations occurring three years ago. Mr. Tilton, you come here likewise. Mr. Beecher, you are in the same predicament. Bessie Turner—you are still worse off. And so you go through the list. To apply this lesson—I do not believe that there has a witness been sworn in this case, and has related conversations and transactions which occurred three or four years ago, or even one year ago, who has given the precise and accurate narrative of the whole transaction. It would be to my mind wonderful; it would be one of Mr. Evarts' miracles, if a witness did that; it would be contrary to the laws of the human mind, and contrary to the experience of the world. But, suppose that, four or five years ago, upon a certain occasion suddenly broke upon your attention and said to you, "Sir, I have just killed a man!" and I relate the circumstances and the motive in long detail, and I beg you for silence; and you believe that there are palliating circumstances about my guilt; and although justice cries out for my blood, although the law commands you to reveal my guilt, yet that nevertheless those modifying circumstances entitle me to your commiseration and silence. Years pass along. The murder is at last found out, and I am charged with it; and you are brought forward as a witness to the fact that I made a confession of the crime to you; and you give a relation of that confession, and of all the palliating circumstances which I stated, and of all the surrounding relations of the transaction. Well, a skillful examiner, like Mr. Porter or Mr. Evarts, gets hold of you, and he involves you in some verbal contradictions, some rhetorical extravagances, some improbable circumstances, and some inconsistency of detail. Well, that is all well enough. You may have been mistaken in regard to those details. But could you ever forget the fact, the central, vivifying, vital fact, of what I confessed—that I had killed a man? You may err in everything else. Memory is defective. There are a thousand things to betray it in our own nature, and in influences from without. But I repeat can the fact ever be eradicted from your mind that I confessed that I killed that man? Moulton, and Tilton, and Mrs. Moulton, and all the rest may err in the circumstances and in the details of their narration; but when Henry Ward Beecher, with a quivering lip, and moistened eye, and bowing his head in shame and agony, admitted the fact of his seduction of Mrs. Tilton, there is no human memory on the earth that would ever let go of that circumstance, or of the ineffaceable impression it would make upon the human mind. Now, gentlemen, I appeal to you. Granting all that my learned friends say, conceding all these results of forgetfulness or frailty from whatever cause, there is no possibility that these witnesses could have forgotten the fact that Henry Ward Beecher, so grand, so noble and exultant in his high position, when the accusation of the seduction of Mrs. Tilton was made against him, in profound sorrow and remorse admitted his guilt. Mr. Evarts was just about this time, in his speech, making his assault upon Mr. Moulton, and he found it necessary to say, (to give strength and plausibility to it,) that this man Moulton, who relates the circumstances with so much precision and particularity, could not even remember whether, at the time of his visiting Mr. Tilton, on the 20th or 30th December, 1870, she was sick. My friend spent some sonorous words upon that proposition. But what did Mr. Moulton say? It is not of very great importance, only as it illustrates the mode and manner of attack which these gentlemen upon the defense have been constantly making upon our case—upon the plaintiff and his witnesses.

Judge Neilson—He said "She was ill, I think;" and that is about all that a layman, visiting a lady, and seeing that she was sick, could be expected to say.

Mr. Beach—It suited the purpose of Mr. Evarts to say to this jury that Mr. Moulton could not say, when he was examined, whether or not Mrs. Tilton, when he visited her on that occasion, was sick.

Mr. Shearman—He could not tell whether she was in bed or not.

Mr. Beach—He said that he could not tell whether she was sick. If I make any misstatements, I am quite willing that the gentleman should correct me. I say that that was the allegation. It seems to be settled by the remarks of the Judge, or I should have read the evidence from the page and shown you what he did testify on the subject.

THE ALLEGED MUTILATION OF THE GRIFFITH GAUNT LETTER.

The fierce savagery of Mr. Evarts was excited at the charge of mutilation, by Theodore Tilton, of the Griffith Gaunt letter. You remember the letter; and you remember the terrible expressions of disgust and condemnation expressed by Mr. Evarts over the charge that Theodore Tilton had mutilated that letter for the purpose of imputing to his wife disgrace and dishonor. His character and name were held up by the counsel to the contempt and disgust of the world for that; and his eloquent words of invective will ring in the cars and hearts of men in time to come. Now what is the truth about that? The same charge was made on the trial—on the examination of the witnesses. I will read what Mr. Evarts says, the subject having been introduced by Mr. Fullerton, in these words:

"There is one question here in reference to the publication in the *Graphic*. You asked whether there was not an omission in the Catherine Gaunt letter. You spoke of the *Graphic*. I had the *Graphic* here and the part which was omitted is lithographed. I think the witness ought to answer the whole question.

The Witness—

who was Mr. Tilton—

I respectfully ask the court that Mr. Evarts may do me justice in respect to the Catherine Gaunt letter.

Mr. Evarts—It is not necessary; I stated that when the publication in the *Graphic* was produced it would, of course, speak for itself.

The Witness—I desire also, Mr. Evarts, that it shall speak for me. Be kind enough to inform the jury that it was there lithographed as it was written, exactly. I would do the same for you under the same circumstances.

Mr. Evarts—I will do exactly as I said I would—that when the *Graphic* was produced with it in I would present it. That I will do.

The Witness—Thank you.

Mr. Evarts—But I spoken over what appeared to be a reproduction of the *Graphic*—what do you call them?

The Witness—*Fac similes*; it is an exact one.

Mr. Evarts—And the Catherine Gaunt letter is not among them in this book.

Mr. Fullerton—That is the difficulty. That book no one seems to be responsible for; it is *nullius filius*.

Mr. Evarts—That I agree to. I do not want to hold anyone responsible for it; it was the only material we had.

Mr. Evarts—Now, this is the *Graphic* of Sept. 18, 1874, and that was the first, perhaps the only issue of this? A.—That is the only time I ever published the Catharine Gaunt letter, and that publication is correct.

Q.—Now look at that paper, and say whether it is the issue of the *Graphic* in which the publication—the lithographic publication, or *fac simile* publication, of certain letters was made by you and at your request. A.—It is, Sir.

* * * * * * *

Q.—And this is the only publication of *fac-miles* in connection with your statement that you made? A.—Yes, Sir, this is the only time that I published the Catherine Gaunt letter, and I published it exactly correct.

Q.—That speaks for itself, but my point is this: whether you made any other publication of *fac-similes* except what is found in this number of the *Graphic*? A.—I never made any other publication of this letter in *fac-simile*, or in any other way but that which is in your hands."

Then he goes on to other matters:

"Q.—I do not confine myself to the Catherine Gaunt letter. Did you publish any statement, or number of *fac-similes* of any of the documents or papers except what are on these sheets which I now hold? A.—No, Sir; the other statements were published by Mr. Moulton.

"Mr. Evarts—Now, I have it. Now, the letter as here *fac-similed*, contains the omitted passage and the omitted word 'any,' and it answers entirely, I presume, to the original letter. This is the *Graphic* of Sept. 18, 1874."

Now, of course this passage had escaped the recollection of Mr. Evarts. It is unfortunate. This dishonorable charge against Mr. Tilton goes out to the world upon the sanction of the authority and the high name of Mr. Evarts. And the humble defense which is made for Mr. Tilton will never reach the circulation or the extent of the original charge.

TILTON'S CHARACTER DAMAGED BY THESE ACCUSATIONS.

Is it not unfortunate, gentlemen, that Mr. Tilton, even by the forgetfulness, the indiscretion, the recklessness of counsel, should be subjected to these dishonoring reflections upon his character? My friend Porter begins with the charge of publishing a letter which reveals the delicate physical condition of his wife. It turns out that the charge is unfounded. Mr. Evarts follows it by charging mutilations of this letter. We refer to the proof; we find that when the charge was originally made in the evidence, it was refuted as a false charge, and Mr. Evarts very properly acknowledged the refuting proof. And yet, submitting his case to the jury, he makes a fierce attack upon Theodore Tilton for the very act which he first charged and then withdrew. I don't know—I do not believe—whatever your conclusion may be, although you should give the fullest testimonial in favor of the integrity and the truth and the honor of Theodore Tilton—I do not believe, in the long years to come, he will ever recover from the damage of these accusations. They have been made too long; they came from sources too high and powerful; and grand as I think him to be in many of the attributes of manhood, learned and cultivated and industrious, and truthful and honorable as you may believe him to be, yet he has upon him a weight of calumny and suspicion which even the broad strength of his character must be bravely exerted to lift. No panacea will ever reach the circulation of the hurts of this case. How will the defense, which is made on behalf of Mr. Tilton, in its corrections of the errors and its repulse of the assaults made upon Theodore Tilton, ever reach with an antidote the wide circulation and the powerful impression which such language as that uttered by Mr. Porter and Mr. Evarts will produce? You cannot repair the injury, gentlemen. You can do something toward justice.

HOW ENGLAND LOOKS UPON THIS CASE.

But another singular argument was used by the learned counsel, Mr. Evarts. He alluded with becoming pride to the efforts of Henry Ward Beecher among the population of England. It was at a great crisis in our history; a great struggle between the different sections of this Commonwealth was raging. The question of liberty or slavery, the question of union or disunion, was balancing fearfully in the scale of events. There were many brave and true-hearted patriots who disbelieved in the power of the North, and in the strength of the Union to maintain itself indivisible. And it was in that exigency that the animosity of England in its aid to the South and in its cold countenance to the North was sustaining the audacious rebellion, as Henry Ward Beecher believed, as many great men of this country believed, with false ideas in regard to the issue of that great conflict, in regard to the cardinal principle which lay at the root of the hostility and the fight. They knew and believed that the great question was one of servitude or freedom for the slave, and Henry Ward Beecher undertook and performed nobly and gloriously the task of disabusing the mind of England, reaching the hearts of her middle classes and the yeomanry, those classes which, after all, control, and are beginning more and more to control, the destinies of the old country. And he was met with derision and scorn. He was assailed at his meetings where addresses were to be delivered upon this subject, with storms of obloquy, and hisses, and interruptions; but he was a brave man then. Nerved by the purity and the spirit of his cause, there was no conscious weight of error or sin bearing upon his head, and he was a man in all the majesty of a great and a glorious creation. And he sustained the cause of his country. And I need not imitate or repeat the language of my learned and eloquent friend in telling you how successful and honorable that effort was. I agree with him wholly, unreservedly, in my reverential estimate of the motive, the ability, and the success of that grand performance on the part of Mr. Beecher. But then, my learned friend says, Now, are you going to convict Henry Ward Beecher of adultery? Are you going to allow proud and envious England to say, "Why in that great crisis you sent us your greatest man and he persuaded and overcame us." "But, after all, are you, gentlemen of the jury," says Mr. Evarts, "going to convict that man so that England may say that he was a frail and faulty man after all?" Why listen to this.

You will understand that this is an issue where the question is that Mr. Beecher, who stood before this whole country, in the love and respect of this great country, who before all England stood alone before a crowd of the greatest of English gentlemen of the better classes and faced them down in the name of the United States—alone in England—and aroused, encouraged, developed a mass of power in our favor that no single man or voice ever did for a nation since the world began—whether he is of that base, low, gross, fibre of soul and coarseness of body that will allow this aristocratic society of England to return the triumph they had to submit to by saying, You have sent us the best, the bravest, the noblest, most eloquent man you had, and he has subdued us, but you have discovered his courage was not of the soul but from the effrontery of a voluptuary and an adulterer. And that is the argument which the great advocate of the age addresses to an American jury sitting in a cause which has disturbed the world, which that advocate says is the greatest cause that was ever tried in a court of justice

it it is so? Was there no opportunity for him for intercourse with Mrs. Tilton—of whatever kind that intercourse may be? Didn't he visit her? Didn't he see her alone where there was opportunity if opportunity was desired? What if rant nonsense it is that this notion stands admitted by Mr. Beecher, to argue that he was too busy and too well known to commit adultery.

TILTON WAS NOT A BRUTAL HUSBAND.

There is one subject which, in passing, I desire to speak of, because I would be glad to disabuse every mind of the idea that Mr. Tilton was a cruel and brutal husband. I know Bessie Turner calls him so, but she is the only witness in this case that imputes any such conduct or guilt to Theodore Tilton. If we may judge from his letters, it we may judge from her letters, if we may judge from the evidence of this man, from his demeanor upon the stand, from every revelation of his inmost character which is given from the sentiments he has expressed, from the doctrines he has avowed, from every act of his, so far as it is proven by any human being except Bessie Turner—why, he was a man that would revolt at any indecency or outrage toward his wife. Ah, gentlemen, excepting from the lips of Bessie Turner, where and when has Theodore Tilton ever breathed a lisp against the peace and the honor of Elizabeth R. Tilton? When has he ever professed anything out love and reverence and duty? He may have sinned—I don't know—you may believe it from the evidence, but so far as we can trace the character and career of the man, from his writings and declarations and publications, it has been a life of unswerving loyalty and of unlimited devotion to this woman; and when he comes upon this stand and swears that she confessed to him her impropriety with Henry Ward Beecher, his admiration for that wife was so profound, and his belief in her spiritual integrity was so immovable, that he yet, in the presence of his God, avows his belief that she is pure in soul and white as snow. Now, you must not tell me that that man under such circumstances of temptation—and it he be the base conspirator and adulterer charged by my friends, when there were no scruples of conscience and no seductions of interest to lead him to the declaration of that belief in the white purity of his wife—under those circumstances can you conceive that the villain he is represented to be would have made that declaration? I shall comment upon it by and by, but it strikes every man as being an open avowal of a condition of spirituality and Christianity utterly at war with and inconsistent with the theory of the prosecution. But Theodore Tilton believed it; I think he believes it still and he had the honest manliness to avow it, and not only to avow it, but without question or interrogation, to volunteer it. For nobody asked him a question calling for it. But in the impulsive fullness of his nature, and his desire to tell the whole truth; in his love and consecration to that woman who has deserted him, he did make the open and uncalled for avowal of this plaintiff. And yet that man is represented as a cunning and a subtle schemer and conspirator, planning a long-arranged, studiously-concocted conspiracy against the honor and the pocket of Henry Ward Beecher! And he is held up to you in the denunciations of counsel as a reckless profligate and a remorseless debauchee, plundering upon integrity and virtue, setting at naught all the civilities and proprieties of social life, fallen from his old Christian grace, regardless of his early education and teachings, and with all his powers and faculties he is leading the immorality of the age. It all exists in the imagination of counsel. Why was not some one else from that household produced to prove this baseness and brutality? Why not some members of the Plymouth Church who three or four years ago were intimate, familiar with the details of the household? Why not some of the numerous ladies attached to Plymouth Church and still clinging to Henry Ward Beecher, who again and again have been beneath that roof and knew all the conditions of that household? If Theodore Tilton was the monster he is represented to be, why does this defense rest upon the pert and puerile Bessie Turner? And what is the meaning of the testimony borne by Joseph Richards, by Kate Carey, by Catherine McDonald, by Mr. Mitchell, by Mrs. Bradshaw, by Mr. Maverick—all witnesses pronouncing them as a harmonious and happy household? A loving and cultivated and beatific home.

Mr. Fullerton (suggestingly)—Beecher.

Mr. Beach—How?

Mr. Fullerton—Beecher.

Mr. Beach—Beecher himself, too, bearing testimony to it. And with this evidence, upon the simple testimony of this girl Bessie Turner, with no better proof and in the face of this exculpatory evidence, this court-house has rung for days, and the echoes of the voices of my learned friends are still traveling all over the world in bitter and disgraceful denunciation of the conduct of Theodore Tilton to his family. Well, this may be justice, gentlemen; it may be the sort of proof which conscientious and intelligent men require upon which to rest their consciences in determining a great question. But if so I am mistaken in the judgment and in the character of this tribunal—I know you have prejudices against Theodore Tilton. There is not a man about me, I fancy, but has them—not a man about me—in consequence of this storm which has been beating upon him, the thunders of which were closed but a day or two ago and the echoes of which still linger in the arches of this court-room—all this, because he is a fearless and self-sustained and independent man and will crawl at the feet of no human being and court the confidence or the applause of no member of this jury or of this community with any degrading sycophancy, who stands up in his own single and independent manhood and demands in a court of law justice founded upon the evidence—and he will get it some time and somewhere—he will get it. It is denied him by this jury, if there be a Providence which overrules the destinies of men as well as nations, if there be a retributive justice which in the end overtakes sin and defends the innocent, Theodore Tilton will get vindication and justice, and Henry Ward Beecher will meet his due reward. [Applause.]

The court then adjourned until Monday morning at 11 o'clock.

THE STATE OF TRADE.

CHICAGO, June 11.—Flour dull and unchanged. Wheat buoyant, unsettled, and higher; No. 1 Spring, $1; No. 2 Spring, 97c. spot; 95c.@98¾c. July; 89¾c. August; No. 3 Spring, 93c. spot; 93½c. July. Corn higher; demand night; holders firm; No. 2 Mixed, Fresh, 67¾c; Regular, 66¾c. spot; 68¾c. bid, July; 71¾c. August; Rejected, 62c.@66c. Oats firm and drooping; No. 2, 60c. spot; 61c. July. Rye quiet, unchanged. Barley quiet, but firm and higher; No. 2 Spring, $1 28 bid, spot; $1 04½@$1 05, September. Pork dull and lower at $19 30@$19 35, spot or July; $19 65@$19 62½, August; $19 87½@$19 90, September. Lard dull and lower at 13¾c., spot; $13 30@$13 32½, July $13 50@$13 52½, August; $13 75, September. Bulk Meats steady; Shoulders, 8c.; Short Rib Middles, 11¼c.; Short Clear Middles, 11¾c. Dressed Hogs firm at $7 17. Freights dull and weak; Wheat, 24c., and Corn, 3c., to Buffalo. At the afternoon call of the board—Wheat sitting at 95¾c.@95¾c., July; 90¼c., August. Corn lower at 66c. bid, July; 65¾c., August. Oats firmer; 60c.@60¼c., June; 57¾c., July. Pork dull and lower at $19 60, August; $19 80, September. Lard easy and weak at $13 55, July; $13 57½, August. Receipts—Flour, 3,000 bbls.; Wheat, 41,000 bushels; Corn, 50,000 bushels; Oats, 48,000 bushels; Barley, 1,000 bushels. Shipments—Flour, 7,000 bbls.; Wheat, 200,000 bushels; Corn, 153,000 bushels; Oats, 7,000 bushels; Barley, 3,000 bushels.

BUFFALO, N. Y., June 11.—Receipts, lake—2,300 bbls. flour, 26,000 bushels Corn; receipts, railroad—8,100 bbls. flour, 26,000 bushels Wheat, 53,000 bushels Corn, 46,000 bushels Oats. Shipments, railroad—6,100 bbls. flour, 37,000 bushels Wheat, 63,000 bushels Corn, 65,000 bushels Oats; shipments, canal to the water—61,000 bushels Wheat, 40,000 bushels Corn, 10,500 bushels Oats. Freights—Wheat, 6¾c. Corn, 6c. New-York tolls included. Flour steady; sales 800 bbls. at unchanged prices. Wheat quiet and firm; sales 42,000 bushels, No. 2 Chicago at $1 04; 7,800 bushels No. 1 Milwaukee at $1 12½; 8,000 No. 2 do., at $1 08½; 23,000 No. 1 Minnesota at $1 12¾@$1 13; 20,000 No. 2 Chicago to arrive at $1 03. Corn active and firm; prime scarce and wanted. Sales, 6,500 bushels No. 2 on private terms; 42,000 do., at 74c.; 10,000 samples at 71c.@73c. Oats scarce; nominally 68c. with no demand. Rye and Barley out of the market; none here. Seeds dull. Pork steady; sales at $19 for Rosy's Mess. Lard steady at 14c. Highwines inactive, and nominal.

OSWEGO, N. Y., June 11.—Flour steady; sales 1,000 bbls. at $6 75 for No. 1 Spring; $6 75 for Amber, Winter; $7 for White Winter; $7 75 for Incomparable Extra. Wheat firm but quiet; No. 1 Milwaukee Club, $1 16; Extra White Michigan $1 33. Corn steady; sales 1,200 bushels High Mixed at 82c. Corn Meal, $3 for bolted; $3 35 for unbolted per bbl. Mill Feed steady; Shorts, $20; Shipstuffs, $24; Middlings, $27 ℔ ton. Canal Freights—Wheat, 5½c.; Peas, 6c.; Corn and Rye, 6c.; Oats, 3½c., to New-York; Lumber, $2 2d. to the Hudson. Lake Receipts—Lumber, 1,000,000 feet. Canal Shipments—Rye, 8,500 bushels; Lumber, 622,000 feet.

LOUISVILLE, Ky., June 11.—Flour quiet and unchanged. Wheat quiet and unchanged. Corn nominally 71c.@73c. Oats nominally 65c.@70c. Rye dull at $1 15. Provisions quiet but firm. Pork in fair demand at $20 87½@20 92½. Bulk Meats—shoulders, 8¼c.@8¾c.; Clear Rib Sides 11¾c.@11¾c.; Clear Sides, 12c.@12½c. Bacon—Shoulders, 9¾c.@9¾c.; Clear Rib Sides, 12½c.@12¾c.; Clear Sides, 13c.@13½c. Sugar-cured Hams, 13¾c.@14¾c. Lard dull; Tierces, 15¾c.@15¾c.; Keg, 15¾c.@16c. Whisky, $1 15. Bagging quiet but firm at 15¼c.@14c.

MILWAUKEE, Wis., June 11.—Flour nominal. Wheat firm; No. 1, $1 00½; No. 2, $1 00¾, July; $1 00⅝, August. Oats firm and steady; No. 2, in store, 60c. Corn quiet but firm; No. 2 Mixed, in store, 64½c. Rye dull and nominal; No. 1, $1. Provisions dull and nominal. Pork, $19 45. Lard, 13¾c.@14c. Freights nominal: Wheat to Buffalo, 3c.; to Oswego, 6c. Receipts—Flour, 5,000 bbls.; Wheat, 12,000 bushels. Shipments—Flour, 9,000 bbls.; Wheat, 138,000 bushels.

ALBANY, N. Y., June 11.—Wheat dull. Rye, 90c. in the street. Oats firm at 72c.@76c. Corn steady; sales of 1 car No. 2 High Mixed Western at 84c., and small parcels at 83c.@84c. Barley quiet. Malt steady and in good trade demand.

WILMINGTON, N. C., June 11.—Spirits Turpentine steady at 30c. Resin steady at $1 47½ for Strained. Crude Turpentine steady at $1 20 for Hard, $2 for Yellow Dip, and $3 25 for Virgin. Tar steady at $1 70.

FINANCIAL AFFAIRS.

SALES AT THE STOCK EXCHANGE—JUNE 11.

SALES BEFORE THE CALL—10 A. M.

200	A. & P. Tel.	24	200	Union Pacific	70½
800	West. Union	73⅜	600	do	70⅝
800	do	73⅝	500	do	70⅞
2200	do	74	400	Rock Island	101¾
800	do	74⅛	50	do	102
800	do	74⅜	200	do	101¾
1400	do	74¼	1100	do	102
800	do	74⅜	100	do	102⅛
1200	do	74¼	100	do	102¼
500	do	74⅜	115	N. Y. C. & H.	103
200	do	74½	35	do	103¼
100	Pacific Mail	32½	100	Ohio & Miss.	22⅜
500	do	32⅝	700	do	22½
600	do	32½	100	do	22⅜
100	do	32⅝	400	do	22⅜
220	do	33	400	North-western	30¼
300	do	33¼	300	do	30⅜
200	do	33⅜	400	do	30½
1900	do	33½	300	do	30⅝
800	do	33⅝	200	do	30⅞
800	do	33¼	100	do	37
300	do	33¼	500	do	37¼
600	do	33½	1300	do	37⅜
10	do	33½	900	do	37½
200	do	33⅛	200	do	37⅝
200	do	33	300	do	37¼
300	do	33	100	do	37⅜
1300	do	32⅞	600	do	37
700	Erie Railway	16⅜	100	do	36⅞
300	do	16⅜	1100	do	36¾
1800	do	16⅜	100	do	36⅝
400	do	16⅜	1700	do	36½
900	do	16⅜	300	do	36⅜
2000	do	16¼	1300	do	36⅛
200	do	16⅛	200	North-west. Pf.	53¾
1300	do	16⅛	500	do	53⅝
1800	do	16⅛	1600	do	53½
600	do	16⅛	100	do	53⅜
1200	do	16⅛	100	do	53⅛
1000	do	16⅛	100	do	53
500	do	16⅛	100	do	52⅞
200	do	16⅛	100	do	52¾
1100	do	16⅛	100	do	52⅝
2700	do	16⅛	100	do	52½
600	do	16⅛	200	do	52⅜
8.0	do	16⅛	1100	C. & N. W.	87½
700	do	16⅜	300	do	87¾
900	do	16⅜	400	do	87⅞
1300	do	16⅜	300	do	88
1300	Pacific Mail	33	100	do	88⅛
200	do	33	100	do	88¼
1500	do	33	200	do	88⅜
1100	do	33	100	do	88½
1000	do	33	100	do	88⅝
1000	do	33	100	do	88¾
1100	do	33	100	do	88⅞
200	do	33	100	do	89
300	do	33	100	do	89⅛
200	do	33	100	do	89¼
200	do	33	100	do	89⅜
100	do	33	100	do	89½
100	do	33	100	do	89⅝
100	do	33	100	do	89¾
100	do	33	100	do	89⅞
100	do	33	100	do	90
100	do	33	100	do	90⅛
100	do	33	100	do	90¼
100	do	33	100	do	90⅜
100	do	33	100	do	90½
100	do	33	100	do	90⅝
100	do	33	100	do	90¾
100	do	33	100	do	90⅞
100	do	33	100	do	91
100	do	33	100	do	91⅛
100	do	33	100	do	91¼
100	do	33	100	do	91⅜
100	do	33	100	do	91½
100	do	33	100	do	91⅝
100	do	33	100	do	91¾
100	do	33	100	do	91⅞
100	do	33	100	do	92
100	do	33	100	do	92⅛
100	do	33	100	do	92¼
100	do	33	100	do	92⅜
100	do	33	100	do	92½
100	do	33	100	do	92⅝
100	do	33	100	do	92¾
100	do	33	100	do	92⅞
100	do	33	100	do	93
100	do	33	100	do	93⅛
100	do	33	100	do	93¼
100	do	33	100	do	93⅜
100	do	33	100	do	93½
100	do	33	100	do	93⅝
100	do	33	100	do	93¾
100	do	33	100	do	93⅞
100	do	33	100	do	94
100	do	33	100	do	94⅛
100	do	33	100	do	94¼
100	do	33	100	do	94⅜
100	do	33	100	do	94½
100	do	33	100	do	94⅝
100	do	33	100	do	94¾
100	do	33	100	do	94⅞
100	do	33	100	do	95
100	do	33	100	do	95⅛
100	do	33	100	do	95¼
100	do	33	100	do	95⅜
100	do	33	100	do	95½
100	do	33	100	do	95⅝
100	do	33	100	do	95¾
100	do	33	100	do	95⅞
100	do	33	100	do	96
100	do	33	100	do	96⅛
100	do	33	100	do	96¼
100	do	33	100	do	96⅜
100	do	33	100	do	96½
100	do	33	100	do	96⅝
100	do	33	100	do	96¾
100	do	33	100	do	96⅞
100	do	33	100	do	97
100	do	33	100	do	97⅛
100	do	33	100	do	97¼
100	do	33	100	do	97⅜
100	do	33	100	do	97½
100	do	33	100	do	97⅝
100	do	33	100	do	97¾
100	do	33	100	do	97⅞
100	do	33	100	do	98
100	do	33	100	do	98⅛
100	do	33	100	do	98¼
100	do	33	100	do	98⅜
100	do	33	100	do	98½
100	do	33	100	do	98⅝
100	do	33	100	do	98¾
100	do	33	100	do	98⅞
100	do	33	100	do	99
100	do	33	100	do	99⅛
100	do	33	100	do	99¼
100	do	33	100	do	99⅜
100	do	33	100	do	99½
100	do	33	100	do	99⅝
100	do	33	100	do	99¾
100	do	33	100	do	99⅞
100	do	33	100	do	100
100	do	33	100	do	100⅛
100	do	33	100	do	100¼
100	do	33	100	do	100⅜
100	do	33	100	do	100½
100	do	33	100	do	100⅝
100	do	33	100	do	100¾
100	do	33	100	do	100⅞
100	do	33	100	do	101
100	do	33	100	do	101⅛
100	do	33	100	do	101¼
100	do	33	100	do	101⅜
100	do	33	100	do	101½
100	do	33	100	do	101⅝
100	do	33	100	do	101¾
100	do	33	100	do	101⅞
100	do	33	100	do	102
100	do	33	100	do	102⅛
100	do	33	100	do	102¼
100	do	33	100	do	102⅜
100	do	33	100	do	102½
100	do	33	100	do	102⅝
100	do	33	100	do	102¾
100	do	33	100	do	102⅞
100	do	33	100	do	103
100	do	33	100	do	103⅛
100	do	33	100	do	103¼
100	do	33	100	do	103⅜
100	do	33	100	do	103½
100	do	33	100	do	103⅝
100	do	33	100	do	103¾
100	do	33	100	do	103⅞
100	do	33	100	do	104
100	do	33	100	do	104⅛
100	do	33	100	do	104¼
100	do	33	100	do	104⅜
100	do	33	100	do	104½
100	do	33	100	do	104⅝
100	do	33	100	do	104¾
100	do	33	100	do	104⅞
100	do	33	100	do	105
100	do	33	100	do	105⅛
100	do	33	100	do	105¼
100	do	33	100	do	105⅜
100	do	33	100	do	105½
100	do	33	100	do	105⅝
100	do	33	100	do	105¾
100	do	33	100	do	105⅞
100	do	33	100	do	106
100	do	33	100	do	106⅛
100	do	33	100	do	106¼
100	do	33	100	do	106⅜
100	do	33	100	do	106½
100	do	33	100	do	106⅝
100	do	33	100	do	106¾
100	do	33	100	do	106⅞
100	do	33	100	do	107
100	do	33	100	do	107⅛
100	do	33	100	do	107¼
100	do	33	100	do	107⅜
100	do	33	100	do	107½
100	do	33	100	do	107⅝
100	do	33	100	do	107¾
100	do	33	100	do	107⅞
100	do	33	100	do	108
100	do	33	100	do	108⅛
100	do	33	100	do	108¼
100	do	33	100	do	108⅜
100	do	33	100	do	108½
100	do	33	100	do	108⅝
100	do	33	100	do	108¾
100	do	33	100	do	108⅞
100	do	33	100	do	109
100	do	33	100	do	109⅛
100	do	33	100	do	109¼
100	do	33	100	do	109⅜
100	do	33	100	do	109½
100	do	33	100	do	109⅝
100	do	33	100	do	109¾
100	do	33	100	do	109⅞
100	do	33	100	do	110
100	do	33	100	do	110⅛
100	do	33	100	do	110¼
100	do	33	100	do	110⅜
100	do	33	100	do	110½
100	do	33	100	do	110⅝
100	do	33	100	do	110¾
100	do	33	100	do	110⅞
100	do	33	100	do	111
100	do	33	100	do	111⅛
100	do	33	100	do	111¼
100	do	33	100	do	111⅜
100	do	33	100	do	111½
100	do	33	100	do	111⅝
100	do	33	100	do	111¾
100	do	33	100	do	111⅞
100	do	33	100	do	112
100	do	33	100	do	112⅛
100	do	33	100	do	112¼
100	do	33	100	do	112⅜
100	do	33	100	do	112½
100	do	33	100	do	112⅝
100	do	33	100	do	112¾
100	do	33	100	do	112⅞
100	do	33	100	do	113
100	do	33	100	do	113⅛
100	do	33	100	do	113¼
100	do	33	100	do	113⅜
100	do	33	100	do	113½
100	do	33	100	do	113⅝
100	do	33	100	do	113¾
100	do	33	100	do	113⅞
100	do	33	100	do	114
100	do	33	100	do	114⅛
100	do	33	100	do	114¼
100	do	33	100	do	114⅜
100	do	33	100	do	114½
100	do	33	100	do	114⅝
100	do	33	100	do	114¾
100	do	33	100	do	114⅞
100	do	33	100	do	115
100	do	33	100	do	115⅛
100	do	33	100	do	115¼
100	do	33	100	do	115⅜
100	do	33	100	do	115½
100	do	33	100	do	115⅝
100	do	33	100	do	115¾
100	do	33	100	do	115⅞
100	do	33	100	do	116
100	do	33	100	do	116⅛
100	do	33	100	do	116¼
100	do	33	100	do	116⅜
100	do	33	100	do	116½
100	do	33	100	do	116⅝
100	do	33	100	do	116¾
100	do	33	100	do	116⅞
100	do	33	100	do	117
100	do	33	100	do	117⅛
100	do	33	100	do	117¼
100	do	33	100	do	117⅜
100	do	33	100	do	117½
100	do	33	100	do	117⅝
100	do	33	100	do	117¾
100	do	33	100	do	117⅞
100	do	33	100	do	118
100	do	33	100	do	118⅛
100	do	33	100	do	118¼
100	do	33	100	do	118⅜
100	do	33	100	do	118½
100	do	33	100	do	118⅝
100	do	33	100	do	118¾
100	do	33	100	do	118⅞
100	do	33	100	do	119
100	do	33	100	do	119⅛
100	do	33	100	do	119¼
100	do	33	100	do	119⅜
100	do	33	100	do	119½
100	do	33	100	do	119⅝
100	do	33	100	do	119¾
100	do	33	100	do	119⅞
100	do	33	100	do	120
100	do	33	100	do	120⅛
100	do	33	100	do	120¼
100	do	33	100	do	120⅜
100	do	33	100	do	120½
100	do	33	100	do	120⅝
100	do	33	100	do	120¾
100	do	33	100	do	120⅞
100	do	33	100	do	121
100	do	33	100	do	121⅛
100	do	33	100	do	121¼
100	do	33	100	do	121⅜
100	do	33	100	do	121½
100	do	33	100	do	121⅝
100	do	33	100	do	121¾
100	do	33	100	do	121⅞
100	do	33	100	do	122
100	do	33	100	do	122⅛
100	do	33	100	do	122¼
100	do	33	100	do	122⅜
100	do	33	100	do	122½
100	do	33	100	do	122⅝
100	do	33	100	do	122¾
100	do	33	100	do	122⅞
100	do	33	100	do	123
100	do	33	100	do	123⅛
100	do	33	100	do	123¼
100	do	33	100	do	123⅜
100	do	33	100	do	123½
100	do	33	100	do	123⅝
100	do	33	100	do	123¾
100	do	33	100	do	123⅞
100	do	33	100	do	124
100	do	33	100	do	124⅛
100	do	33	100	do	124¼
100	do	33	100	do	124⅜
100	do	33	100	do	124½
100	do	33	100	do	124⅝
100	do	33	100	do	124¾
100	do	33	100	do	124⅞
100	do	33	100	do	125
100	do	33	100	do	125⅛
100	do	33	100	do	125¼
100	do	33	100	do	125⅜
100	do	33	100	do	125½
100	do	33	100	do	125⅝
100	do	33	100	do	125¾
100	do	33	100	do	125⅞
100	do	33	100	do	126
100	do	33	100	do	126⅛
100	do	33	100	do	126¼
100	do	33	100	do	126⅜
100	do	33	100	do	126½
100	do	33	100	do	126⅝
100	do	33	100	do	126¾
100	do	33	100	do	126⅞
100	do	33	100	do	127
100	do	33	100	do	127⅛
100	do	33	100	do	127¼
100	do	33	100	do	127⅜
100	do	33	100	do	127½
100	do	33	100	do	127⅝
100	do	33	100	do	127¾
100	do	33	100	do	127⅞
100	do	33	100	do	128
100	do	33	100	do	128⅛
100	do	33	100	do	128¼
100	do	33	100	do	128⅜
100	do	33	100	do	128½
100	do	33	100	do	128⅝
100	do	33	100	do	128¾
100	do	33	100	do	128⅞
100	do	33	100	do	129
100	do	33	100	do	129⅛
100	do	33	100	do	129¼
100	do	33	100	do	129⅜
100	do	33	100	do	129½
100	do	33	100	do	129⅝
100	do	33	100	do	129¾
100	do	33	100	do	129⅞
100	do	33	100	do	130
100	do	33	100	do	130⅛
100	do	33	100	do	130¼
100	do	33	100	do	130⅜
100	do	33	100	do	130½
100	do	33	100	do	130⅝
100	do	33	100	do	130¾
100	do	33	100	do	130⅞
100	do	33	100	do	131
100	do	33	100	do	131⅛
100	do	33	100	do	131¼
100	do	33	100	do	131⅜
100	do	33	100	do	131½
100	do	33	100	do	131⅝
100	do	33	100	do	131¾
100	do	33	100	do	131⅞
100	do	33	100	do	132
100	do	33	100	do	132⅛
100	do	33	100	do	132¼
100	do	33	100	do	132⅜
100	do	33	100	do	132½
100	do	33	100	do	132⅝
100	do	33	100	do	132¾
100	do	33	100	do	132⅞
100	do	33	100	do	133
100	do	33	100	do	133⅛
100	do	33	100	do	133¼
100	do	33	100	do	133⅜
100	do	33	100	do	133½
100	do	33	100	do	133⅝
100	do	33	100	do	133¾
100	do	33	100	do	133⅞
100	do	33	100	do	134
100	do	33	100	do	134⅛
100	do	33	100	do	134¼
100	do	33	100	do	134⅜
100	do	33	100	do	134½
100	do	33	100	do	134⅝
100	do	33	100	do	134¾
100	do	33	100	do	134⅞
100	do	33	100	do	135
100	do	33	100	do	135⅛
100	do	33	100	do	135¼
100	do	33	100	do	135⅜
100	do	33	100	do	135½
100	do	33	100	do	135⅝
100	do	33	100	do	135¾
100	do	33	100	do	135⅞
100	do	33	100	do	136
100	do	33	100	do	136⅛
100	do	33	100	do	136¼
100	do	33	100	do	136⅜
100	do	33	100	do	136½
100	do	33	100	do	136⅝
100	do	33	100	do	136¾
100	do	33	100	do	136⅞
100	do	33	100	do	137
100	do	33	100	do	137⅛
100	do	33	100	do	137¼
100	do	33	100	do	137⅜
100	do	33	100	do	137½
100	do	33	100	do	137⅝
100	do	33	100	do	137¾
100	do	33	100	do	137⅞
100	do	33	100	do	138
100	do	33	100	do	138⅛
100	do	33	100	do	138¼
100	do	33	100	do	138⅜
100	do	33	100	do	138½
100	do	33	100	do	138⅝
100	do	33	100	do	138¾
100	do	33	100	do	138⅞
100	do	33	100	do	139
100	do	33	100	do	139⅛
100	do	33	100	do	139¼
100	do

The New-York Times.

WITH SUPPLEMENT.

NEW-YORK, SATURDAY, JUNE 12, 1875.

AMUSEMENTS THIS EVENING.

FIFTH AVENUE THEATRE.—THE BIG BONANZA—Mr. Charles Fisher, Mr. James Lewis, Mr. W. Davidge, Miss Fanny Davenport. Matinée at 1:30.

UNION SQUARE THEATRE.—THE TWO ORPHANS.—Mr. John Parselle, Mr. C. R. Thorne, Jr., Miss Fanny Morant, Miss Kate Claxton. Matinée at 1:30.

WALLACK'S THEATRE.—THE DONOVANS—Messrs. Harrigan and Hart, Mr. J. W. Jennings, Miss Ada Monk, Mme. Ponisi. Matinée at 1:30.

OLYMPIC THEATRE.—VAUDEVILLE AND VARIETY ENTERTAINMENT. Matinée at 2.

BOWERY THEATRE.—DRAMATIC AND VARIETY ENTERTAINMENT. Matinée at 2.

ROBINSON HALL.—GIROFLE-GIROFLA—(English Opera Bouffe.) Matinée at 2.

CENTRAL PARK GARDEN.—SUMMER-NIGHT CONCERT under the direction of Theo. Thomas.

GILMORE'S CONCERT GARDEN.—GRAND INSTRUMENTAL CONCERT by 100 performers.

METROPOLITAN MUSEUM OF ART.—EXHIBITION OF ANCIENT STATUARY, PAINTINGS, &c. Day only.

THE NEW-YORK TIMES.

THE NEW-YORK TIMES is the best family paper published; it contains the latest news and correspondence. It is free from all objectionable advertisements and reports, and may be safely admitted to every domestic circle. The disgraceful announcements of quacks and medical pretenders, which pollute so many newspapers of the day, are not admitted into the columns of THE TIMES on any terms.

TERMS TO MAIL SUBSCRIBERS.

Postage will be prepaid by the Publishers on all Editions of THE TIMES *sent to Subscribers in the United States.*

The DAILY TIMES, per annum, including the Sunday Edition	$12
The DAILY TIMES, per annum, exclusive of the Sunday Edition	10
The Sunday Edition, per annum	2
The SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES, per annum	3
The WEEKLY TIMES, per annum	2

CLUB RATES OF THE WEEKLY.

FIVE COPIES (per annum)	$7 50
TEN COPIES (per annum)	12 50
TWENTY COPIES (per annum)	22 00
THIRTY COPIES (per annum)	30 00

AND ONE EXTRA COPY TO EACH CLUB.

For every club of fifty, one copy of THE SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES to the getter-up of the club.

CLUB RATES OF THE SEMI-WEEKLY TIMES.

Two copies, one year	5
Ten copies, one year, (and one extra copy free,)	25

New names may be added to clubs at any time during the year, at club rates.

THE SEMI-WEEKLY and WEEKLY mailed one year to clergymen at the lowest rates.

These prices are invariable. We have no traveling agents. Remit in drafts on New-York or Post Office Money Orders, if possible, and where neither of these can be procured send the money in a *registered* letter.

Terms, cash in advance.

Address THE NEW-YORK TIMES.
New-York City.

NOTICE.

We cannot notice anonymous communications. In all cases we require the writer's name and address, not for publication, but as a guarantee of good faith.

We cannot, under any circumstances, return rejected communications, nor can we undertake to preserve manuscripts.

This morning THE DAILY TIMES *consists of* TEN PAGES. *Every news-dealer is bound to deliver the paper in its complete form, and any failure to do so should be reported at the publication office.*

THE UP-TOWN OFFICE OF THE TIMES.

The up-town office of THE TIMES *is located at No.* 1,257 *Broadway, between Thirty-first and Thirty-second streets. It is open daily, Sundays included, from* 4 *A. M. to* 9 *P. M. Subscriptions received, and copies of* THE TIMES *for sale. Dealers supplied at* 4 *A. M.*

ADVERTISEMENTS RECEIVED UNTIL 9 P. M.

Persons leaving the City for the Summer can have the Daily TIMES *mailed to their address, postage prepaid, for one dollar per month.*

NOTICE.

We beg to state that on Sunday, the 20th of June, we shall begin the publication of an entirely new and original novel, by Mr. Thomas Hardy, author of "A Pair of Blue Eyes," "Far from the Madding Crowd," and other works. It will be entitled

"THE HAND OF ETHELBERTA,"

and we shall continue its exclusive publication in these columns week by week, until the story is completed. We have no doubt that a novel by one of the most popular writers of the day will add much to the value and interest of the Sunday edition of THE TIMES.

A "Member of Plymouth Church" writes to inform us that the jury in the Beecher case will certainly give a verdict for the defendant, and that THE TIMES will then hasten to declare its belief in Mr. BEECHER'S innocence, and rejoice over it more violently than any other paper. Our correspondent seems to be a very knowing person, and as we have long been looking out for some one who can foresee future events with certainty, we should be glad to give him an engagement in our office. He might save us some trouble—for instance, he could perhaps tell us who will win the next Presidential election. We would not venture to question his prediction about the jury, but we recommend him not to be so sure about THE TIMES. We have been in a minority before now, and have withstood even more powerful organizations than that of Plymouth Church. And we can promise our friend the "Member" that, when this trial is over, we shall express our opinion about it, without the slightest regard to the terrific threats which he and his fellow-members have been hurling at our devoted heads for weeks past.

Mr. BEACH is certainly showing the counsel in the Beecher case how to make a speech. He talks like a man—not like a dreary essayist or like a machine. How does TRACY'S hum-drum manuscript, or Mr. PORTER'S volley of street-slang, compare with this plain and simple address? It almost seems sometimes as though the art of making a good speech were dead. Public speakers make such elaborate preparations for their work that they lose their heads altogether, and forget that they are addressing sensible men and women of the nineteenth century. Mr. BEACH'S speech is that of a man who has not crammed himself with a pack of dreary quotations until he cannot see out of his eyes, but who attends to the plain matter-of-fact business before him. Whoever may win the case, the plaintiff's counsel will, beyond all doubt, carry off the forensic honors of this contest.

The New-York *Tribune*—"the leading American journal"—is suddenly seized with a fit of virtue. It is quite shocked at the guilt of TWEED. Rather late in the day to break out in that particular spot; but who is there among TWEED'S old friends and supporters who does not take a kick at him now? The *Tribune* is also very wrath—five years after date—at the proposition which was once made to erect a statue to TWEED. It is scornful, in its way, at the expense of certain persons who subscribed toward this statue. "The best of us," it says, "make mistakes sometimes about the character of public men, but there never was any mistake about TWEED. His dishonesty was notorious." Quite so—and yet the *Tribune*, at the very time this statue was proposed, wrote thus of TWEED:

"*We make no opposition to Mr. Tweed's bill, and counsel none. Messrs. Tweed, Sweeny, Connolly, and Oakey Hall are expensive, but capable, and we could afford to pay them* $1,000,000 *per annum each.*"

Was it worse for a man to put his name down for $50 toward a statue of the "Boss" than for an "editor" to support him with might and main in a newspaper? Even when we openly charged the leaders of the Ring with being thieves, and proved it, the *Tribune* still gave them all the aid and comfort it could. We make one more quotation from its editorial columns:

"It is tolerably well known that the *Tribune* believes in libel suits. We respectfully suggest to Messrs. OAKEY HALL and RICHARD CONNOLLY that this is a good time for one. Newspapers are not licensed defamers, and the man who, being grossly libeled on a matter of sufficient importance, and in a quarter sufficiently respectable, neglects to punish the libeler in the legal way, fails of his duty to society as well as to himself. Messrs. OAKEY HALL and RICHARD CONNOLLY cannot honorably neglect now to bring a libel suit against THE NEW-YORK TIMES. * * * The managers of THE TIMES are known and responsible. If they have grossly defamed the Mayor and Controller, they should be proceeded against criminally, and brought to trial forthwith. We await the action of Messrs. HALL and CONNOLLY with earnest solicitude."

Is it not a very pretty sight to see a newspaper which thus did TWEED'S dirty work in 1871, turning round in 1875 and assailing men who were far less instrumental in keeping up the Ring than it was itself? Humbug and hypocrisy seem to be tolerably successful in this world. If poor old TWEED reads the moralizings of his former supporter, he will perhaps be reminded of that shrewd old Hindoo proverb which says, "The meanest reptiles sometimes crawl to the top of the highest towers."

It will be seen from details given in another column, that the preparations for deepening and widening the channel of Hell Gate are being steadily prosecuted with a view to the great explosion with which this part of the enterprise will close on July 4, 1876. The work has been prosecuted amid many discouragements—chief among which has been the inadequate appropriations allowed by Congress. The average number of men employed during the last two years in and around the subaqueous galleries, has not exceeded 150, but the new air-drill, which can perforate thirty feet of rock in eight hours, seems to have come in opportunely to supplement the lack of manual labor. So far, the conduct of the work has been equally remarkable for efficiency and success and for strict economy, and its final completion will be a monument to the engineering skill of Gen. NEWTON and all who have been prominently associated with it.

It has been discovered that the provisions of the Repaving of Streets bill, passed at the late legislative session, cannot take effect till next year. The half million of dollars annually allotted for this work must be paid out of the Tax Levy, but as the appropriations for the year have long since been fixed, and cannot now be legally increased, the repaving of down-town thoroughfares must wait. There is at least one compensation to be set against this legislative blunder. The unscrupulous demagogues who at present control the Board of Aldermen will not have the chance of deciding how the work is to be done, or of manipulating the job with a view to their own re-election. The authorization of this important improvement might fall into better hands; it could hardly fall into worse.

We publish to-day some very interesting details of the methods for detecting fraud and rectifying blunders now in operation in the New-York Post Office. Nothing can be more unjust than to charge the chief responsibility for lost or miscarried letters on Post-office employes. The facts narrated in our news columns may help to disabuse the minds of people who are subject to the general misconception which prevails on this point. The negligence of business men themselves, and the dishonesty of their clerks or messengers, give rise to nine-tenths of the complaints which reach the Post Office in regard to the non-delivery of mercantile correspondence. It is not a pleasant subject of contemplation to hear of office boys destroying letters for the sake of the stamps attached to them, or of the money supposed to be inside. But the extent to which this species of dishonesty has been found to exist ought to lead to the more careful selection of Post Office messengers by the business community generally. Our readers will peruse the article in question to very little purpose, if they do not find in it ample testimony to the unflagging energy and great executive ability of Postmaster JAMES. There is probably no better organized branch of the public service at the present moment than the New-York Post Office. Considering the enormous difficulties under which it has been raised to its present high state of perfection, and in spite of which still further improvements in its administration are being effected, it is hardly possible to overrate the obligations which our postal system owes to Col. JAMES.

The interest in the Jerome Park Race-meeting will reach its culminating point to-day. The great three-year-old contest of the season—the Belmont Stakes—is the second race on the programme, and will bring into the field the finest array of thoroughbreds ever seen on an American race-course. Fourteen starters are expected to enter the struggle for the blue ribbon of the turf, and as the stake is worth at least $5,000, there will be substantial profit as well as glory earned by the winner. Mr. MCGRATH is to run his three fine colts *Chesapeake*, *Aristides*, and *Calvin*, and is supposed to have the race pretty much at his mercy. But even with so strong a representation as this, the McGrath stable will be put upon its mettle with competitors so dangerous as Mr. CHAMBERLIN'S *Tom Ochiltree*, Mr. BELMONT'S *Matador* and *Rhadamanthus*, and Mr. LORILLARD'S *Sangara* and *James A.* The Jockey Club Handicap, which closed with twenty-six entries, only finds three horses who accept the weights. This is not a very satisfactory testimony to the efficiency of the approved system of handicapping, but as two of the starters are *Wild Idle* and *Preakness*, the race cannot fail to be a fine one.

WHO OWN CORPORATE PROPERTY?

To one who is accustomed to the old-fashioned theory of corporate management, the modern mode of regarding the subject has a curious appearance. In theory, a business corporation is an association of property-owners, each one of whom is entitled, in the management of the property, to an influence proportioned to the amount of his contribution. Of course, in practice, it is impossible that each shareholder should enjoy his theoretical rights. Every question which arises must be settled in one way or another, and where there are half a dozen different opinions held by as many shareowners, possessing, perhaps, nearly equal amounts of stock, the exact application of the theory would produce a dead-lock. Therefore the method of decision by a majority in interest becomes necessary, and though the rights of some of the minority may thus be prejudiced, that is an inconvenience which cannot altogether be avoided.

But the modern practice goes much further than necessity requires. It substantially ignores the rights of minorities, and in some cases it ignores the rights of majorities as well. It seems to be generally understood that in equity and law the owners of a majority, however small, of the shares of a corporation may entirely disregard the wishes, interests, and rights of the owners of the remainder of the shares. Where the capital is a million of dollars, for instance, in 10,000 shares of $100 each, the owners of 5,001 shares are regarded as entirely irresponsible to the owners of the 4,999. This is simply a practical application of the old rule that those may take who have the power, and those may keep who can. One share beyond a half of the whole number gives the legal right to elect Directors, and Directors can readily be found willing to consult only the owners or owner who elected them.

This substantial injustice is pushed still further when a bare majority of the Directors for the time being, disregard, as they often do, the rights of all the shareholders. But such a policy is only the logical development of the principle underlying the arbitrary rule of the majority. It may be said that in the case of majority rule, the interests of the company are the same as those of the majority. But that is not the case. The capital which a bare majority controls is the whole capital of the company; the loss to which the majority is exposed may be only a little more than half the total loss risked. In this way nearly one-half the ordinary incitements to prudence and caution operative on the minds of individual capitalists are done away with. When we reflect on the rashness of even this latter class, in the eager pursuit of gain, we can see how serious is the danger of which the majority rule is the source.

One consequence of this method of corporate management is the undue influence of individuals in corporations. Owners of moderate amounts of shares in large corporations have gradually come to regard themselves, not as joint undertakers in an enterprise, but as simply the owners of certain proportions of profits. They have learned that small amounts of stock can give no voice in the management, and they will not trouble themselves to use a power so extremely limited and so unfruitful. They abandon all idea of sharing in the conduct of business, content to pocket gains when they can, and to sell out when there are no gains. In this way the amount of stock necessary to control the direction of a company is greatly lessened, and though a majority is alone capable of giving absolutely secure control, far smaller amounts are often employed.

With this result comes another—a weakened sense of responsibility in officers and Directors. These, in too many instances, come to regard the stock, not as conferring a property right in the business and entitling the owners to the largest possible legitimate and permanent profit, but only as an instrument for Stock Exchange gambling. Accordingly, we see officers of corporations "bearing" or "bulling" their own stocks, that is, betting that it will be lower or higher, and then trying to make their bets good, independent of the interest of the share-owners. In this way dividends are passed or forced, false or exaggerated statements are set afloat, corporate credit is weakened or inflated, and the unfortunate *bona fide* owners foot the bills. It is much easier to describe these evils than to suggest an adequate remedy; but it is certain that if our laws as to corporations are not revised so as to give greater protection to the rights of minorities, corporate credit generally will be exposed to very serious and continually-increasing risks.

ANOTHER BROOKLYN BURGLARY.

The Brooklyn burglar who yesterday morning entered a house within half a dozen blocks from that occupied by Mr. SCHUTE, evidently calculated on two things. First, that the obvious lessons of the crime of Tuesday morning would not be heeded, even in the immediate neighborhood of its occurrence. Second, that he could safely brave all the risks which might be anticipated from the increased vigilance of the Police. In both respects, his expectations were borne out by the facts. He found a basement window fastening which he could open with a knife, perfectly free access from the basement to the parlor floor, and open bedroom doors unguarded by chain or wire. Mr. COOK, the occupant of the house, had, indeed, placed a revolver under his pillow; but as it was the wife who, in this instance, was awakened by the noise of the robber in the house, she, prudently enough, did not subject her husband to the risk of a violent encounter. The estimate which this burglar placed upon the detective ability of the Brooklyn force is not likely to prove mistaken. Like his fellow-ruffian of Tuesday, he got clear away "across the fields," and that is probably the last we shall hear of him. The Scotch cap which he left behind will be treasured as a "clue" for a few days and then thrown away among the mass of similar mementoes of undetected crime, of which the Brooklyn Police Department ought to have quite an imposing array.

It is but natural that the people living in a neighborhood where two daring burglaries have been attempted within three days should feel somewhat alarmed. There is evidence of cool professional instinct about the burglars' refusal to abandon a promising field of operations because the residents are excited about the safety of their property, and are presumably on their guard. Mr. COOK did happen to have a door-latch which was strong enough to weary out the burglar's patience. But his window catch was as insecure as the most delusive of such fastenings could be, and the idea of securely bolting the door at the top of his kitchen stair, or procuring a chain fastening for his bedroom door, does not appear to have occurred to him. It is much easier to lay a revolver under one's pillow than to proceed to supplement the shortcomings of the builder of the period in the matter of door and window fastenings. The burglars know that nothing short of a general "scare" will make people take troublesome precautions which they have long neglected with impunity. The season can hardly be said to have opened prosperously for the class which lives by breaking into other people's houses, but its members have as yet learned no lesson likely to deter them from making fresh efforts with every prospect of success. Had the robber of Mr. COOK'S house been a little less greedy and a trifle more cautious, he might have carried off quite a respectable booty without alarming any one.

The public responsibility for inviting the inroads of burglars is clear enough; that of the Brooklyn Police would seem to be hardly less so. After making all needful allowances for the wide extent of the Brooklyn jurisdiction, and the comparatively small force required to cover it, there can be no question that the character for stupidity which has been earned by the detectives of that city increases its attractiveness to professional thieves. The population of Brooklyn is of a far less miscellaneous character than the population of New-York, and it must be very much easier to discover in it any new infusion of the element which lives by crime. Every fresh exploit by this class seems to strike the Brooklyn detectives with blank surprise, from which they recover only to start some silly theory or to make a series of frantic and ill-directed efforts which end in making them ridiculous. They may be on the track of the Schute assassin, and they may succeed in identifying the burglar who entered Mr. COOK'S house. We sincerely hope that they will, as in the event of both efforts failing, Brooklyn's reputation as the scene of successful burglaries is likely to eclipse even its reputation as the home of the "great scandal."

RAILROAD INVESTMENTS.

In a recent article on the bonded indebtedness of the railroads of the United States, we called attention only to the investment in what are called—sometimes the holders, doubtless, think ironically—railroad securities. That form of indebtedness is estimated at $1,700,000,000, and more than one-third of it is not now paying interest. But the whole capital invested in the railroads in the United States is about $4,000,000,000, considerably more than half being in stock. Perhaps it is not too large an estimate to say that two thousand millions of the money invested in our railroads has ceased to pay interest. Any one looking over the stock quotations finds so few railroad stocks standing at or even near par, that, if seeking an investment, he has little encouragement to try any of them. Even roads that, a few years ago, were paying good dividends, earning honestly every year a handsome interest on their cost, have fallen into financial embarrassment.

The Rockford, Rock Island, and St. Louis Railroad Company has furnished a striking illustration of railroad bankruptcy. It was built with bonds, as has been the modern fashion, the corporation not risking much in the way of stock, but preferring to use other people's money instead of their own. The debts of the corporation are now about twelve millions of dollars, and the road is estimated to be worth about one million. If it should sell for that amount, the holders of the bonds would yet get no more than half of it, as the other half would be used to pay floating indebtedness and the lawyers' and Trustees' charges. Practically, the men who have advanced the money will see no return from it; but it is safe to assume that a good many persons who have had the management of the funds and the building of such portions of the road as have been completed, have made themselves rich. This is an exceptional case, and yet it is not alone as an instance where large sums of money have been absorbed by railroads and railroad managers, while the men who advanced the funds seek in vain for some return for their advances. There are many other roads where stock to the amount of millions of dollars long ago became worthless, and bonds supposed to have solid security, now find no buyers. Extravagant management, expensive litigation, schemes of magnificent development, have done for the property what they do for any property—ruined it; and, besides these forms of squandering, the managers and their friends have grown rich, while the real owners of the roads have grown poor.

The fortunes that it has been possible to make out of the construction or operation of railroads, has led to much of this railroad swindling—for it deserves no milder name. A railroad is projected, not because there is any demand for it, but because it is necessary to have some base of operations upon credulous money-lenders. A little stock is subscribed, a small per cent. paid in, either in notes or uncertified checks, and the corporation organizes, issues its bonds bearing a high rate of interest, publishes its flaming circulars, secures its financial agents, who are to have a large commission on every bond sold, advertises by the column in newspapers of wide circulation, and sometimes buys editorial notices in papers that make money under cover of the cloak of religion, and begins to realize the profits of its grand confidence game. The managers, then, contract with themselves, perhaps under the thin disguise of a Credit Mobilier organization, and proceed to put into their pockets as much of the money as they can and escape the clutches of the law, keeping up the delusion of building a railroad as long as it is profitable, and then leaving the bondholders, scattered over half a continent, to take the property. As they have no use for it, however, are too much scattered for any organization, and have lost too much to spend more in litigation, these same operators buy the railroad for less than its actual cost, take in, as partners, the managers in some connecting road that is earning more than its expenses, and either sell or lease the property at a rate that gives them another profit, at the expense of another set of property-owners.

We have no disposition to discourage the building of railroads where they may be needed, but we advise the money-lenders of moderate means, and outside of rings, to be very cautious about new or fictitious railroad investments. In the long run, their money will be much more profitable to themselves, and much more useful to the public, if invested in some other field.

THE POLICY FOR WORKING MEN.

Fourteen hundred steerage passengers leaving New-York in one day to return to Europe is an event of no small significance. Coupled with the estimate, based upon the immigration returns for the first five months of the current year, that our supply of immigrants will be more than 50,000 less than it was in 1874, it assumes an importance of considerable magnitude. If the current of the laboring population should continue in the same direction, and maintain the same ratio, it will leave a very small balance in our favor. Hitherto, for many years, immigration has been regarded as a source of much wealth, while it has certainly aided very materially to swell the census returns. But if the present condition of things is to last, and there is no present reason to think that it will not, this feature in our progress must change. We must learn to rely more upon the labor and the energies of the people already here, and to be less dependent upon supplies from Castle Garden.

The causes that have led to this, so far as they are to be found in the United States, are not altogether satisfactory, but, apart from them, the prospect may be regarded as not unfavorable. It would be hard to prove that the labor market is not well supplied. If there be less demand for labor than there was two or three years ago, business is of a more substantial character. If working men do not receive such high wages, they can secure more steady employment at lower wages, if only they will take it. Few of them need to remain long out of work if they will take what they can get, in the City or out of it. But they must agree to terms which employers can afford to pay, and they must avoid the evil advice of union leaders. If there should arise any greatly increased demand for labor suddenly, or within a brief period, it will probably be the result of a revival of speculative tendencies, and of an activity that is, in the main, fictitious. This is not desirable. In the meanwhile the working classes have a favorable opportunity for really strengthening their condition. It is a mistake for them to suppose that the way to make money is to enforce high pay. Steady employment, at a fair remuneration, with thrifty habits, will do more for them than working by fits and starts for higher pay, and indulging in the recklessness which such a mode of life engenders. The absence of a large immigration from abroad means also the absence of a very disturbing influence to the working classes at home. Thus they have a better opportunity to settle down into some steady method of life, and the gain, if they take it, will be long appreciable.

Wages in England are moderate, although they are higher by fifty per cent. than they were a few years ago, and looking back a little further, but still within the period of recollection of any man of middle age, they are nearly, if not quite, doubled. Still, compared with the rates ruling here, and making all allowance for the much cheaper means of living in England as compared with New-York, wages there are certainly not high. But the savings of the working men in that country, as shown by the bank returns, aggregate an enormous amount annually. The extension of the savings bank system has indeed largely stimulated thrifty habits in the working classes. It is an excellent principle, adopted by many large companies, and one which redounds to their own advantage, of affording special facilities in this way for the benefit of their employes. All the large railway companies, for instance, have savings banks of their own for the purpose. They are not public institutions, nor do they involve anything compulsory. But we notice that in one of them alone—that belonging to the South-eastern Railway, the annual report of which has just been published—the sums received during the year 1874 amounted to nearly $110,000. This represented the year's savings of the persons employed, and mostly on weekly wages, by that company. The balance in the hands of the bank is nearly $270,000.

We mention this as an indication to the working men of what can be done in the way of saving, even when salaries are low, provided that the employment be constant. If only they who are in this country would be satisfied with those two conditions, they would be in all respects better off. Their families would enjoy more comfort, more freedom, and more health, and the future would be more surely provided for. This is the advantage they should seek to attain during the decline of immigration. If they think to use it for the purpose of raising the rates of wages, they will deceive themselves. The time has come when most employers here will rather dispense with work that they want done than pay salaries which they cannot afford. But if men will work for reasonable pay, looking forward to less disturbance from abroad, they may secure for themselves many good chances of steady employment, and then if, in due time, they are not prospering, it will be their own fault.

OBITUARY.

PROF. JOSEPH WINLOCK.

Prof. Joseph Winlock, Director of the Observatory at Cambridge, Mass., died suddenly yesterday morning. Prof. Winlock was a native of Kentucky, and originally went to Cambridge in charge of the work on the Nautical Almanac, which was executed there under the direction of the Coast Survey. From that position he was, in 1866, appointed to succeed Mr. George Phillips Bond, as Director of the Observatory of Harvard College and Phillips Professor of Astronomy and Professor of Geodesy. His contributions to astronomical science were scarcely surpassed by those of any living American, and in the application of photography in astronomical observations his services were valuable and constant. On the occasion of the total solar eclipse of 1869 Prof. Winlock had the principal charge of observations made at Shelbyville, in Kentucky, and handled himself the telescope of the Shelbyville College, which once ranked third in the United States. He had also charge of the American expedition to Spain for the observation of the solar eclipse of Dec. 22, 1870.

JUDGE MARTIN RYERSON.

Judge Martin Ryerson, late a member of the Geneva Commission on the Alabama Claims, died yesterday morning at Newton, N. J., aged sixty years.

CLASS DAY EXERCISES AT BROWN UNIVERSITY.

THE BURNING OF THE GASPEE CELEBRATION—PLANTING OF THE CLASS TREE—THE ORATIONS AND OTHER EXERCISES—STANDING OF THE GRADUATING CLASS.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

PROVIDENCE, R. I., June 11.—The day just closing has been a red letter day in the history of the Class of '75 at Brown. All things have united to make the celebration of this chief festival of the college year eminently successful, and all are agreed that the present graduating class has equaled, and perhaps surpassed, some of its more recent predecessors in its literary efforts. The sky was cloudless and the air was clear and bracing; the Campus was at its greenest and was sprinkled with great patches of shadow beneath the venerable elms which everywhere abound. A centennial celebration of the burning of the Gaspee has attracted thousands, but it seems to have had no charm for the friends of the college graduates. Manning Hall was crowded as of yore, and the well-kept walks were filled with promenaders. The large library, with its crowded shelves, was thrown open during the early morning hours and was visited by multitudes. Rhode Island Hall, the home of Brown's Museum and Art Collection, has been open throughout the day and has attracted many visitors. At 10 o'clock the crowd began to gather, and at 10:30 Manning Hall was filled. Ten minutes later the doors were opened for the graduating class, which entered accompanied by the President of the university. At the conclusion of the preliminary exercises the Class President, C. A. Reese, pronounced an address of welcome. He reviewed briefly the history of the graduating class which entered with fifty-six youths, but will graduate only thirty-nine. He then spoke of the profound gratitude which the class would ever feel for the advantages and instruction received at Brown. The orator of the day, Benjamin Baker, was then introduced and delivered an oration which was received with marked tokens of approval. A brief abstract of the oration is as follows:

THE ORATION.

There seems to be in the arts an element of unity. The arts are so connected, so dependent one on another, that we must declare them sprung from some common origin, united by one common bond, traversing similar and common historic ground, and accompanying the same common ends. But do the fine arts—the realization of what is worthiest and loveliest—show the common bond? The color has its mixer, the canvas its weaver, the chisel its maker. Man has an ideal: unvarying nature. He stamps it on all his productions. The work in every case must ultimately show the workman. Man is the measure and the gauge of the arts. They are a measure and a gauge of him. From him they spring. They are expressions and results of his weakness and his desire. But not within the limited sphere of the individual lie all human needs. We recognize a relation of man to man. There is requisite a union for strength and progress. There is the necessity of means to satisfy man's cravings, of aids to secure united safety and to forward the march of improvement. These means, these aids, are the arts. The savage whom danger compelled to shape the first bow began the art which gave Napoleon victory at Jena. Man is the animal who develops the fine arts, who, even as God breathed into him the breath of life, breathes into the inanimate clay and bronze and stone the breath of a divine intelligence. But as unto Adam God brought his creatures, and Adam gave to each its appropriate name, distinguishing the good and useful from the unserviceable and evil, just so imagination presents its manifold creation to reason and she pronounces with no unfaltering tongue on their desirableness and value. But higher than reason is the faculty which constitutes man the poet, the maker—imagination. But, it will be asked, what imagination can be found in the mere professions, in art used in its widest significance. We know the fine arts embody that faculty; that it is their pioneer; that Michael Angelo realized the Last Judgment. Here we recognize the influence of the creative power; but where in the undiversified round and drudgery of the trades and professions can we find in them or their products the impress of this faculty? In the earlier times man in his low condition could not at once rise to the highest exercise of this power, but as he possessed the same faculty, only of lower vigor and practice, its results must have been correspondingly lower and meaner, while even its highest exercise could not furnish the most perfect work. These results are the methods of the industrial arts—are those arts taken as a whole. But their uses forbade the show of the ideal, and the long lapse of time makes us overlook their creation. On the other hand, the fine arts bring to each particular result, as well as to the art itself, the idealizing power. Herein lies the difference.

"There is in man's nature what we do not find elsewhere in creation, what we know to be the basis of the character of the individual, the well-being of nations, the destiny of the race. All the arts are founded on principles of truth and right and justice, but the fine arts build more accurately and more universally. The mechanic in his work, for the most part, acts upon few and unimportant principles. The inventor extends them, adding imagination and reason, while the poet must bring to his task his deepest emotion, his greatest strength of mind, his grandest and most beautiful ideals, his worthiest character, even invoking the inspiration of the "heavenly muse." Painting, sculpture, architecture, poesy, music, are worthless save as they express man's deepest convictions and loftiest character. In arts, as in acts, it is the motive which prompts that gives dignity and value. Fulfill all those motives which spring from an ideally complete humanity and we have perfection—sum of all truth. Some one has said: "Of aspiration we cannot have too much, of desperation we cannot have too little. Aspire and live; despair and die." Of art this is especially true; and like the springing blade—now green by the presence of the vital force, now sere and yellow by its absence, they flourish with aspiration, without it, die. But aspiration is only one of the many emotions which we say characterize the fine arts. But they no less truly characterize the lower trades and professions. They embrace in less degree and under opposite conditions human sympathy and feeling, human sensitiveness, the love which waits and suffers. But they seem congealed, immutably fixed. Look closer, and you see they are not inert. Fine arts are of the present—an ever present, ever active intensity of feeling; the lower, of an intensity past and wasted, but not irrevocably nor completely wasted. Since man is emotional in all his works he must be in these, if they are of him. But as he approaches perfection, as he possesses more powers working in greater harmony and vigor, so too they reach toward the highest, as they embody and reveal more of his powers, more of their harmony and vigor. The many circumstances of external nature occasion, they do not necessarily cause or fix the origin or the progress of art. The arts indicate a choice, a choice in view of certain facts. We have its results, but the alternative has passed from mind, and we can only know of its existence. Like all human expression, however, they are worthless, save as they appeal to the individual and society. How shall they make the appeal? Only through the circumstances in which man exists; for God himself, omnipresent and omniscient, can evoke His revelations only within the limits of human knowledge, original and acquired. Only by the old and the known can we understand the new and the unknown. So we seize circumstances, not as controlling us but as available means to the end desired, free to act within our knowledge, free to extend it, free to choose the best, as those engaged in acts and professions, copying most accurately what is most essential and characteristic, and so morally bound to reproduce the most salient features of our time, remembering, however, that he who conforms so closely to the foibles of men and the peculiarities of an age or place as to ignore or eliminate the real and living in man, the permanent and best in nature, may perform his part with some applause at first but at the end shall find himself forgotten and see the curtain fall upon a deserted house.

If, then art is of man, we shall find that it varies with his physical, intellectual, and moral state; that, river-like, it flows, winds, and broadens, just as human sentiment, thought, and character flow, wind, and broaden. History declares that it is so. Whatever arts do they do for man. They protect the individual and unite the race. They increase his knowledge and are themselves actual attainments of it. They purify the sentiment of society and contribute to its welfare. They originated to supply certain human wants, and it needs no study to show that what they aim for and attempt they fulfill and achieve. The individuals in whose hands they have placed the golden apples of comfort, culture, and advancement rise up and call them blessed. Society, in whose hands they have placed the gifts of permanency and progress, rises up and calls them blessed. Indistinct voices from the past speak their praises, voices of the present add their applause. Prospective voices tell a future's greater appreciation, a future's greater applause.

The able production of Mr. Baker was followed by the no less able poem on "Friendship," delivered by C. R. Talbot. Music followed, and the morning exercises were finished.

THE AFTERNOON FESTIVITIES.

At 3 P. M. the college Campus again showed signs of approaching festivities. The class tree which was to be planted occupied a conspicuous position in the front Campus. The speakers' stand had been erected near. A procession made up of the four classes, and led by the American Band, left Rhode Island Hall as the hour of 3:30 approached. In the immediate vicinity of the class tree the lawn was crowded with eager spectators. The class advanced to the platform and the Faculty and speakers mounted the stand. The exercises consisted of addresses to the class and to the undergraduates. The regular class-tree addresses were made by R. E. Walker and T. S. Gladding. Both were well written and effectively delivered. Then followed the address to the undergraduates by E. F. Warner. The speech abounded in witticisms, and the recounting of exploits of "'75," the reviving of the jokes of the class-room, and an occasional sly hit at the Faculty made up an address thoroughly appreciated and frequently applauded. At the conclusion of this address the President of the university, Rev. Dr. E. G. Robinson, was introduced. His address was brief, but its effect will be lasting. He spoke both to the graduating class and to those left behind. He urged upon the graduating class the necessity of remembering that their education was not completed. They must now gird themselves for the real life-battle which was just about to commence. To those who remained he expressed the hope that they might realize that as the upper classes stepped out they were hurrying on, and were fast passing their opportunities. He wished the time might come when that pernicious idea that the Faculty and students of a college were natural enemies might be rooted out. It had been planted centuries ago, and it still prevailed to a great extent. The students often seemed banded against their teacher as against a natural foe. The instructor of to-day was not as ages ago a slave, or in the Middle Ages a monk or a recluse. He was a worldly man in the truest sense of that term. His duty was to instruct and help his pupils, and not until they were willing to recognize that could he accomplish all that he ought to accomplish. He did not wish to imply that the students at Brown were unappreciative or neglectful of their duty. He believed that no body of students of equal number had done so well, but he hoped the day would come when the student would more completely feel that his interests and the Faculty's were one.

The planting of the class tree followed, each member of the class contributing his spadeful of earth. The class-tree hymn was sung once more, the audience scattered, and preparations for the evening entertainment at once began. It is the closing exercise of class day at Brown which gives it its chief charm and attracts the largest multitudes. Long lines of Chinese lanterns hang in festoons from the branches of the great elms; the music is the choicest of the day; the toilets are most elaborate. None are admitted but invited guests, but the numbers swell to thousands. The unusual beauty of the night renders the evening promenade this year more enjoyable than usual, and the grim old college buildings are ablaze with light. At 10 o'clock the crowds will begin to melt away. The lanterns will have burned out, the drum-beat will gather the four classes, the graduates will be escorted to the banquet hall. The Freshmen of yesterday will attempt to assume the privileges of the Sophomore and will be resisted, there will be a "cane rush" perhaps for a moment, and then the Campus will be deserted and will witness no similar scenes till the end of another year.

AMUSEMENTS.

TO-DAY'S MATINEES.

A matinée performance of "The Donovans" may be enjoyed to-day, at Wallack's Theatre. At the Union Square Theatre the last day performance of "The Two Orphans" this season, can be attended. "The Big Bonanza" will be acted this afternoon as well as this evening at the Fifth Avenue Theatre, and there will be *après midi* entertainments at Robinson Hall, where "Girofle-Girofla" is represented in English, and at the Bowery and Olympic Theatres. Gilmore's Concert Garden, we note, being open as usual.

CENTRAL PARK GARDEN.

The rumors as to the possible closing of this establishment are, we learn, rumors only. The series of concerts by Mr. Thomas' orchestra will, as usual, extend, we are assured, over the whole Summer.

HOME GOSSIP.

Mr. Toole appeared this week in Buffalo.

Mr. Charles Fechter is in Montreal.

Mr. J. B. Polk goes to the New-Orleans Varieties next season.

Mme. Janauschek was this week in San Francisco.

The Lingards have successfully produced "The Two Orphans" at the California Theatre.

Mrs. Oates was at last accounts at Maguire's, in San Francisco.

Mr. Tony Pastor and his company were this week in Cincinnati.

Miss Fanny Davenport has gone to enjoy a brief term of repose in the vicinity of Minnequa, N. J.

Mr. John Gilbert is this week at the Boston Museum, and Mr. Beckett is acting in burlesque at the Boston Globe.

THE INDIANS.

SCARCITY OF FLOUR AND SUGAR AT FORT SILL AGENCY—A FREIGHT CONTRACTOR'S DELINQUENCIES.

WASHINGTON, June 11.—In reply to the statement made by Col. Hatch, at Fort Sill, as to the supplies for the Indians at that agency, and the indorsement of Gen. Pope that it was idle to expect the Indians to remain on the reservation with the prospect of starvation, and the military would not be held accountable for any outbreak, J. M. Haworth, one of the Friends' Agents for the Indian Territory, has written a letter to Friend Enoch Hoag, Superintendent of Indian Affairs at Lawrence, Kan. It is dated Kiowa and Comanche Agency, May 31. The writer says: "Among other things, during the past Winter, my people have suffered only for flour and sug

THE TURF.

THE GREAT DAY OF THE AMERICAN JOCKEY CLUB.

THE AMERICAN DERBY—ENTRIES FOR THE BELMONT STAKES AND OTHER RACES—PROGRAMME OF TO-DAY'S EVENTS—SPLENDID SPORT ANTICIPATED—LAST NIGHT'S POOL-SELLING.

The day anxiously looked forward to has at length arrived—the great day of the Spring meeting of the American Jockey Club—the American Derby. Never before has so keen an interest been felt in the race for the Belmont Stakes, and never has a finer lot of colts come together in this country than those promised to-day. Besides the principal feature, there are four other events to be decided, each of them attracting fine fields, and the day altogether will be a memorable one in the history of the American turf.

The sport is to be inaugurated with a dash of a mile and a half for all ages for a purse of $500, for which there are seven entries, as follows: Bob Woolley, 3 years, 90 pounds; Fadladeen, aged, 118 pounds; Springbok, 5 years, 114 pounds; B. F. Carver, 5 years, 114 pounds; Dublin, 4 years, 108 pounds; Invoice, 3 years, 87 pounds, and Mate, 6 years, 118 pounds. These are all fast, and, although it is thought Springbok will have the race at his mercy, a good contest may be looked for, and the favorite will have to do some good running in order to win. Following this will come the grand race of the day, viz., the Belmont Stakes, for three-year olds, $100 each, half forfeit, and $1,500 added; the second horse to receive $300 out of the stakes. It will be the ninth renewal of the race, and eleven stables were announced last night to be represented at the post, with about fourteen starters, a larger number than ever before entered. McGrath's stock are strong favorites, and he will start his three entries, Chesapeake, by Lexington, out of Roxanna, by imported Chesterfield; Calvin, by Tipperary, out of Lucy Fowler, by imported Albion, and Aristides, by imported Leamington, out of Sarony, by Lexington. The second favorite is Mr. Chamberlin's colt, Tom Ochiltree, by Lexington, out of Katona, by Voucher, who was little thought of early in the season. The book-makers offered eighteen to one against him, but the colt won his races so easily at Baltimore that he made a very decided impression, and there was consequently a rush for him and he speedily became the favorite. He is a very large and rangy colt, over sixteen hands high, and promises to make a sensation before the close of the season. Mr. Lorillard's stable is being well backed. He will, in all probability, start Sangara, by Beadsman, out of imported Girasol, by Asteroid, the full sister to Saxon, who won this race last year. His other representative will be James A., by imported Leamington, out of Maiden, by Lexington. Mr. Cameron's colors will be borne by Baymaster, by imported Warminster, out of imported Stolen Kisses, (Reform's dam,) by Knight of Kars. Mr. Belmont has five entries, and he will in all probability send to the post Khadamanthus by imported Leamington out of Nemesis, by imported Eclipse, and imported Matador by Gladiateur out of Nonpareil, by Kingston. Both ran splendidly in the Withers Stake, and the gentlemen are backing the stable without fear. John O'Donnell has the ch. c. Milner, by imported Leamington, dam by Lexington, grand dam by imported Sovereign. The colt has been strongly backed throughout the Winter and Spring, and his trial run with Springbok on the first day of the meeting gave satisfaction to his backers. He defeated Bigfellow and Big Sandy with ease, although both colts came from the West with a good reputation. Col. McDaniel will start two—Willie Burke, by Baywood out of Katinka by imported Australian, and Jo Cerns, by imported Australian out of Bertha Ward by Lexington. It will be Willie Burke's first appearance for the season, and if he retains his last year's form, will be hard to beat. His stable companion, Jo Cerns, however, is thought better of, but there is nothing yet to warrant us in coming to the conclusion that he is better than Willie Burke. Gen. Harney, of St. Louis will start Ozark, by Pat Malloy, out of imported Sunny South, by Irish Birdcatcher. This colt was placed third in the Withers Stake, and showed a fine burst of speed in finishing. Puryear & Co. will start Warwick, by imported Leamington, out of Minnie Minor, by Lexington; and Meco, by Narragansett, out of Julietta, by Censor. James A. Grinstead will be represented by the ch. c. by imported Phaeton, out of Toxay, by imported Yorkshire, and Robin on & Morgan. Bob Woolley, by Leamington out of Item, by Lexington. In this list we have the choicest native and foreign blood, and the grandest stake race yet seen in America may be anticipated. There is every indication of fine weather at this writing, and the track will be in prime condition and well sprinkled. The third race on the card is the Jockey Club Handicap, two miles, and of the twenty-six nominations three only are officially announced to start. These are Wild Idle, 5 years, with 111 pounds; Preakness, aged, 130 pounds, and Grinstead, 4 years, 109 pounds. The fourth race is the Members' Cup, one mile and an eighth, members of the club to ride. The entries are Warrior, 152 pounds; Rusk, 140 pounds; Spindrift, 136 pounds, and Coronet, 136 pounds. The concluding race of the day is a selling race, for all ages, one mile and three-quarters, which has eleven entries: Kadi, 107 pounds; Mattie W., 90 pounds; Survivor, 106 pounds; Leader, 78 pounds; Resolute, 99 pounds; Donnybrook, 102 pounds; Cariboo, 102 pounds; Big Sandy, 90 pounds; Stolz Sacaol, 106 pounds; Brigand, 108 pounds, and Hoaxer, 93 pounds.

The pool-rooms were crowded to suffocation last night, and the pools sold as follows:

MILE AND AN EIGHTH.

Springbok	$50	$155
Fadladeen		
Springbok		
B. F. Carver	15	55
Dublin		
Invoice		
Mate		

BELMONT STAKES.

	Club-rooms.	Turf.	Exchange.	
McGrath	$160	$300	$200	$405
Chamberlin	75	150	51	97
Lorillard	10	35	40	76
O'Donnell	10	20	37	68
McDaniel	10	35	41	75
Cameron	10	10		
Belmont	25	35		
Harney	10	15		
Puryear	10	20	50	94
Grinstead	10	15		
Robinson & Morgan	10	5		
Total	$340	$640	$419	$813

JOCKEY CLUB HANDICAP.

	Club Rooms.	Turf.	Exchange.	
Wild Idle	$160	$100	$500	$150
Preakness	55	90	131	60
Grinstead	55	50	85	43
Total	$300	$290	$516	$253

SELLING RACE.

	Club-rooms.		Club-rooms.	
Brigand	$25	$45	Kadi	$5
Stockwood	10	20	Mattie W	5
Cariboo	10	20	Leader	5
Donnybrook	10	15	Big Sandy	5
Resolute	10	10	Hoaxer	5
Survivor	10	15		
Total			$100	$155

No pools were sold on the members' race.

BOOK BETTING ON THE BELMONT STAKES.

The following were the odds in The American Jockey Club betting books last night against the starters in the Belmont Stakes:

Calvin	even.	Sangara	8 to 1
Chesapeake	2 to 1	Ozark	10 to 1
Jo. Cerns	3 to 1	James A	10 to 1
Tom Ochiltree	3 to 1	Matador	10 to 1
Willie Burke	4 to 1	Meco	10 to 1
Aristides	5 to 1	Warwick	12 to 1
Milner	6 to 1	Phaeton colt	15 to 1
Baymaster	8 to 1	Bob Woolley	15 to 1
Khadamanthus	8 to 1		

TROTTING AT DEERFOOT PARK.

The June Meeting at Deerfoot Park closed yesterday with two races, which were both won by the favorites.

SUMMARY.

DEERFOOT PARK, Long Island, Friday, June 11.—Purse $200, for horses that had never beaten 2:35; $115 to first, $65 to second, and $20 to third.

R. H. Atkins' ch. g. Silver Tail	1	1	1
C. Whalen's b. m. Safety	2	2	4
Thomas O'Conner's gr. g. Sam Huntington	3	5	2
Helling's br. g. Mack	4	3	3
W. S. Thom's b. g. Yorky Jack	5	4	dis
J. Buckley's ch. g. Harry B	6	6	dr.
E. H. Smith's b. g. Chieftain			dis.

TIME.	Quarter.	Half.	Mile.
First heat	40	1:0	2:44
Second heat	40	1:20	2:43½
Third heat	41	1:21	2:44

SAME DAY.—Purse, $200; for horses that had never beaten 2:44.

William Nolan's blk. g. Bill Nolan	1	1	1
A. Helling's ch. g. Doocey	3	2	2
E. H. Herd's blk. m. Elizabeth	2	3	3
A. Sodine's b. g. Lyman	4	6	5
L. C. Whalen's g. g. Dandelion	6	4	6
E. Gateson's b. g. Plumber Boy	7	6	4
P. Manee's r. g. Gideon	5	7	7

TIME.	Quarter.	Half.	Mile
First heat	42	1:23½	2:15½
Second heat	40	1:21	2:45
Third heat	39	1:19½	1:14¾

RACING AT MANCHESTER, N. H.

MANCHESTER, N. H., June 11.—The races of the third and last day of the June meeting at the trotting park were more fully attended than those on any of the preceding days. Eight horses started in the 2:50 race. It was won by b. m. Minnie Lambert. Time, 2:36, 2:39¼, 2:37¼.

In the 2:29 race, four horses started. The winner was g. g. Bristol Bill. Time, 2:34½, 2:33, 2:30.

TROTTING AT FLEETWOOD PARK.

Three trots came off at Fleetwood Park yesterday, of which the following are the summaries:

FLEETWOOD PARK, NEW-YORK, June 11, 1875.—Purse, $100; mile heats, three in five, to wagons; $60 to the first, $30 to the second, and $10 to the third; catch weights.

Gen. Gilbert's s. g. Harry Gilbert	1	1	1
A. Patterson's b. h. Fourth of July	2	2	2
J. Murphy's b. m. Kate Hughes	3	3	3

TIME.	Quarter.	Half.	Mile.
First heat	37½	1:17	2:40¾
Second heat	39	1:17¾	2:42
Third heat	39	1:19	2:41¾

SAME DAY.—Sweepstakes $250; mile heats, best three in five, in harness; at catch weight, and owners to drive.

P. Chicken's b. g. Panic	5	1	2	4	1 1
W. Van's b. g. Blind Boy	2	3	1	1	4 2
J. Chicken's b. g. Pet	1	2	3	3	3 3
J. Donover's b. g. Fly	3	5	5	2	2 ro.
F. Loundes' b. m. Butcher Girl	4	4	4	5	dr.

TIME.	Quarter.	Half.	Mile.
First heat	43¾	1:25¼	2:52¼
Second heat	42	1:27½	2:49¾
Third heat	41½	1:22	2:49¼
Fourth heat	40	1:20½	2:47½
Fifth heat	44	1:24¼	2:55½
Sixth heat	32½	1:12½	2:53

SAME DAY.—Sweepstakes, $300; mile heats, three in five, in harness.

J. Haslett's b. g. Sherman	2	2	1	1	1
J. Splan's gr. g. T. J. Stevens	1	1	2	2	2
J. Murphy's br. m. Lady Trimble	dr.				

TIME.	Quarter.	Half.	Mile.
First heat	39	1:17½	2:39
Second heat	39	1:16	2:39½
Third heat	39	1:18¼	2:40
Fourth heat	39	1:16½	2:38½
Fifth heat	36¾	1:15	2:41¾

CHARTER OAK RACES.

HARTFORD, Conn., June 11.—The Spring meeting at Charter Oak Park closed with three fine races to-day

The first race was in the 2:34 class. Eleven horses entered; six started.

SUMMARY.

M. Carroll's b. m. Amy	1	1	1			
J. J. Bowen's r. g. Honest Harry	2	2	2			
T. S. Wright's blk. g. Ned Forrest	3	3	3			
John Trout's s. m. Lady Whitman	dis.					
S. S. Carpenter's g. g. Gray Dick	dis.					
G. T. Whitson's b. m. Pauline	dis.					
Time—2:25½; 2:26½; 2:29½.						

The second race was in the 2:29 class. Eight entries; five started.

SUMMARY.

W. H. Crawford's br. m. Annie Collins	4	1	1	2	2	1	
J. H. Phillip's b. m. Ella Madden	2	3	2	4	1	1	2
J. A. Bachelor's d. g. Frank J	1	2	4	3	4	3	
Dan Mace's blk. g. Arthur	3	5	3	2	3	r.o.	
H. B. Hallon's Orange Blossom	5	1	5	dr.			
Time—2:26½, 2:26½, 2:33¼, 2:29¾, 2:30¼, 2:31, 2:32¼.							

An accident occurred in the third heat which compelled the withdrawal of Orange Blossom. The driver of Frank J., either carelessly, or because his horse broke, crowded Orange Blossom, and the two sulkies came in collision. Both drivers were thrown, but were only slightly injured. Frank J. trotted the heat without his driver. Orange Blossom ran the heat through with the broken sulky striking his legs, and was badly injured. He will probably have to be withdrawn from the turf for the season, if not permanently. He is a fine stallion, owned in Baltimore.

The third and last race was for a consolation purse of $500, and was trotted for by horses defeated in the race for the 2:45 class on Tuesday.

SUMMARY.

Vesta	1	2	1 3 1
Herbert	3	1	3 1 3
Fearless	4	3	2 2 2
Queen	2	4	4 4 4
Time—2:37¼, 2:37¼, 2:36¼, 2:38½, 2:38¾.			

THE ASCOT RACES.

LAST DAY OF THE SEASON OF 1875—THE ALEXANDRA PLATE WON BY DONCASTER.

LONDON, June 11.—The Ascot races for the season of 1875 were brought to a close to-day, the principal feature of the day's sport being the races for the Alexandra Plate. The starters were seven in number, out of a subscription list of twenty-one. Mr. Merry's Doncaster, who yesterday won the Gold Cup, came in a winner of the plate, with Sir J. D. Astley's Scamp second, and Count Lagrange's Feu D'Amour third.

The conditions under which the race was run are as follows:

The Alexandra Plate of 1,000 sovereigns added to a sweepstakes of 25 sovereigns each, 15 forfeit, for four-year olds and upwards; four-year olds to carry 126 pounds; five-year olds, 132 pounds; six-year olds and aged, 133 pounds; mares and geldings allowed 4 pounds; winners of any stakes or prize worth 2,000 sovereigns in any country in 1874-75, or of the Ascot, Goodwood, or Doncaster Cups, or Alexandra Plate in 1874-5, to carry 5 pounds extra; maiden four-year olds at the time of starting allowed 7 pounds; five-year olds and upward 10 pounds; horses foaled out of Europe allowed 7 pounds; pure Barb and Arabians allowed 28 pounds and no other allowances; the second horse received 200 sovereigns out of the stakes, and the third saved his stake; to start at the new mile-post and go once round, about three miles.

Mr. Merry's ch. h. Doncaster, 5 years, by Stockwell, dam Marigold 1

Sir J. D. Astley's br. c. Scamp, 4 years, by The Rake, dam Lady Sophie 2

Count F. De Lagrange's b. c. Feu d'Amour, 4 years, by Monarque, dam Fleurette 3

LOSSES BY FIRE.

An explosion occurred at 8 o'clock yesterday morning in the oil works of Lombard & Ayres, situated at the foot of Sixty-fifth street, North River. The explosion was caused, as is supposed, by the ignition of the oil in the feed-tank from the sparks of a passing locomotive of the Hudson River Railroad. The tank which exploded contained 500 barrels of refined oil, and, with the contents, was valued at $10,000. It was totally destroyed. Fortunately the flames were confined to the feed-tank or a very serious conflagration would have ensued. Thomas Cummings, residing on Tenth avenue, between Sixty-sixth and Sixty-seventh streets, was slightly burned by the explosion. He was passing the oil works when the explosion occurred, and some of the burning oil was thrown over him.

At 4 o'clock yesterday morning a fire occurred in the cellar of the four-story brick tenement-house No. 893 Third avenue, the first floor of which is occupied by Alcamp & Baum as a grocery store, and the upper floors as dwellings. The loss on stock, fixtures, &c., was $1,200; insured for $6,000 in the Star of New-York, and Etna Company, of Hartford. The building, which is owned by M. Ackmayer, was damaged to the extent of $500; insured.

The main building of the Agricultural Works in Lebanon, N. H., was burned yesterday morning, together with the valuable machinery and stock. The loss is $33,000, partially insured. One hundred and fifty workmen are thrown out of employment by the disaster, and they have lost all their tools. The fire caught in the paint-shop, and was probably caused by spontaneous combustion.

A fire broke out at 2 o'clock yesterday morning in the wooden sheds connected with the Watson Bridge Works, in Paterson, N. J., a short distance in the rear of the main brick buildings of that establishment. The flames were promptly extinguished, with a loss of not more than $10,000; uninsured. The fire was undoubtedly the work of an incendiary.

The Mount Sunapee House, on Sunapee Mountain, in Newbury, N. H., was burned, with its contents, yesterday morning. The loss is $25,000; insurance, $15,000. It was a popular place of Summer resort. The cause of the fire is not ascertained.

The residence of Philip Case, No. 37 South Cayuga street, Ithaca, N. Y., was partially destroyed by fire Thursday night. The loss on the house and furniture is $2,000; insured. The cause of the fire was a defective flue.

A large barn on the Titus farm at Bayside, Long Island, with its contents of hay and grain, was destroyed by fire on Thursday afternoon. Loss, $2,500; insured in the Glen Cove Insurance Company.

THE WEATHER.

SYNOPSIS AND PROBABILITIES.

WASHINGTON, June 12—1 A. M.—The storm centre is now north of Lake Erie, and north-west to south-west winds and cloudy weather have prevailed on the lakes; south-west to south-east winds, stationary pressure, and warmer, partly cloudy, weather in the Atlantic States; south-east to south-west winds, falling barometer, warmer, clear and partly cloudy weather from the Ohio Valley to the Gulf; rising barometer, south-west to north-west winds, cooler and cloudy weather in the Northwest. The rivers have risen at Memphis and Cincinnati, and fallen at Leavenworth and Vicksburg.

PROBABILITIES.

For the Middle and Eastern States, falling barometer, higher temperature, increasing cloudiness, south-east to south-west winds, occasional rain from Pennsylvania to Maine, and winds veering to cool north-west.

For the South Atlantic and Gulf States, stationary pressure and temperature, south-westerly winds, and clear and partly cloudy weather.

For the lower lakes, the Ohio Valley, and Tennessee, rising barometer, south-west to north-west winds, cooler, cloudy, and partly cloudy weather, and occasional rain.

For the Upper Mississippi and the Lower Missouri Valleys, rising barometer, north-west winds, cooler, clearing, or partly cloudy weather, and possibly occasional rain.

The Lower Ohio River will probably rise slightly, and the other rivers remain nearly stationary.

Cautionary signals continue on all the lakes except Ontario.

SAN FRANCISCO STOCK BOARD.

SAN FRANCISCO, Cal., June 11.—The following are the latest mining stock quotations: Ophir, 48⅛; Mexican, 18⅜; Gould & Curry, 18; Best & Belcher, 47½; Chollar, 73½; Hale & Norcross, 37½; Crown Point, 30⅜; Yellow Jacket, 75½; Imperial, 6⅛; Kentuck, 14; Alpha, 17; Sierra Nevada, 11⅛; California, 58⅞; Bullion, 42½; Segregated Belcher, 80; Overman, 61⅜; Caledonia, 18.

LOCAL MISCELLANY.

THE BOARD OF POLICE.

DELINQUENT POLICEMEN FINED AND DISMISSED AT YESTERDAY'S MEETING—ADOPTION OF NEW RULES GOVERNING THE APPOINTMENT OF PATROLMEN.

At the meeting of the Board of Police yesterday Commissioner Matsell moved that Roundsman Reuben Rynders, late of the Twenty-seventh Precinct, who was caught in a barber-shop on Greenwich street by Inspector McDermott, and who, on trial, swore he was not in the place, be dismissed the department. The vote of the board was unanimous. Commissioner Matsell then moved that Patrolman William Flynn, who admitted on trial that he was in the saloon with Rynders, be fined thirty days' pay. The motion was carried. Commissioner Smith moved that the Superintendent be directed to make charges of perjury against Officers John McJacken, Edward Maloney, William Ellard, Erastus A. Townsend, Louis Hirsch, and Henry J. McGonegal, who swore on the trial that Rynders was not in the barber-shop. The resolution was adopted.

Commissioner Smith, on behalf of the Committee on Rules and Discipline, to which was referred the matter of framing a plan for a better system of appointment of patrolmen, submitted a report amending rule 99 so that it shall read: "Preliminary examinations for appointments on the force shall be held by the Chief Clerk in his office on Wednesday of each week. Evidence of naturalization or of honorable discharge from the Army and Navy shall be then produced," abrogating rule 95, and substituting the following rules for the existing ones of corresponding numbers:

RULE 101. Any applicant for appointment as patrolman who presents to any Commissioner satisfactory evidence of qualifications, capacity, and fitness to exercise the powers and perform the duties of patrolman, may by such Commissioner be permitted to appear before the Committee of Surgeons for examination as to his health, physical condition, and ability to perform the services required of a patrolman. The Committee of Surgeons shall subject the candidate to a thorough examination, taking for their standard perfect physical health and an exhibition of superior muscular and physical development, rejecting all who fall below this standard, and holding all doubts in favor of the department; the candidate to measure not less than five feet seven and one-half inches on naked feet, to weigh 138 pounds avoirdupois without clothing, and to measure not less than thirty-three and a half inches around the chest, (naked,) a relative additional measurement of chest to be exhibited with increased weight. Obesity shall be cause of rejection. The Committee of Examining Surgeons shall reject all candidates who, in any respect, fall below the standard of the department, and shall report the result of each examination to the Chief Clerk in writing.

RULE 102. The Chief Clerk shall subject the candidates favorably reported by the surgeons to a preliminary examination to test their legal qualifications, and to those who are found clearly competent he shall deliver a petition in a properly filled up form. On such petition shall be obtained the signatures of not less than ten reputable citizens, when it shall be returned to the Chief Clerk, who shall designate how many and which of the petitioners shall verify the petition by affidavit.

RULE 103. The Chief Clerk shall cause confidential inquiry into the character, habits, and associates of the candidate to be made by the Captains of the precinct in which the candidate resides, and also by the Superintendent through officers especially detailed by him for that purpose. The Chief Clerk upon the return of favorable character reports shall cause the candidates to appear before the full board, and when the board will decide which of the applicants shall be placed on the roll of candidates to be appointed patrolmen as vacancies occur. Appointments shall be made from said roll only. Doormen shall be appointed from among patrolmen of good record who possess the requisite qualifications for the discharge of the duties of the position and who are not physically qualified to perform full patrol duty.

The report was adopted and the necessary changes ordered to be made. The board then adjourned.

SALE OF PAINTINGS.

Mr. Edward Schenck yesterday concluded the sale of a collection of paintings at his gallery, No. 60 Liberty street. The collection was in many respects a remarkable one, about eighty of the 171 lots sold being from the collection recently exhibited at the National Academy of Design, and three or four from among those exhibited at the Arcadian Club. The balance of the pictures were new, some of them being not more than a week off the artists' easels. Nearly all the celebrated American artists were represented. The aggregate of the first day's sale amounted to over $6,000, that of the second day to $11,000. Commencing with the pictures from the Academy, the pictures sold as follows: C. S. Reinhart's "On the Apple-tree," Academy Catalogue No. 270, $111; A. L. Jackson's "Bath of the Birds, Squaa River, N. J.," Academy No. 533, $120; two companion pieces by F. Randle, "Gift of May" and "Gift of June," Academy Nos. 265 and 289, $45 each; E. Gay's "A Good Place," (Arcadian Club,) $115; Frederick James' "The Amateur," Academy No. 371, $150; F. F. De Crano's "Spring-time," Academy No. 155, $220; F. F. Bussman's "On Point Creek," from the Academy, $145; W. M. Brown's "Composition," Academy No. 294, $142 50; John Carlin's "Toll-gate," from the Academy, $130; N. H. Trotter's "The Quiet Nook," Academy No. 532, $175 50; John Pope's "A Quiet Afternoon," Academy No. 95, $56; C. S. Reinhart's "Caught Napping," Academy No. 24, $131; a pair of small upright panels by E. T. Fisher, "Apple Blossoms" and "Field Flowers," from the Academy, $79; G. E. Jerome's "The Favorites," Academy No. 487, $234; M. R. Leisser's "The Overland Train—Green River, Wyo. Ter., Academy No. 195, $45; C. B. Coman's "A Lane near St. Valerie, France," Academy No. 278, $93; M. D. Armstrong's "Twilight on the Tiber," Academy No. 199, $156; S. J. Shaughnessy's "Summer Idyl," Academy No. 262, $54 50; C. B. Coman's "A Path Through the Woods," Academy No. 230, $97 50; Mrs. Beer's "Twilight—Coming Down From the Mountains," Academy No. 505, $137 50; G. C. Thompson's "Little Peasant of Bivocaro," Academy No. 172, $145; Laura Woodward's "Glimpse of Edward's Pond," Academy No. 55, $200; H. C. Bisbham's "A Misty Day," Academy No. 352, $93; F. James' "Love Me, Love My Dog," which took the gold medal at the recent exhibition in Indianapolis, $150; D. W. Tryon's "Afternoon—Coast of Maine," Academy No. 356, $265; J. Scott's "Lull in the Battle—Monmouth," Academy No. 256, $81; G. H. Story's "Gathering Sea Shells," Academy No. 485, $270; Peter Moran's "The Sunny Slope," Academy, No. 386, $335; "The Overland Train—Green River, Utah," Academy, No. 280, $645; Edward Moran's "New-York Bay," from the Arcadian Club, $455; Mrs. Elizabeth Murray's "The Spanish Lovers," Academy, No. 73, $125; these are the most important of the pictures from the academy. Of the others may be mentioned K. Van Elten's "On the Esopus Creek," $125; H. Tuschel's "Glimpse of West Point," $257 50; C. Oglivie's "Morning on the Lakes of Killarney," $107 50; W. Homer's "Driving Home the Cows," $117 50; J. R. Brunort's "Dunmore Lake," $160; A. Gault's "The Captive," $250; A. B. Durand's "Study from Nature," $102 50; E. Churchi's "East Rock," $330; J. C. Wiggins' "Shanties Near the Boulevard, Brooklyn," $80 50; J. F. Cropsey's "Wanayonda Lake, New-Jersey," $95; B. T. Reinhart's "Dream of the Good Fairy," $550; James M. Hart's "On the Pasture," $245; J. C. Thorn's "The Farewell," $135; J. B. Bristol's "Adirondacks, from Lake Champlain," $405; E. Leutze's "Lady Godiva," $645; E. Johnson's "The Old Man," $475; A. T. Bricher's "Before the Shower, Rye Beach," $227; Rufus Wright's "Among the Flowers," $190; A. H. Wyant's "A Rainy Day," $245; P. Vaini's "Father's Photograph," $208; W. Whittridge's "A Wood Interior," $100; T. F. De Crano's "An Apt Pupil," $220; A. Insley's "Old Mill, Rockland County," $95; A. Wordsworth's "Feeding the Chickens," $83; D. Johnson's "View at Ramapo," $125; J. G. Brown's "Shopping," $165; W. L. Sonntag's "Autumn Morning in Vermont," $65; D. M. Carter's "The Silver Wedding," $249; C. C. Ward's "Coming Events Cast their Shadows Before," $270; W. Satterlee's "Song of the Sea," $120; E. Gay's "Morning by the Lakeside, Adirondacks," $108; C. Ogivie's "Banks of the Bouquet River," $105; G. T. Hobb's "Walempaupeck Falls, Pennsylvania," $80; M. Ream's "Fruit and Wine," $50 50; J. H. Dolph's "Autumn Near Delhi," $82 50; W. E. Wisner's "Parting of Naomi and Her Daughters," $65; H. Tuchsel's "Huddle Bay, Lake George," $127; K. Van Elten's "Landscape in Holland," $70; C. C. Wiggins' "Moonlight on Paradox Lake," $72; L. B. Culver's "Hudson River, near Fort Miller," $115; F. A. Silva's "Off Cape Ann," $77; Charles E. Townsend's "The Lion's Repose," $145; Mr. E. Murray's "Refreshments for the White Mountains Stage," $80; H. Winslow's "Good Morning," $192 50; T. Bricher's "The Winding Esopus at Old Hurley," $275; P. Vaini's "American House," $121; C. H. Chapin's "In the Narrows, Lake George," $150; George H. Story's Gathering Flowers," $275; Edward Moran's "Homeward Bound," $110; J. A. Hekking's "Lake on the Ausable," $170; J. C. Wiggins' "Lake George at Sunrise," $102 50; Laura Woodward's "The Deep Tangled Wildwood," $85; W. Satterlee's "The Love-Letter," $108; Laura Gilbert's "Jura," $118; J. H. Dolph's "View in Ulster County," $80; R. L. Pyne's "Reminiscence of Overlook Mountain," $85. The Messrs. Leavitt, No. 817 Broadway, had a clearing-out sale yesterday afternoon. The pictures were principally an old and damaged lot, and were sold at nominal prices.

REUNION OF THE ALUMNI OF COLUMBIA COLLEGE.

The fourth social meeting of the Alumni Association of Columbia College was held at Delmonico's last evening. About one hundred members of the association and invited guests were present, including Judges Mitchell and Fowler, Hamilton Fish, Elias G. Brown, Stuyvesant Fish, Rev. Cornelius Duffie, and Rev. William D. Walker. Prof. Henry Drisler presided, and introduced Prof. Ogden N. Rood, who delivered an interesting address in relation to recent scientific experiments in the department of minute measurement. In the course of his remarks, which were illustrated by appropriate apparatus, the lecturer called attention to the progress recently made in this department of science. The smallest dimension capable of being distinguished by the naked eye was the 1-200 of an inch, and by the old system of levers, 1-10,000 of an inch. By the introduction of a series of small levers and a microscope this quantity had been still further reduced to 1-100,000 or an inch. The photographic apparatus introduced by Rutherford, by the aid of which astronomers had been enabled to complete, within a few months, measurements of the inter-stellar spaces which had formerly occupied years, was largely indebted to the microscope for its perfection. An American scientist, Prof. Mayer, of Hoboken, had constructed an instrument with which he was able to measure the increased length of magnetized iron bars, an operation which required the utmost nicety and accuracy. By this instrument objects with a diameter of 1-200,000 of an inch could be discerned. An additional invention of a somewhat similar character was described, by which so delicate an operation as the measurement of the expansion of a diamond by heat or cold, was accomplished in the most accurate manner. In conclusion, the Professor submitted several drawings of an apparatus recently invented by himself, in which the amount of error in minute measurements is brought down to 1-17,000,000, and even 1 36,000,000 of an inch. This, it is needless to add, is the extreme limit yet reached.

At the close of the lecture the members and guests partook of supper, at which informal addresses were made by several of the Alumni.

THE RAILROAD WAR.

CONFERENCE BETWEEN THE OFFICERS OF THE CONTENDING LINES—SATISFACTORY ARRANGEMENT PROBABLE.

A protracted conference took place yesterday, at the Fifth Avenue Hotel, between Col. Thomas A. Scott, President; Mr. A. J. Cassatt, Second Vice President, and Assistant President Green, of the Pennsylvania Railroad Company, and Mr. John King, Jr., Vice President of the Baltimore and Ohio Railroad Company. The parties met at 10 in the morning in Mr. King's rooms, and the session lasted until 7 in the evening, the matters under discussion being the differences between the two roads. No other persons than those above mentioned were present, although it had been rumored that Mr. H. J. Jewett, President of the Erie Railroad, had been requested to act as arbitrator. At a late hour, Vice President King gave the following statement to the press:

"Executive officers of the Baltimore and Ohio and the Pennsylvania Railroad Companies have been in session in New York to-day discussing the points of difference, actual or supposed, between their respective companies. The meeting has been most harmonious, and a basis for a permanent arrangement between the companies will be submitted to their respective Boards of Directors within the next ten days."

Mr. King said that they had decided, out of courtesy, not to communicate anything further in regard to the results of the conference until after the Directors of the companies had taken action in the matter. As to what their action would be he could not, he said, state what twenty or thirty men would decide upon, but he had no hesitation in saying that he thought they would approve of the arrangement proposed by himself and the gentlemen representing the Pennsylvania Railroad. It was understood that after the conference Col. Scott called upon Commodore Vanderbilt, President of the New-York Central and Hudson River Railroad.

ARRIVALS AT THE HOTELS.

Capt. Edward Simpson, United States Navy, is at the Everett House.

State Senator William Johnson, of Seneca Falls, N. Y., is at the Metropolitan Hotel.

Senator Theodore F. Randolph, of New-Jersey, and Miss Vinnie Ream, are at the New-York Hotel.

Mortimer D. Leggett, of Ohio, and Major J. W. Powell, of Washington, are at the Hoffman House.

Hon. William Bross, of Chicago, and Congressman Gilbert C. Walker, of Virginia, are at the St. Nicholas Hotel.

Gen. Joseph R. Anderson, of Virginia; John H. B. Latrobe, of Baltimore, and Samuel Bowles, of Springfield, Mass., are at the Windsor Hotel.

Hon. George M. Robeson, Secretary of the Navy; Gen. James Longstreet, of New-Orleans; Congressman George M. Beebe, of Monticello, N. Y.; Gen. Rufus Ingalls, United States Army, and Postmaster John F. Smyth, of Albany, are at the Fifth Avenue Hotel.

MURDER IN PENNSYLVANIA.

DRIFTWOOD, Penn., June 11.—McKee Smith was killed in a drunken row near this place on the night of the 9th inst. The murderer has not yet been captured.

AMERICAN ART MANUFACTURES IN EUROPE.—The celebrity which our art manufactures are achieving in Europe, especially American Pianos, as represented abroad by the piano-makers of the world, Messrs. Steinway & Sons, whose instruments are so justly famed, alike with professional experts and the general musical public, is continually being exhibited in our foreign exchanges. The most recent recognition of the surpassing merits of these instruments we gather from the *Tageblatt* (daily paper) of Hanover, May 8, from which we quote as follows:

Messrs. Steinway & Sons, the great American piano manufacturers, may again boast with just pride of a recent and most brilliant success which their grand pianos have achieved, coupled with the highest honorable recognition on the part of the most competent authorities. When recently the greatest German composer of the present day, Richard Wagner, and shortly after him, the hero of the piano, Franz Liszt, paid Hanover a visit, both of them used at their residences a Steinway grand piano, furnished them by the agents of these instruments, to whom, prior to leaving, Richard Wagner addressed a letter, from which we quote as follows: Accept my heartfelt thanks for the incomparably magnificent Steinway grand piano, which is eminently worthy of a better master than ours, ever thankful, RICHARD WAGNER.

In this letter the great composer distinctly designated Dr. Franz Liszt, who, on his arrival, sent for the same instrument Herr Wagner had used, and also a so-called cabinet upright. As is sufficiently well known, the maestro took part in the great Bach festival concert, and in the piano duets with Mrs. Von Bronsart he used a Bechstein piano, leaving the Steinway grand to be used by his lady partner, probably regarding her as the weaker vessel; but it is a notable fact that as often as Dr. Liszt sat down to play a solo he invariably gave the Steinway instrument the preference. At a matinée at Mr. Von Bronsart's, in which Dr. Liszt took part, playing a trio of Bronsart's, Liszt's songs, &c., he exclusively used the Steinway grand, and took occasion to express to their agent his unqualified admiration of the surpassing excellence of these instruments, also presenting him, as a memento, his picture, with autograph.—*Tribune.*

When Mind and Body are out of Sorts, with cold extremities, a yellowness in the skin, costiveness, dull headache, and an indisposition to stir about, be sure you are in for a Bilious Attack, springing from a more or less Disordered Liver. Dr. JAYNE'S SANATIVE PILLS will bring the Liver to a healthy condition, and speedily remove all biliary distress.—*Advertisement.*

A Luxury,

To have teeth drawn as it is done without pain at DR. COLTON'S, in the Cooper Institute.—*Advertisement.*

Blood Diseases.

The blood being the source from which our systems are built up and from which we derive our m

THE REAL ESTATE MARKET.

At the Exchange yesterday, Friday, June 11, by order of the Supreme Court in foreclosure, Frank Rudd, Esq., Referee, R. V. Harnett sold two four-story English basement brown-stone-front houses, with lots each 16.8 by half the block, on West Thirty-fourth street, north side, 250 feet east of Tenth avenue, one for $10,000 and the other for $14,500, the purchaser of both being W. B. Murphy, plaintiff in the legal action. Under a similar court decree, H. R. Beekman, Esq., Referee, A. H. Muller & Son sold one three-story and basement brown-stone-front house, with lot 16.9 by 81, on Lexington avenue, west side, 33.1 feet south of Twenty-ninth street, for $13,975, to Whitfield & Terrebery. The sale by A. J. Bleecker & Son of one house and lot on West One Hundred and Thirtieth street, east of Twelfth avenue, was adjourned to the 18th inst., and the sale by R. V. Harnett of two tenement-houses and lots on Third avenue, north of One Hundred Twelfth street, to the 25th inst. No other business was transacted.

We yesterday reported a number of transactions at sales made by John McClave & Co., at the Exchange on Thursday, of property belonging to the estate of Francis M. Worth, deceased. We have since learned that little if any of the property was really sold. This fact is to be regretted, for sales conducted in this way, giving to the public a false impression, have a tendency to destroy confidence in the auction business, and to greatly injure the market.

We desire to call special attention to the proposed sale on Tuesday of next week by Jere. Johnson, Jr., of a very valuable class of City real estate, as noted in our advertising columns. The sale is one of real importance, and is well worthy the special notice of investors.

The only sale noticed for to-day at the Exchange is the following: By James M. Miller, Supreme Court foreclosure, Elnot Sandford, Esq., Referee, of one house, with lot 22 by 106.3 by 23.1 by 8 by 9 by 80 by 9 by 76, on West Sixteenth street, north side, 100 feet east of Eighth avenue.

EXCHANGE SALES, FRIDAY, JUNE 11.

NEW-YORK.

By Richard V. Harnett.

1 four-story English basement brown-stone-front house and lot, West 34th st., n. s., 250 ft. e. of 10th av., lot 16.8½x98.9 block.....$10,000
1 four-story English basement brown-stone-front house and lot, West 34th st., n. s., 266.8 ft. e. of 10th av., adjoining above lot, same size.....14,500

By A. H. Muller & Son.

1 three-story and basement brown-stone-front house and lot, Lexington av., w. s., 33.1 ft. s. of 29th st., lot 16.9x81.....$13,275

RECORDED REAL ESTATE TRANSFERS.

NEW-YORK.

Thursday, June 10.

Mercer st., e. s., 80 ft. s. of Bleecker st., 50x75; J. S. Lewis, Referee, to W. C. Dewey.....$10,000
Pike st., s. s., Nos. 31 and 33, runs s. 94.9 ft., thence e. 25.1 ft., thence n. 4 ft., thence e. 33.10 ft., thence n. 26.10 ft., thence w. 9 ft., thence n. 72.8 ft. to s. s. Pike st., thence w. 50 ft., Executors of C. Wilmerding to the Security Life Insurance and Annuity Company, New-York.....180,000
Tompkins st., southerly corner of Coras av., 100x131.8, Fordham; J. H. Hawes, Referee, to Matilda M. Fisher.....722
43d st., s. s., 115 ft. e. of 2d av., 17x100.5; E. Uhl to J. C. Wiebold.....9,200
57th st., s. s., 115 ft. e. of 2d av., 15x100.5; Catharine, wife of W. Gallagher, to D. J. Dwyer.....10,300
64th st., s. s., 300 ft. w. of 8th av., 50x100.5; Executor of M. Donohue to P. Milligan.....16,000
123d st., s. s., 100 ft. w. of Avenue A, 25x100.10; F. H. Weeks to W. Austin.....1,750
130th st., n. s., 100 ft. e. of 8th av., 75x99.11; 131st st., s. s., 100 ft. e. of 8th av., 75x99.11; Ann E., wife of J. B. Davis, to C. Ammann.....20,000
Same property; D. L. Rommel to Ann E., wife of J. B. Davis.....25,000
Avenue A, n. w. corner of 122d st., 25x100; 122d st., n. s., 100 ft. w. of Avenue A, 25x100, and 123d st., s. s., 100 ft. w. of Avenue A, 25x100.10; Jennie Fitzgerald, widow, to C. B. Alexander, Referee, release dower.....526
Same property; Susan, wife of J. Fitzgerald, to same, release dower.....627
Avenue A, w. s., 25.11 n. s. of 123d st., 50x100; F. H. Weeks to J. Egan.....4,200
Washington av., e. s., 100 ft. n. of Schuyler st., 50x60 to w. s. Old Boston road, thence 51x42 to Washington av., point of beginning; T. Burwell, Referee, to J. T. Hunt.....2,085
1st av., s. w. corner of 117th st., runs s. 43 ft., thence northerly to s. s. of 117th st., at point 20.1 ft. w. of beginning, thence e. to beginning, gore; Cornelia Austin to W. Austin.....4,000
3d av., lots Nos. 249 and 250, parcel 34; E. K. Willard property, Woodlawn Heights, 40x100; E. Valentine to A. Stone.....2,400
3d av., lots Nos. 195 and 196, parcel 24, E. K. Willard property, Woodlawn Heights, 40x100; A. Stone to E. Valentine.....350
Plot 100 ft. e. of Fordham st., and 150 ft. n. of Walter Kelley's land, 50x100, Yonkers; T. C. Cornell to P. Hickey.....750

LEASES RECORDED.

25th st., s. s., 200 ft. w. of 10th av., 125x98.9; 10 years, per year.....$2,750
3d av., No. 359, n. e. corner 26th st., store, &c.; 5 years, per year.....2,000

CITY REAL ESTATE.

NOTICE.

OWNERS OF REAL ESTATE

Contemplating going abroad, or leaving town, the coming season, and desirous of placing their property where it will be managed in the most satisfactory manner, can do so by leaving it with

CHARLES S. WHITE,
REAL ESTATE BROKER,
Agent for Estates,
No. 4 PARK PLACE.

We make a specialty of taking full charge and management of property in this City and Brooklyn, give particular and personal attention to collecting rents, paying taxes, insurance, assessments, &c., relieving owners of all trouble, annoyance, and anxiety in regard to the care of their property. Our references being some of the leading business gentlemen of this City, we shall be pleased to furnish them when requested.

FOR SALE—IN THE TWENTY-THIRD WARD, AN eight-room cottage; modern improvements; in good neighborhood; on high ground; convenient to horse and steam cars. Address JAMES L. PARSHALL, 59 Washington av., corner 167th st., Morrisania.

A FIRST-CLASS NEW FOUR-STORY BROWN-stone house, cabinet finish; 53d st., Broadway, and 6th av.; cheapest in market. J. W. STEVENS, Broadway and 51st st.

LAUNDRY AND COOKING RANGES THAT beat the rooms above; economical, durable, warranted. HULL, GRIFFEN & CO., 36 av., above 23d st., New-York.

BROOKLYN REAL ESTATE.

FOR SALE—BUILDINGS SUITABLE FOR MANUfactories, in Brooklyn, convenient to Hamilton and South Ferries and to the water front, for sale on liberal terms to close an estate.

Apply to C. KELSEY, No. 162 Columbia st., Brooklyn, or to B. A. HEGEMAN, Executor, No. 26 Exchange place, New-York.

COUNTRY REAL ESTATE.

FOR SALE—A CHARMING RESIDENCE ON THE Hudson, one hour from New-York, with unequaled facilities for reaching the City; twenty acres of land highly improved; well built mansion in perfect order; gardener's cottage, large barn, fruits in great variety; perfectly healthy, climate and fever unknown; views of river and mountains unsurpassed; will be sold greatly below its value. Address Post Office Box No. 21, Nyack, N. Y.

$11,500—HOUSE, STABLE, AND LOT; size lot, 100 by 105; house well furnished; gas, water, &c.; $4,000 cash, $2,500 in three months; $5,000 on first mortgage; plenty of shade; good location; ten minutes' run to depot; better terms can be made. Address HOUSE, Lock Box No. 28, Sing Sing, N. Y.

VILLA SITES.—THE LOVELIEST SCENERY within thirty miles of New-York; splendidly situated on Hudson River at Rockland Lake; pure air, pure water, pure ice, and high ground, with twenty miles of river, lake, and mountain in full view, mingled in pictures of marvelous beauty, unequaled anywhere. Apply to E. MARTINDALE, No. 71 Broadway, Room 95.

FOR SALE OR TO LET.—A COTTAGE IN THE Village of Southampton, near salt water and ocean, where there is bathing, rowing, and fishing; beautiful location for a country seat. Address H. L. WHITE, Box No. 16 Southampton, Long Island.

ORANGE, N. J.—COUNTRY HOUSES, LANDS, and village lots for sale; a great variety. Also, furnished and unfurnished houses to let for season or year, by WALTER E. SMITH, formerly Blackwell & Smith, Orange, corner of Main and Cone sts.

ORANGE, N. J.—REAL ESTATE. (SPECIALTY.)—Rent fully furnished for season; some elegant places on mountain, or ridge; excellent neighborhoods. HAMILTON & WALLIS, No. 30 Pine st.

DWELLINGS TO LET.

TO LET AT TARRYTOWN ON THE HUDSON

TWENTY-SEVEN MILES FROM NEW-YORK.

An elegant country seat, situate about one mile from the station, on high ground, commanding views of the river for twenty miles north and south; the house is of stone, containing twenty-five rooms, all fully furnished and everything ready for immediate occupancy; the house has also been frescoed and painted throughout; the stable is also of stone, with room for eight horses; there is also a fine vegetable garden, all planted, and plenty of shade trees around the house; the place will be rented for the season or year; low to a first-class tenant; the house being well adapted for a Winter residence on account of its southern exposure, and in addition there is a large furnace; water and gas throughout the house. Apply to

A. C. KINGSLAND & SONS,
No. 55 Broad st.

FURNISHED HOUSE TO LET IN PATERSON, N. J.—Has ten rooms, pantry, and cold water in bath-room, water-closet, stationary tubs, fine large dry cellar, dumb-waiter, Summer range, all in good order; well and substantially furnished. Rent, $75 per month. Inquire of W. C. McKEAN, No. 5 Nassau st., New-York.

TO RENT—IN THE VILLAGE OF RAMAPO, ON the line of the Erie Railway, thirty-three miles from New-York City, a cottage house, with barn, stable, ice-house, fruit trees, &c.; the house is in good order, contains ten rooms and a furnace in the cellar; rent $300 per annum. Apply to PIERSON & CO., No. 24 Broadway, New-York.

RAVENSWOOD VILLA, ON EAST RIVER BANK.—Stable, grounds, fruit, with modern conveniences and appointments; rent very low. WM. NELSON, Jr., No. 24 Old slip.

CHEAP RENT AT MORRISTOWN.—DOUBLE House, furnished, modern improvements, shade, &c.; twelve rooms; fine location; five minutes' walk of depot. Address J. HENRY JOHNSON, Morristown, N. J.

REAL ESTATE AT AUCTION.

JERE. JOHNSON, JR., Auctioneer.—Real Estate Office, No. 21 Park row; Merchandise Sales-room, No. 37 Nassau st.

HIGHLY IMPORTANT SALE.

GILT-EDGED NEW-YORK PROPERTY.

IMPROVED AND UNIMPROVED.

BROADWAY AND 57TH ST.

BOULEVARD AND 57TH ST.

OTHER LEADING STREETS AND AVENUES.

CHOICEST REAL ESTATE OFFERING EVER MADE.

JERE. JOHNSON, JR.,
will sell at auction at 12 o'clock on

TUESDAY, JUNE 15,

at Exchange Sales-room, No. 111 Broadway, the following valuable New-York property:

NO. 76 FIFTH AVENUE, west side, 103.3 feet south of 14th st.—Magnificent dwelling; lot 25.9½x125.

NO. 1 THIRTEENTH STREET, north side, 100 feet west of 5th av.—Stable and lot. The above properties form an L, and the location for business purposes is one of the most desirable in the City.

Ninety per cent. may remain on mortgage five years; only five per cent. on day of sale.

Also,

FIFTY-SEVENTH STREET AND BROADWAY, southwest corner.—Most valuable gore lot in the City, 50.6 feet on Broadway, 182 feet on 57th st., key of the block. Cuts off 57th st. fronts.

$20,000 may remain on mortgage.

Also,

BROADWAY, 7TH AV., AND 51ST ST.

SPLENDID PLOT, THREE FRONTS,

40 feet 5 inches by 163 feet, directly opposite the new "THE ALBANY."

Entire front on north side 51st st., 40 feet 5 inches on east side of Broadway, and 40 feet 5 inches on west side of 7th av. Best location in the City for hotel or apartment-house. Ninety per cent. may remain; only five per cent. on day of sale.

Broadway, between 42d and 43d sts., running through to 7th av., and fronting on each street. Sixty per cent. may remain for five years.

Also,

SIX SUPERB BROWN-STONE HOUSES, 57TH ST., BETWEEN 9TH AND 10TH AVS.,

Nos. 487, 489, 491, 493, 495, 497 West 57th st., north side, six new four-story brown-stone houses, finished in most elegant manner; all improvements. Ninety per cent. may remain five years; five per cent. on day of sale.

Also,

BOULEVARD, 10TH AV., AND 69TH ST.—Entire front on north side of 69th st., 138 feet 9 inches, 46 feet on west side of Boulevard, and 41 feet on east side of 7th av. Ninety per cent may remain on mortgage five years; five per cent. at sale. Also,

SPLENDID LOT ON NORTH-WEST CORNER OF THE BOULEVARD AND 73D ST.

Ninety per cent may remain five years.

For maps and further particulars apply to

JERE. JOHNSON, JR.,
No. 21 Park row and No. 37 Nassau st., New-York.

TO CLOSE AN ESTATE.—BY F. H. LUDLOW & CO., Auctioneers, elegant homestead and valuable real estate in Westchester County, between Mamaroneck and Rye, on the Boston Post Road, and within about ten minutes' walk of the New-York and New Haven Railroad Station at Harrison. Will be sold at the Exchange Sales-room, No. 111 Broadway, on TUESDAY, June 22, at 12 o'clock noon, upon the most reasonable terms, the spacious house, late the residence of Judge A. W. Bradford, suitable for hotel, school, or boarding-house, with all the twelve acres, stable, conservatory, and grapery, and also two plots of about two and a half and four acres each.

FORECLOSURE SALE, NO. 151 PARK PLACE, BROOKLYN.—Three-story and basement brown-stone-front house, 22 by 58; extension, 22 by 8; lot, 131 feet; fourteen rooms, side-flue, tin roof, five skylights, gas, bathrooms, three water-closets, laundry, store-room, furnace bells, tubes, and burglar-alarm throughout; fine grape-vines. Sale to take place at noon on the 16th inst., at the Commercial Exchange, No. 289 Fulton st., Brooklyn.

STORES, &C., TO LET.

OFFICES TO LET
IN THE
TIMES BUILDING.
ON THE SECOND FLOOR.
One office 32x20 feet. One office 32x9 feet.
ON THE THIRD FLOOR.
One office 31x9 feet.

These rooms are desirably located, are in good order, and will be partitioned to suit tenants.

Apply to

GEORGE JONES,
Times Office.

TO LET—WITH OR WITHOUT STEAM POWER, Nos. 188 and 190 West Houston st.; first floor and cellar, 50x100. Inquire on premises.

HOUSES & ROOMS WANTED.

WANTED—A NICE FRENCH FLAT, FIRST FLOOR, furnished or unfurnished, by a family with one child; possession wanted Sept. 1. Address, with full particulars as to number and size of rooms, &c., N. O., Post Office Box No. 5,714.

SURROGATE NOTICES.

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against THOMAS DENNY, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscriber, at his office in Jamecy court, No. 39 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the thirtieth day of November next.—Dated New-York, the twenty-seventh day of May, 1875.

THOMAS DENNY,
Administrator of Thomas Denny, deceased.
my29-1awdmSa

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. HUTCHINGS, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given that all persons having claims against THOMAS C. P. BUCKLEY, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscribers, at their place of business, at the office of Platt & Gerard, No. 45 William street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next.—Dated New-York, the twenty fourth day of February, 1875.

JAMES W. GERARD,
JAMES THOMSON,
f27-1aw6m$ JAMES N. PLATT, } Executors.

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against JULIA D. R. DAVIS, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscriber, at his place of transacting business, at No. 48 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next. Dated New-York, the twentieth day of February, 1875.

THEODORE GENTIL,
Administrator with the will annexed.
By ADRIAN ISELIN,
f20-1aw6m$ his attorney in fact.

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against JULIA AUGUSTA GENTIL, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same with vouchers thereof to the subscriber, at his place of transacting business at No. 48 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next.—Dated, New-York, the twentieth day of February, 1875.

THEODORE GENTIL, Executor.
By ADRIAN ISELIN, his attorney in fact.
f20-1aw6m$

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against THEOPHILE JOUANIQUE, late of the City of Paris, France, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscriber, at his office, No. 49 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the second day of August next.—Dated, New-York, the 28th day of January, 1875.

CHARLES COUDERT, Jr., Administrator.
jan30-1aw6m$

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. HUTCHINGS, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given that all persons having claims against JORDAN MOTT, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscribers, at their place of transacting business, at the office of William M. Hoes, Counselor at Law, room No. 17, No. 287 Broadway, in the City of New-York, on or before the fifteenth day of November next. Dated New-York, the sixth day of May, 1875.

MYNDERT VAN BÜREN, Executor.
my8-1aw6m$ RUTH ANN WALLACE, Executrix.

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against THOMAS C. P. BUCKLEY, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscribers, at their place of business, at the office of Platt & Gerard, No. 45 William street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next.—Dated New-York, the twenty fourth day of February, 1875.

JAMES W. GERARD,
JAMES THOMSON,
f27-1aw6m$ JAMES N. PLATT, } Executors.

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. HUTCHINGS, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given that all persons having claims against JULIA D. R. DAVIS, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same, with vouchers thereof, to the subscriber, at his place of transacting business, at No. 48 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next. Dated New-York, the twentieth day of February, 1875.

THEODORE GENTIL, Executor.
By ADRIAN ISELIN, his attorney in fact.
f20-1aw6m$

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given that all persons having claims against JULIA AUGUSTA GENTIL, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same with vouchers thereof to the subscriber, at his place of transacting business at No. 48 Wall street, in the City of New-York, on or before the first day of September next.—Dated, New-York, the twentieth day of February, 1875.

THEODORE GENTIL, Executor.
By ADRIAN ISELIN, his attorney in fact.
f20-1aw6m$

IN PURSUANCE OF AN ORDER OF ROBERT C. Hutchings, Esq., Surrogate of the County of New-York, notice is hereby given to all persons having claims against NICHOLAS G. KORTRIGHT, late of the City of New-York, deceased, to present the same with vouchers thereof to the subscribers, at the office of G. Tillotson, Counselor at Law, No. 335 Broadway, in the City of New-York, on or before the 15th day of June next.—Dated, New-York, the 9th day of December, 1874.

BENJAMIN COLLINS, Administrator.
SARAH J. KORTRIGHT, Administratrix,
d12-1aw6m$a* of said deceased.

BANKRUPT NOTICES.

IN BANKRUPTCY.—IN THE DISTRICT COURT of the United States for the Southern District of New-York.—In the matter of HERMAN H. ROEHRS, bankrupt.—Notice is hereby given that a petition has been filed in said court by Herman H. Roehrs, of the City of New-York, in said district, duly declared a bankrupt under the act of Congress of March 2, 1867, for a discharge and certificate thereof from all his debts, and other claims provable under said act, and that the sixth day of July, 1875, at 11 o'clock A. M., at the office of Isaiah T. Williams, Esq., Register in Bankruptcy, No. 4 Warren street, in the City of New-York, is assigned for the hearing of the same, when and where all creditors who have proved their debts, and other persons in interest, may attend, and show cause, if any they have, why the prayer of the said petition should not be granted.—Dated New-York, on the 11th day of June, 1875.

GEORGE F. BETTS, Clerk.
je12-1aw3ws*

THIS IS TO GIVE NOTICE—THAT ON THE 18th day of June, A. D. 1875, a warrant in bankruptcy was issued against the estate of WILLIAM TOBIAS and ARTHUR N. CLARKE, carrying on business under the firm name of Tobias and Clarke, in the City of New-York, in the County of New-York and State of New-York, who have been adjudged bankrupts on their own petition; that the payment of any debts and delivery of any property belonging to such bankrupts, to them or for their use, and the transfer of any property by them, are forbidden by law; that a meeting of the creditors of the said bankrupts, to prove their debts and to choose one or more assignees of the estate of said bankrupts, will be held at a Court of Bankruptcy, to be holden at No. 4 Warren street, in the City of New-York, in said district, before Isaiah T. Williams, Esq., Register, on the 24th day of June, A. D. 1875, at two o'clock P. M.

OLIVER FISKE,
United States Marshal, as Messenger, Southern District of New-York.

HORSES AND CARRIAGES.

THE UP-TOWN OFFICE OF THE TIMES.

The up-town office of THE TIMES is located at **No. 1,257 Broadway, bet. 31st and 32d sts.** Open daily, Sundays included, from 4 A. M. to 9 P. M. Subscriptions received, and copies of THE TIMES for sale.

ADVERTISEMENTS RECEIVED UNTIL 9 P. M.

SADDLERS' GOODS.

HARMER, HAYS & CO.,

IMPORTERS and JOBBERS, No. 72 Beekman st., where will be found a large stock of every variety of goods in the line, comprising Linen and Summer Woolen Horse Sheets, Lap Dusters, Fly Nets, Saddles and Bridles, Bits, Stirrups, Whips, and Spurs. Also a large stock of Horse Blankets and Carriage Robes, in all grades. W. CLARK'S genuine patent Horse Clippers.

A HIGHLY-BRED SADDLE HORSE FOR SALE.—Is 8 years old, blood bay with black points, is guaranteed sound, and was thoroughly broken by the present owner four years ago, having been constantly ridden in his family ever since up the Hudson River. He may be seen at Dickel's Riding School, 39th st. and 6th av.

FOR SALE—AT HALF THEIR VALUE, ONE PAIR of horses, adapted to any service, coach or other uses. May be seen at the stables, Nos. 35 and 37 West 29th st.

FOR SALE—A BROWN MARE, 15½ HANDS HIGH, seven years old; gentle, kind, and sound; has been used for City work for two years; sold for want of use; trial given. Call at No. 325 West 56th st.

SITUATIONS WANTED.

FEMALES.

THE UP-TOWN OFFICE OF THE TIMES.

The up-town office of THE TIMES is located at **No. 1,257 Broadway, bet. 31st and 32d sts.** Open daily, Sundays included, from 4 A. M. to 9 P. M. Subscriptions received, and copies of THE TIMES for sale.

ADVERTISEMENTS RECEIVED UNTIL 9 P. M.

AS GENERAL FAMILY SEAMSTRESS, address and cloak-maker; can cut, fit, and trim ladies' and children's suits, &c.; by the day or month; terms low; would leave the City. Address Dressmaker, No. 146 Spring st.

CHAMBER-MAID AND FINE WASHING, or Waiting.—By a very nice, neat young French girl; very competent in either capacity; has two years' reference from last place, to which her employers can refer. To be seen at the French Bureau, No. 602 6th av.

CHAMBER-MAID, HOUSE-WORK, &c.—By a young German Protestant girl; can take care children, do light house work; for low wages; has good City reference. Can be seen at the House Bureau, No. 138 6th av., above 10th st.

CHAMBER-MAID.—BY AN EXPERIENCED young woman as chamber-maid and waitress, or chamber-maid and laundress; willing to go to the country. Call at present employer's, 13 Lexington av.

CHAMBER-MAID, &c.—BY A YOUNG WOMAN in a private family as chamber-maid; will be willing to do washing; can do waiting; best of City reference. Call at No. 343 East 23d st.

CHAMBER-MAID OR WAITRESS.—BY A young woman as chamber-maid or waitress; City or country. Call at her present employer's, No. 31 East 36th st.

COOK, WASHER, AND IRONER.—BY A respectable young Scotch woman as good family cook; makes nice bread and biscuit, soups, desserts, &c.; is also a superior laundress, and can furnish undoubted references. Call at No. 106 6th av., between 8th and 9th sts., up stairs.

COOK, WASHER, AND IRONER.—BY A Swedish Protestant young woman; understands cooking thoroughly, and is a first-class washer and ironer; has excellent City reference; City or country. Call at No. 106 6th av., between 8th and 9th sts., up stairs.

COOK AND HOUSE-WORK.—BY A TIDY, RELiable colored young woman; or can do chamber-work, waitress, nurse; City or country; has good references. Apply at the Protestant Bureau, No. 138 6th av., above 10th st.

COOK.—BY A DANISH PROTESTANT WOMAN, who is a reliable and capable cook in all branches, or house-work; City or country; has good reference. Call at the Protestant Home Bureau, No. 138 6th av., above 10th st.

COOK.—OF THE HIGHEST ORDER, AND THOroughly competent in every respect in French and American cooking; City or country; can furnish the best of references; ladies can be seen. Apply at No. 602 6th av.

COOK, &c.—BY A GERMAN PROTESTANT WOman, who is a good bread and biscuit maker, and good plain cook; expert in all its branches; City or country; has good reference. Call at No. 106 6th av., between 8th and 9th sts., up stairs.

COOK.—BY A FIRST-CLASS COOK; UNDERSTANDS cooking in all its branches; soups, meats, game, pastry, bread, creams, and jellies, desserts of all kinds; best City reference from her employers; no objections to a first-class boarding-house. Call for two days at No. 329 3d av., between 24th and 25th sts.

COOK, WASHER, AND IRONER, OR GENeral House-work.—By a neat, industrious girl; is a good cook and excellent washer and ironer, a reliable, economical, and respectful servant, highly recommended; City or country. Call at No. 115 Atlantic st., Brooklyn.

COOK.—BY A PROTESTANT WOMAN AS COOK or housekeeper in a private family; thoroughly understands her business in every respect; no objection to assist with washing; best reference given. Apply or address No. 212 East 28th st.

COOK.—BY A YOUNG WOMAN WHO IS A THOroughly good cook in every respect, in either boarding or Summer house in the country; unexceptionable reference from last place. Call at or address No. 234 West 16th st.

COOK.—BY A RESPECTABLE PROTESTANT woman as cook; good bread and biscuit baker; best City reference. Call at No. 254 TIMES UP-TOWN OFFICE, No. 1,257 BROADWAY.

COOK.—BY AN ENGLISH PROTESTANT AS FIRST-class cook; understands all kinds of bread and biscuit; country preferred; best reference. Call for two days at No. 230 West 20th st.

COOK.—BY A CAPABLE, STEADY WOMAN AS thorough cook and baker; would take a laundress' place, in City or country; City reference. Call at No. 205 West 27th st.

COOK.—BY A FIRST-CLASS COOK, UNDERSTANDing her business thoroughly; has good City references; understands all kinds of bread, desserts, milk, butter, &c. Can be seen at No. 1,233 Broadway.

COOK.—BY A RESPECTABLE YOUNG GIRL AS Cook; understands her business thoroughly; well recommended. Call at swedish Office, No. 203 East 37th st.

COOK, WASHER, AND IRONER.—BY A COMpetent person; is an excellent washer and ironer; in a small private family; no objection to the country; best City reference. Call at No. 344 West 29th st.

COOK.—BY A RESPECTABLE PROTESTANT ENGlish girl as first-class cook in a private family; City or country; best City reference. Call at No. 3 West 51st st., near 5th av.

COOK.—BY A PROFESSED COOK; COUNTRY OR City; best of City reference. Call for two days at No. 115 West 19th st., near 6th av.

COOK.—BY A GERMAN GIRL TO COOK, WASH, and iron; City or country. Call at No. 217 Bowery.

HOUSE-MAID.—BY A NEAT, TIDY GIRL, OF many years' experience in house-work in general, and has a long reference from last place; ladies can refer to her last and former employers. Can be seen at French Office, No. 602 6th av.

HOUSE-WORK.—BY A SWEDISH GIRL; strong, obliging; knows how to cook, wash, and iron well; wants a good home in City or country; good references to take groom's work. Call at No. 106 6th av., between 8th and 9th sts., up stairs.

HOUSEKEEPER OR CHARGE OF HOUSE for Summer.—By a respectable woman who can give best references in this City. Apply at Carpenter's, No. 138 West 11th st., corner 6th av.

HOUSEKEEPER.—BY A RELIABLE AMERICAN woman as housekeeper for a hotel or boarding-house, or Summer house; is sober and obliging; wages moderate; City or country; City reference. Call at No. 203 West 27th st.

HOUSEKEEPER.—BY A PROFESSED COOK, Protestant; would like to take charge of a house during the Summer and cook for parties if necessary; has unexceptionable references. Call at No. 1,208 Broadway.

HOUSEKEEPER, OR COOK AND HOUSEkeeper.—By an English woman who thoroughly understands her business; Protestant; town or country; hotel or private family. Address J. H., Box No. 149 *Times* Office.

LADY'S MAID OR SEAMSTRESS.—BY A young English Protestant as lady's maid or seamstress; is thoroughly competent; has good reference. Address Miss Ann, care of Mrs. Pollock, No. 240 West 20th st.

LAUNDRESS.—BY A FIRST-CLASS LAUNDRESS; thoroughly understands her business; unexceptionable reference; No. 31 East 20th st., near Broadway.

LAUNDRESS.—BY A RESPECTABLE WOMAN AS laundress in a private family; thoroughly understands her business; thirteen years in last place. Call at No. 112 West 19th st.

LAUNDRESS.—BY A FIRST-CLASS LAUNDRESS; one who thoroughly understands her business; has five years' City reference from her last place. Can be seen at No. 774 2d av., dye store.

LAUNDRESS.—BY A SCOTCH PROTESTANT girl as laundress or chamber-maid; best of City references. Can be seen from 10 to 4 o'clock at No. 48 East 34th st., present employer's.

MAID AND SEAMSTRESS.—BY A MAID AND seamstress; will make herself generally useful; is capable of taking the entire care of a lady's wardrobe; best City reference. Call at No. 19 West 31st st.

NURSES.—BY TWO YOUNG RESPECTABLE Protestant girls, who have just arrived from France and who have resided several years in England, to take care of children out of arms; can speak English, French, and German fluently; good seamstresses. Address L. N., Box No. 200 *Times* Office.

NURSE.—BY AN EXPERIENCED PROTESTANT to take entire charge of a young infant from its birth; understands bringing it up on the bottle; will be highly recommended in this City; no objection to the country. Can be seen at No. 111 West 20th st.

NURSE.—BY A THOROUGH NURSE, THE CARE OF an invalid or the Summer in the country; is able to take charge of any kind of sickness; is a Protestant. Address by letter, Mrs. K., No. 222 Madison st., Room No. 24.

NURSE.—A LADY WISHES TO RECOMMEND A thorough, responsible, and trustworthy nurse, an English Protestant, whom she has known for many years; she is a respectful and respectable person. Apply after 12 o'clock at No. 345 6th av., millinery store.

NURSE.—BY A LADY WITH A FRESH BREAST of milk, as wet nurse in a private family; best City reference. Call at No. 309 East 44th st., second floor.

SITUATIONS WANTED.

FEMALES.

NURSE.—BY A MIDDLE-AGED WOMAN, AS SICK or invalid's nurse, either lady or gentleman; accustomed to travel; speaks French; willing to make herself useful; best private and medical City reference. Call on or address T. C., care of Mrs. Sheridan, No. 298 East 20th st.

NURSE AND CHAMBER-MAID, &c.—BY A reliable young girl as nurse and chamber-maid; is a good sewer; willing and obliging; good reference from last place. Call at No. 739 6th av., confectionery store.

NURSE.—BY A PROTESTANT WOMAN AS INfant's nurse; can take full charge of a baby, and do all kinds of sewing by hand; a good home preferred to high wages; country preferred; best of references. Call at No. 114 West 33d st.

NURSE.—BY A COMPETENT PERSON AS NURSE; would take care of a baby from its birth or grown children; is a good seamstress; several years' City reference. Call or address for two days 58 West 23d st.

NURSE.—BY A YOUNG MARRIED WOMAN AS wet nurse; reference and certificate. Address Catherine Henery, No. 85 South 5th av.

NURSE.—BY A FIRST-CLASS INFANTS' NURSE; can take entire charge of an infant from its birth; has good reference; no objection to the country. Can be seen at No 1,233 Broadway, near 30th st.

SEAMSTRESS, &c.—BY A COMPETENT SEAMstress, with City reference; makes switches and combings up neatly; works home or would go out by the day; would go to the country. Call at No. 404 East 18th st., second floor, back.

SEAMSTRESS.—BY A COLORED GIRL AS SEAMstress; willing to assist with chamber-work or children; no objection to travel; good reference. Address G. K., No. 419 West 32d st.

USEFUL GIRL.—BY A LITTLE GIRL, FOURteen years of age, to mind children and make herself useful; best of City reference. Call at the basement door, No. 45 East 25th st.

WASHING, &c.—BY A RESPECTABLE WOMAN to go out by the day washing and ironing; has good reference. Inquire in the store, 331 East 22d st.

WAITRESS AND CHAMBER-MAID.—BY A neat, capable American girl; understands her business well in all its branches; has good references; City or country. Call at No. 106 6th av., between 8th and 9th sts., up stairs.

WAITRESS.—BY A COMPETENT PERSON AS waitress, and willing to assist in chamber-work; thoroughly understands her business; first-class City reference. Call or address J. C., care of J. B. Brewster, factory 25th st., between Lexington and 3d avs.

WAITRESS AND CHAMBER-MAID.—BY A respectable young girl; willing to assist with the washing and ironing. Can be seen at her present employer's house, No. 24 West 36th st.

MALES

A YOUNG GENTLEMAN OF PROPERTY and education, wants work in a business, where, after satisfaction being given and received, he can obtain an interest, or partnership, by the investment of some capital; state nature and place of business; references given and required. Address B., Box No. 112 *Times* office.

BUTLER.—BY A RESPECTABLE YOUNG PROTestant man as butler; thoroughly understands his business in all its branches; only leaves on account of family going to Europe; has unexceptionable City reference. Apply at No. 145 Madison av.

BUTLER—BY A FIRST-CLASS PROTESTANT butler, with good City reference; is highly recommended. Can be seen at No. 1,233 Broadway.

COACHMAN.—BY A SOBER, INDUSTRIOUS YOUNG man, of nice size and respectable appearance, who thoroughly understands the proper care and treatment of horses, harness, and carriages; is a very reliable driver; willing and obliging; has excellent City reference. Address J., Box No. 287 TIMES UP-TOWN OFFICE, No. 1,257 Broadway.

COACHMAN AND GROOM.—BY A SINGLE man; thoroughly understands the business; also, the management and care of fast horses; has four years' best reference from last employer; is temperate, willing, and obliging; no objection to the country. Call or address J. K., No. 644 6th av., harness store.

COACHMAN OR GROOM.—BY A YOUNG man as coachman or groom; thoroughly understands his business; can milk, and is willing to make himself useful; no objections to the country. Call for three days for J. C. H., at No. 8 East 31st st., livery stable.

COACHMAN.—BY A YOUNG MAN AS COACHman, who thoroughly understands his business; City or country; has good references; not afraid of work; willing to make himself useful. Call or address E., No. 11 East 27th st.

COACHMAN AND GROOM.—BY A YOUNG man as first-class coachman and groom; understands his business; the care of young and fast horses; best reference as to honesty and sobriety; is a stylish driver. Call or address No. 51½ West 32d st.

COACHMAN AND GROOM.—BY A YOUNG single man, who has the best references for character and capabilities; would accept a situation as second coachman or assistant. Address J. B., at Brewster's factory, East 25th st.

COACHMAN.—BY A YOUNG MAN AS FIRST-CLASS coachman; excellent references; can milk if required; country preferred. Address J. C., Box No.

FINANCIAL.

In the Circuit Court of the United States, Southern District of New-York.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SALE OF

The Northern Pacific Railroad.

UNDER DECREE OF FORECLOSURE.

MASTER COMMISSIONER'S NOTICE. REQUIRING PROOF OF BONDS OF AND CLAIMS AGAINST SAID RAILROAD.

Whereas, in a certain action pending in the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York, sitting in equity, wherein Jay Cooke, Charlemagne Tower, J. Fraley Smith, Theodore Hosmer, William S. Lane, and William A. Griswold are complainants, (together with Charles D. Drake, John R. Wheat and Ellen Meline, who have been admitted as co-plaintiffs therein,) and "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" is defendant, the said court did make and enter a certain decree therein on the twelfth day of May, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five.

And, whereas, said Court did by said decree, order, adjudge and decree, that the property of the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company," as described in said decree and in the mortgage or deed of trust (for the foreclosure of which this suit is brought) with all accessions thereto at the time of the sale thereof (except the lands and land-grants which are in said decree specially provided for,) be sold as one entire parcel as an *entirety*, under the direction of Oliver Fiske and Kenneth G. White, Esqs., as Master Commissioners, at public auction, in front of the Custom House, in the City of New-York, on the first Monday of August, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, and in such manner and on such terms and conditions as in said decree contained;

And, whereas, said Court did further order, adjudge, and decree that the lands and land-grants conveyed in said mortgage or deed of trust should be sold at public sale, to the highest bidders, at places within the States and Territories in which they shall be situate, under certain conditions and directions named in said decree, under the direction of the said Master Commissioners.

And whereas said court did, by the said decree, further order, adjudge, and decree as follows, viz.:

That the proceeds which may be made to arise from the said sale of said mortgaged premises and lands shall have arisen or shall arise in the hands of the Receiver, from the prosecution of the business of said company in the operation of its road, or which have arisen or may arise from any other source whatever from the property, are in law and equity held and bound and liable to be applied in the order following:

First—To the payment of the necessary expenses incidental to the execution and due preservation of the trust created in behalf of the said Jay Cooke and the said John Edgar Thompson and their successors, of which they were or are Trustees, including a reasonable compensation for their own services; all legal costs and compensation of the solicitors and counsel of the complainants for their professional services in this cause, and reasonable compensation to such solicitors and attorneys as such Receiver may have properly employed in any matter connected with the discharge of his duties as such Receiver, and a reasonable compensation to said Receiver for his own services; to pay all legal and necessary expenses heretofore properly and lawfully incurred under authority of any court in relation to said property now remaining unpaid, and all other attorneys or counsel fees for any of the parties in this suit in his discretion.

Second—To the payment of all balances due for services rendered or for labor performed, or materials and supplies furnished to said company in the prosecution of its business at any time within twelve months next preceding the date of entering this order, and for liabilances which may be due to connecting or other roads.

Third—To the payment of all legal taxes assessed or to be assessed against said company, remaining unpaid and to accrue.

Fourth—To the payment of balances due for the right of way or other necessary grounds for the use of said company, with power to said receiver, subject to confirmation by this court, in cases where the amount due for such right of way or other necessary grounds has not been ascertained heretofore, to compromise and settle the same.

Fifth—To the payment of all sums due for moneys borrowed to pay interest upon the mortgage debt, or to pay for labor and materials, for the repayment of which any former or present officers of the company, or any other person or persons, is or are liable, in any manner, by his or their instance, is surety.

Sixth—To the payment of all sums advanced or loaned for like objects, by any former or present Director of the company, and which have, in good faith, been so applied.

Seventh—To the payment of all liabilities of persons who have, at the instance of said company, or any of the officers and Directors thereof, become sureties for said company in the prosecution of the defense of suits, whether upon appeal bonds, second trial bonds, replevin bonds, injunction bonds, error bonds, or other obligations or undertaking the object of which was to protect the property of the company against claims asserted by third persons to the injury or prejudice of the company or others, as creditors thereof.

Eighth—To the payment of such of the first mortgage bonds as may be reported by the Master in this cause to have been bona fide issued and then outstanding and unpaid, and the interest warrants and coupons due and owing, as aforesaid, upon such bonds as may have been bona fide issued, and to the payment of whatever balance may be found to be due on the coupons or interest warrants of the said bonds which fell due prior to the said 1st January, 1874, when the general default on the interest warrants or coupons of said mortgage or deed of trust should be sold at public sale, to the highest bidders, at places within the States and Territories in which they shall be situate, under certain conditions and directions named in said decree, under the direction of the said Master Commissioners.

And whereas said court did, by the said decree, further order, adjudge, and decree as follows, viz.:

That the proceeds which may be made to arise from the said sale of said mortgaged premises and lands shall have arisen or shall arise in the hands of the Receiver, from the prosecution of the business of said company in the operation of its road, or which have arisen or may arise from any other source whatever from the property, are in law and equity held and bound and liable to be applied in the order following:

First—To the payment of the necessary expenses incidental to the execution and due preservation of the trust created in behalf of the said Jay Cooke and the said John Edgar Thompson and their successors, of which they were or are Trustees, including a reasonable compensation for their own services; all legal costs and compensation of the solicitors and counsel of the complainants for their professional services in this cause, and reasonable compensation to such solicitors and attorneys as such Receiver may have properly employed in any matter connected with the discharge of his duties as such Receiver, and a reasonable compensation to said Receiver for his own services; to pay all legal and necessary expenses heretofore properly and lawfully incurred under authority of any court in relation to said property now remaining unpaid, and all other attorneys or counsel fees for any of the parties in this suit in his discretion.

Second—To the payment of all balances due for services rendered or for labor performed, or materials and supplies furnished to said company in the prosecution of its business at any time within twelve months next preceding the date of entering this order, and for liabilances which may be due to connecting or other roads.

Third—To the payment of all legal taxes assessed or to be assessed against said company, remaining unpaid and to accrue.

Fourth—To the payment of balances due for the right of way or other necessary grounds for the use of said company, with power to said receiver, subject to confirmation by this court, in cases where the amount due for such right of way or other necessary grounds has not been ascertained heretofore, to compromise and settle the same.

Fifth—To the payment of all sums due for moneys borrowed to pay interest upon the mortgage debt, or to pay for labor and materials, for the repayment of which any former or present officers of the company, or any other person or persons, is or are liable, in any manner, by his or their instance, is surety.

Sixth—To the payment of all sums advanced or loaned for like objects, by any former or present Director of the company, and which have, in good faith, been so applied.

Seventh—To the payment of all liabilities of persons who have, at the instance of said company, or any of the officers and Directors thereof, become sureties for said company in the prosecution of the defense of suits, whether upon appeal bonds, second trial bonds, replevin bonds, injunction bonds, error bonds, or other obligations or undertaking the object of which was to protect the property of the company against claims asserted by third persons to the injury or prejudice of the company or others, as creditors thereof.

Eighth—To the payment of such of the first mortgage bonds as may be reported by the Master in this cause to have been bona fide issued and then outstanding and unpaid, and the interest warrants and coupons due and owing, as aforesaid, upon such bonds as may have been bona fide issued, and to the payment of whatever balance may be found to be due on the coupons or interest warrants of the said bonds which fell due prior to the said 1st January, 1874, when the general default on the interest warrants or coupons of said mortgage or deed of trust should be sold at public sale, to the highest bidders at places within the States and Territories in which they shall be situate, under certain conditions and directions named in said decree, under the direction of the said Master Commissioners.

Now, this is to notify, direct, and advertise to all parties having claims against or charges in said order of reference, and all bona fide holders of bonds such as are named therein, and all parties in any way interested in the matters of such reference, to appear in person or by properly-authorized attorney before me, the said Master Commissioner, at the United States Court and Post Office Building, in the City of New-York, on any days between the first and fifteenth days of July, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, both inclusive, (Sundays and the 5th day of July excepted,) between the hours of 10 A. M. and 3 P. M., to make proper and satisfactory proof of such claim and bonds, in order that such claims may be heard by and reported to the court by the Master Commissioner.

KENNETH G. WHITE,
Special Master Commissioner.

FRED'K A. LANE,
Solicitor and of Counsel for Complainants.

OFFICE CHICAGO, ROCK ISLAND AND PACIFIC RAILROAD COMPANY,
No. 13 WILLIAM st., NEW-YORK, May 1, 1875.

The holders of the Mortgage Sinking Fund Bonds of this Company are hereby notified that by virtue of a clause therein the following described Bonds will be paid off and retired on the 1st day of July, 1875, and interest on the same will cease from and after that date. The Bonds so designated are numbered as follows:

113	1805	3522	5400	6572
133	1808	3550	5485	6838
197	1848	3705	5517	6843
210	1868	3835	5539	6862
241	1875	3973	5580	6883
298	2118	4028	5581	6893
345	2161	4119	5593	6919
396	2154	4295	5602	7049
491	2163	4269	5619	7239
540	2183	4320	5715	7274
525	2184	4349	5722	7312
658	2205	4373	5743	7392
673	2208	4380	5760	7405
705	2283	4507	5789	7483
707	2291	4518	5997	7495
725	2294	4533	6155	7574
747	2297	4632	6172	7591
766	2714	4749	6177	7679
786	2776	4755	6202	7950
787	2778	4918	6207	8039
1100	2825	4966	6217	8492
1142	2831	4971	6303	8493
1203	2850	5144	6306	8494
1302	2900	5159	6309	8510
1334	2909	5153	6315	8701
1577	3210	5196	6383	8710
1622	3230	5236	6398	8757
1633	3207	5267	6334	8877
1668	3214	5314	6536	8906
1801	3506	5323	6555	8908

In all cases where the Bonds are registered they must be accompanied by an assignment in legal form to the Commissioners of the Sinking Fund, blanks for which will be furnished on application at this office. The Coupons are now prepared for to-day and after all said Bonds, according to their tenor, together with accrued interest to date of payment.

FRANCIS H. TOWS, Treasurer.

OFFICE OF THE ERIE RAILWAY COMPANY,
NEW-YORK, June 11, 1875.

THE ANNUAL MEETING OF THE STOCKholders of the Erie Railway Company, for the election of Directors, and for the transaction of such other business as may come before the meeting, will be held at the office of the company, No. 187 West st., on TUESDAY, the 13th day of July next. The polls will be open at 10 o'clock A. M., and remain open until 2 o'clock P. M. of that day.

The transfer books of both common and preferred stock will be closed after business hours on Saturday, the 12th inst., and remain closed until Wednesday, the 14th of July next.

The transfer office will be reopened on Wednesday, July 14, 1875, and thereafter be continued at the general offices of the company, No. 212 Duane st., New-York.

By order of the board:

A. R. MACDONOUGH,
Secretary.

NOTICE.

In accordance with the provisions of Chapter Sixteen of the acts of the extra session of the Eighth General Assembly of the State of Iowa, approved May twenty-eighth, eighteen hundred and sixty-one, I hereby give notice that on and after the first day of June, A. D. eighteen hundred and seventy-five, the principal and interest of all bonds over One Hundred Dollars of the State of Iowa, issued in accordance with the act above mentioned and known as the "Iowa War and Defense Bonds," shall be payable at the banking-house of Messrs. KOUNTZE BROS., in the City of New-York

C. C. CARPENTER, Governor of Iowa.

W. CHRISTY, State Treasurer.

THE FUNDED BONDS OF THE WEST Wisconsin Railway Company are now ready and being delivered by the New York Guaranty and Indemnity Company, No. 52 Broadway. Bondholders will please send in their coupons and receive bonds for the same. For further particulars in relation to the plan of funding, please communicate with the office of the railway company, No. 84 Broadway.

F. B. TROWBRIDGE, Secretary.

ALBERT H. NICOLAY, Auctioneer.

BY ALBERT H. NICOLAY & CO.,
OFFICE, NO. 43 PINE ST.

REGULAR AUCTION SALES OF STOCKS AND BONDS EVERY MONDAY AND THURSDAY, OR SPECIAL SALES MADE OF ALL OTHER DAYS.

Our established custom twenty-three years. Real estate sold on any day desired.

FINANCIAL.

In the Circuit Court of the United States, Southern District of New-York.

IN THE MATTER OF THE SALE OF

THE NORTHERN PACIFIC RAILROAD,

UNDER DECREE OF FORECLOSURE.

NOTICE OF SALE.

Whereas, in a certain action pending in the Circuit Court of the United States for the Southern District of New-York, sitting in equity, wherein Jay Cooke, Charlemagne Tower, J. Fraley Smith, Theodore Hosmer, William S. Lane, and William A. Griswold are complainants, (together with Charles D. Drake, John R. Wheat, and Ellen Meline, who have been admitted as complainants therein,) and "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" is defendant, the said court did make and enter a certain decree on the twelfth day of May, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five. And, whereas, by said decree the court did order, adjudge, and decree that all the right, title, interest, claim, estate or demand whatsoever, which the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" has, or may at any time hereafter acquire or become in any way entitled to, of, in, and to all the lands and sections of lands situate, lying, and being on either side of the railroad of said company, and the branch thereof, as the same may be finally located and constructed in accordance with the said acts of Congress and the supplements thereto, in or through the States of Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Oregon, and the United States Territories of Dakota, Montana, Idaho, and Washington, making in the aggregate about sixty millions of acres of land, more or less, and also all the right of way and railroad and branches thereof authorized by the said act of Congress and the acts and resolutions supplementary thereto, constructed and to be constructed, under the said act in the said States and Territories, and all engines, cars, and rolling stock which the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" may have at any time placed upon the said railroad for the use and operation thereof, together with all the rights, liberties, franchises, including the franchise to be a corporation, and property of every description, to the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company," belonging or in anywise appertaining to the said railroad under or by virtue of the said acts and resolutions of the Congress of the United States, with all accessions thereto at the time of the sale thereof (except the lands and land grants which are therein specially provided for) be sold in one entire parcel, as an *entirety*, under direction of Oliver Fiske and Kenneth G. White, Esqs., as Master Commissioners, at public auction, in front of the Custom House in the City of New-York, in the State of New-York, on the first Monday of August, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, and in such manner and on such terms and conditions as in said decree contained;

And, whereas, by said decree the court did order, adjudge, and decree that all the right, title, interest, claim, estate or demand whatsoever, which the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" has, or may at any time hereafter acquire or become in any way entitled to, of, in, and to all the lands and sections of lands situate, lying, and being on either side of the railroad of said company, and the branch thereof, as the same may be finally located and constructed in accordance with the said acts of Congress and the supplements thereto, in or through the States of Wisconsin, Minnesota, and Oregon, and the United States Territories of Dakota, Montana, Idaho, and Washington, making in the aggregate about sixty millions of acres of land, more or less, and also all the right of way and railroad and branches thereof authorized by the said act of Congress and the acts and resolutions supplementary thereto, constructed and to be constructed, under the said act in the said States and Territories, and all engines, cars, and rolling stock which the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company" may have at any time placed upon the said railroad for the use and operation thereof, together with all the rights, liberties, franchises, including the franchise to be a corporation, and property of every description, to the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company," belonging or in anywise appertaining to the said railroad under or by virtue of the said acts and resolutions of the Congress of the United States, with all accessions thereto at the time of the sale thereof (except the lands and land grants which are therein specially provided for) be sold in one entire parcel, as an *entirety*, under direction of Oliver Fiske and Kenneth G. White, Esqs., as Master Commissioners, at public auction, in front of the Custom House in the City of New-York, in the State of New-York, on the first Monday of August, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, and in such manner and on such terms and conditions as in said decree contained;

And, whereas, said Court did by said decree, order, adjudge and decree, that the property of the said "The Northern Pacific Railroad Company," as described in said decree and in the mortgage or deed of trust (for the foreclosure of which this suit is brought) with all accessions thereto at the time of the sale thereof (except the lands and land-grants which are in said decree specially provided for,) be sold as one entire parcel as an *entirety*, under the direction of Oliver Fiske and Kenneth G. White, Esqs., as Master Commissioners, at public auction, in front of the Custom House, in the City of New-York, in the State of New-York, on the first Monday of August, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, in accordance with certain instructions contained in said decree.

And whereas said court did further order, adjudge, and decree that the lands and land-grants conveyed in said mortgage or deed of trust should be sold at public sale, to the highest bidders at places within the States and Territories in which they shall be situate, under certain conditions and directions named in said decree, under the direction of the said Master Commissioners.

And whereas said court did, by the said decree, further order, adjudge, and decree as follows, viz.:

That the proceeds which may be made to arise from the said sale of said mortgaged premises and lands shall have arisen or shall arise in the hands of the Receiver, from the prosecution of the business of said company in the operation of its road, or which have arisen or may arise from any other source whatever from the property, are in law and equity held and bound and liable to be applied in the order following:

First—To the payment of the necessary expenses incidental to the execution and due preservation of the trust created in behalf of the said Jay Cooke and the said John Edgar Thompson and their successors, of which they were or are Trustees, including a reasonable compensation for their own services; all legal costs and compensation of the solicitors and counsel of the complainants for their professional services in this cause, and reasonable compensation to such solicitors and attorneys as such Receiver may have properly employed in any matter connected with the discharge of his duties as such Receiver, and a reasonable compensation to said Receiver for his own services; to pay all legal and necessary expenses heretofore properly and lawfully incurred under authority of any court in relation to said property now remaining unpaid, and all other attorneys or counsel fees for any of the parties in this suit in his discretion.

Second—To the payment of all balances due for services rendered or for labor performed, or materials and supplies furnished to said company in the prosecution of its business at any time within twelve months next preceding the date of entering this order, and for liabilances which may be due to connecting or other roads.

Third—To the payment of all legal taxes assessed or to be assessed against said company, remaining unpaid and to accrue.

Fourth—To the payment of balances due for the right of way or other necessary grounds for the use of said company, with power to said receiver, subject to confirmation by this court, in cases where the amount due for such right of way or other necessary grounds has not been ascertained heretofore, to compromise and settle the same.

Fifth—To the payment of all sums due for moneys borrowed to pay interest upon the mortgage debt, or to pay for labor and materials, for the repayment of which any former or present officers of the company, or any other person or persons, is or are liable, in any manner, by his or their instance, is surety.

Sixth—To the payment of all sums advanced or loaned for like objects, by any former or present Director of the company, and which have, in good faith, been so applied.

Seventh—To the payment of all liabilities of persons who have, at the instance of said company, or any of the officers and Directors thereof, become sureties for said company in the prosecution of the defense of suits, whether upon appeal bonds, second trial bonds, replevin bonds, injunction bonds, error bonds, or other obligations or undertaking the object of which was to protect the property of the company against claims asserted by third persons to the injury or prejudice of the company or others, as creditors thereof.

Eighth—To the payment of such of the first mortgage bonds as may be reported by the Master in this cause to have been bona fide issued and then outstanding and unpaid, and the interest warrants and coupons due and owing, as aforesaid, upon such bonds as may have been bona fide issued, and to the payment of whatever balance may be found to be due on the coupons or interest warrants of the said bonds which fell due prior to the said 1st January, 1874, when the general default on the interest warrants or coupons of said mortgage or deed of trust should be sold at public sale, to the highest bidders at places within the States and Territories in which they shall be situate, under certain conditions and directions named in said decree, under the direction of the said Master Commissioners.

Now, this is to notify, direct, and advertise to all parties having claims against or charges in said order of reference, and all bona fide holders of bonds such as are named therein, and all parties in any way interested in the matters of such reference, to appear in person or by properly-authorized attorney before me, the said Master Commissioner, at the United States Court and Post Office Building, in the City of New-York, on any days between the first and fifteenth days of July, one thousand eight hundred and seventy-five, both inclusive, (Sundays and the 5th day of July excepted,) between the hours of 10 A. M. and 3 P. M., to make proper and satisfactory proof of such claim and bonds, in order that such claims may be heard by and reported to the court by the Master Commissioner.

KENNETH G. WHITE,
Special Master Commissioner.

FRED'K A. LANE,
Solicitor and of Counsel for Complainants.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE ATLANTIC
FOR JULY,
Now ready, and for sale everywhere,
commences the THIRTY-SIXTH VOLUME with two timely historical papers—one a clear and graphic description of

THE BATTLE OF BUNKER HILL,
by HORACE E. SCUDDER, and the other a very interesting account of

WASHINGTON IN CAMBRIDGE,
narrating the incidents of his residence there during the siege of Boston, by ALEXANDER MCKENZIE.

These alone would make the number a noteworthy one, but it is rich in attractions besides.

CHARLES DUDLEY WARNER
gives an entertaining description of PASSING THE CATARACT OF THE NILE, and

W. D. HOWELLS
writes of AN OBSOLETE FINE GENTLEMAN, being an Essay on Parini, the Italian poet.

JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL
contributes two SONNETS FROM OVER SEA, and there are other poems by

Mrs. FRANCES ANNE KEMBLE,
CHRISTOPHER P. CRANCH,
T. B. ALDRICH, and
EDGAR FAWCETT.
HENRY JAMES, JR.,

continues his delightful serial novel RODERICK HUDSON, and there is a clever story entitled BROKE JAIL, by D. H. JOHNSON, a new writer.

LIGHTNING AND LIGHTNING-RODS
Are treated by Prof. JOHN TROWBRIDGE, of Harvard; SOCIAL ASPECTS OF THE GERMAN ROMANTIC SCHOOL, by Prof. H. H. BOYESEN, of Cornell; and THE RUSSIANS IN THE EAST, by GEORGE M. TOWLE.

The departments of RECENT LITERATURE, ART, and EDUCATION maintain their usual excellence, and under the latter there is an interesting account of the WOMAN'S EDUCATIONAL MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND.

The publishers refer with pride to the fact that the six numbers of the ATLANTIC published this year have contained four poems by LONGFELLOW, two by LOWELL, two by WHITTIER, and one by BRYANT, which are among the best that these leading American poets have ever written. Dr. OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES has furnished two brilliant articles, in his happiest vein, and contributions have also appeared from BAYARD TAYLOR, T. B. ALDRICH, W. D. HOWELLS, R. C. STEDMAN, J. T. TROWBRIDGE, R. H. STODDARD, CELIA THAXTER, Miss PHELPS, and other favorite writers; not to omit MARK TWAIN, whose Mississippi River papers have been so widely read.

The list of attractions for 1875 does not stop here. In early numbers will be commenced a series of Autobiographical Papers by Mrs. FRANCES ANNE KEMBLE, and several Papers on Railroads by CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS, JR.; a New American Novel by W. D. HOWELLS will follow Mr. James' story. BAYARD TAYLOR resumes in August his "Autumn Days in Weimar;" and in September Col. GEORGE E. WARING begins a series of papers of great practical value.

Other contributions are expected from the writers named above, who have so long been identified with the ATLANTIC, and the publishers will spare no pains or expense to keep the magazine, where it has always stood, AT THE HEAD OF AMERICAN LITERATURE.

TERMS—35 cents a number; $4 a year.

H. O. HOUGHTON & CO., Boston.
HURD & HOUGHTON, New-York.

J. B. LIPPINCOTT & CO.

HAVE JUST ISSUED:

PRESCOTT'S MISCELLANIES. Biographical and Critical Miscellaneous Essays. By W. H. PRESCOTT. New Edition. 1 vol. Extra cloth, $2 25; half calf, gilt extra, $4 50.

This completes the new edition of Prescott's Works in fifteen volumes. *Harper's Magazine* says of these books: "It would be difficult to point out among the works of living historians the equal of those which have proceeded from Mr. Prescott's pen."

MEMOIRS OF J. Q. ADAMS. Memoirs of John Quincy Adams, comprising portions of his Diary from 1795 to 1848. Edited by Hon. CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS. 8vo. Vol. VI. Extra cloth, $3.

No one should fail to read it who would have correct knowledge of the history of our republic, especially of the men who have taken an active part in its public affairs.—*Central Christian Advocate.*

THE ABUSE OF MATERNITY, Through its Rejection, and Through its Unwise Acceptance. By Mrs. ELIZABETH E. EVANS. 12mo. Fine cloth, $1.

A remarkably well-written volume, containing many valuable suggestions on this intensely interesting question, and will well repay a thoughtful perusal.

MORFORD'S AMERICAN GUIDE. Short Trip Guide to America. By HENRY MORFORD. Edition of 1875. Revised and enlarged. 16mo. Bound in cloth, blue and gold. $1.

THE GREEN GATE. A Romance. From the German of ERNST WICHERT, by Mrs. A. L. WISTER, translator of "The Old Mam'selle's Secret," "Gold Elsie," "Hulda," &c. Fine cloth, $1 75.

It is a charming story, full of refinement, with a highly attractive plot, and is translated in Mrs. Wister's pure and perfect style.

SIGNA. By OUIDA. Author of "Strathmore," "Under Two Flags," "Idalia," "Puck," &c. 12mo. Extra cloth, black and gilt ornamentation, $2.

This story possesses in full measure those unmistakable characteristics of genius which have made Ouida's name famous wherever the best works of English fiction are read. It has all the intensity of feeling, all the luxuriance of language, and all the brilliant imagery of her earlier works. It sparkles with wise and witty sayings, and will doubtless prove a source of the freshest and keenest enjoyment to the thousands of readers whose judgment has very correctly pronounced the author of "Signa" the most fascinating novel writer of the age.

*** For sale by book-sellers generally, or will be sent by mail, post-paid, on receipt of the price by

J. B. LIPPINCOTT & CO., Publishers,
Nos. 715 and 717 Market st., Philadelphia.

CARMINA CONCORDIA.

THE SONGS OF UNION COLLEGE, elegantly bound in cloth, $1 75. Copies mailed.
WM. A. POND & CO., No. 547 Broadway, New-York.
Branch store, No. 39 Union square.

LOST AND FOUND.

LOST — BANK-BOOK, BELONGING TO A. E. LOWINNS, on North River Savings Bank. Please return to No. 24 West 33d st.

CLOTHING.

OTIUM CUM DIGNITATE.—A. B. MUNDY, constructor of gentlemen's dresses upon mathematical principles and proportions, No. 38 West 30th st.

FINANCIAL.

VERMILYE & CO.

BANKERS,

16 and 18 Nassau st., New-York.

DEALERS IN ALL ISSUES OF GOVERNMENT SECURITIES,
NEW-YORK CITY
AND BROOKLYN BONDS.
BUY AND SELL ON COMMISSION
RAILWAY STOCKS, BONDS, AND GOLD.

INTEREST ON DEPOSITS.

WASH'N R. VERMILYE, DONALD MACKAY
JAS. A. TROWBRIDGE LATHAM A. FISH

Defaulted Railroad Bonds

Bought and sold by the old-established house of

Albert H. Nicolay & Co.,

STOCK BROKERS AND AUCTIONEERS,
NO. 43 PINE ST., NEW-YORK.

N. B.—CHOICE 7, 8, AND 10 PER CENT. CITY AND COUNTY Municipal Bonds; also other A 1 investment securities, our specialty 23 years, paying 10 to 15 per cent. Interest promptly paid, and for sale below par.

FORTUNES HAVE BEEN MADE IN WALL st. by investments of $212 in spreads on Erie: $10 or $100 pays proportionately; 100 shares of any stock bought on deposit of $300. Pamphlet free.
SIMPSON, DARRAGH & CO., Bankers and Brokers,
No. 84 Broadway, corner Wall st., New-York.

BROADWAY AND SEVENTH AVENUE RAILROAD COMPANY.—An election for thirteen directors and three inspectors of election will be held at the office of the company on MONDAY, June 14, between the hours of 12 and 1 P. M.

THOMAS B. KERR, Secretary.

PEOPLE'S FIRE INSURANCE COMPANY,
NEW-YORK, June 1, 1875.

THE ANNUAL ELECTION FOR DIRECTORS of this company will be held at the office of the company, No. 393 Canal st., TUESDAY, June 15, 1875. Polls open from 12 M. to 1 P. M.

A. C. MILNE, Secretary.

NEW PUBLICATIONS.

THE ATLANTIC
FOR JULY,
Now ready, and for sale everywhere,
commences the THIRTY-SIXTH VOLUME with two timely historical papers—one a clear and graphic description of

THE BATTLE OF BUNKER HILL,
by HORACE E. SCUDDER, and the other a very interesting account of

WASHINGTON IN CAMBRIDGE,
narrating the incidents of his residence there during the siege of Boston, by ALEXANDER MCKENZIE.

These alone would make the number a noteworthy one, but it is rich in attractions besides.

CHARLES DUDLEY WARNER
gives an entertaining description of PASSING THE CATARACT OF THE NILE, and

W. D. HOWELLS
writes of AN OBSOLETE FINE GENTLEMAN, being an Essay on Parini, the Italian poet.

JAMES RUSSELL LOWELL
contributes two SONNETS FROM OVER SEA, and there are other poems by

Mrs. FRANCES ANNE KEMBLE,
CHRISTOPHER P. CRANCH,
T. B. ALDRICH, and
EDGAR FAWCETT.
HENRY JAMES, JR.,

continues his delightful serial novel RODERICK HUDSON, and there is a clever story entitled BROKE JAIL, by D. H. JOHNSON, a new writer.

LIGHTNING AND LIGHTNING-RODS
Are treated by Prof. JOHN TROWBRIDGE, of Harvard; SOCIAL ASPECTS OF THE GERMAN ROMANTIC SCHOOL, by Prof. H. H. BOYESEN, of Cornell; and THE RUSSIANS IN THE EAST, by GEORGE M. TOWLE.

The departments of RECENT LITERATURE, ART, and EDUCATION maintain their usual excellence, and under the latter there is an interesting account of the WOMAN'S EDUCATIONAL MOVEMENT IN ENGLAND.

The publishers refer with pride to the fact that the six numbers of the ATLANTIC published this year have contained four poems by LONGFELLOW, two by LOWELL, two by WHITTIER, and one by BRYANT, which are among the best that these leading American poets have ever written. Dr. OLIVER WENDELL HOLMES has furnished two brilliant articles, in his happiest vein, and contributions have also appeared from BAYARD TAYLOR, T. B. ALDRICH, W. D. HOWELLS, R. C. STEDMAN, J. T. TROWBRIDGE, R. H. STODDARD, CELIA THAXTER, Miss PHELPS, and other favorite writers; not to omit MARK TWAIN, whose Mississippi River papers have been so widely read.

The list of attractions for 1875 does not stop here. In early numbers will be commenced a series of Autobiographical Papers by Mrs. FRANCES ANNE KEMBLE, and several Papers on Railroads by CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS, JR.; a New American Novel by W. D. HOWELLS will follow Mr. James' story. BAYARD TAYLOR resumes in August his "Autumn Days in Weimar;" and in September Col. GEORGE E. WARING begins a series of papers of great practical value.

Other contributions are expected from the writers named above, who have so long been identified with the ATLANTIC, and the publishers will spare no pains or expense to keep the magazine, where it has always stood, AT THE HEAD OF AMERICAN LITERATURE.

TERMS—35 cents a number; $4 a year.

H. O. HOUGHTON & CO., Boston.
HURD & HOUGHTON, New-York.

J. B. LIPPINCOTT & CO.

HAVE JUST ISSUED:

PRESCOTT'S MISCELLANIES. Biographical and Critical Miscellaneous Essays. By W. H. PRESCOTT. New Edition. 1 vol. Extra cloth, $2 25; half calf, gilt extra, $4 50.

This completes the new edition of Prescott's Works in fifteen volumes. *Harper's Magazine* says of these books: "It would be difficult to point out among the works of living historians the equal of those which have proceeded from Mr. Prescott's pen."

MEMOIRS OF J. Q. ADAMS. Memoirs of John Quincy Adams, comprising portions of his Diary from 1795 to 1848. Edited by Hon. CHARLES FRANCIS ADAMS. 8vo. Vol. VI. Extra cloth, $3.

No one should fail to read it who would have correct knowledge of the history of our republic, especially of the men who have taken an active part in its public affairs.—*Central Christian Advocate.*

THE ABUSE OF MATERNITY, Through its Rejection, and Through its Unwise Acceptance. By Mrs. ELIZABETH E. EVANS. 12mo. Fine cloth, $1.

A remarkably well-written volume, containing many valuable suggestions on this intensely interesting question, and will well repay a thoughtful perusal.

MORFORD'S AMERICAN GUIDE. Short Trip Guide to America. By HENRY MORFORD. Edition of 1875. Revised and enlarged. 16mo. Bound in cloth, blue and gold. $1.

THE GREEN GATE. A Romance. From the German of ERNST WICHERT, by Mrs. A. L. WISTER, translator of "The Old Mam'selle's Secret," "Gold Elsie," "Hulda," &c. Fine cloth, $1 75.

It is a charming story, full of refinement, with a highly attractive plot, and is translated in Mrs. Wister's pure and perfect style.

SIGNA. By OUIDA. Author of "Strathmore," "Under Two Flags," "Idalia," "Puck," &c. 12mo. Extra cloth, black and gilt ornamentation, $2.

This story possesses in full measure those unmistakable characteristics of genius which have made Ouida's name famous wherever the best works of English fiction are read. It has all the intensity of feeling, all the luxuriance of language, and all the brilliant imagery of her earlier works. It sparkles with wise and witty sayings, and will doubtless prove a source of the freshest and keenest enjoyment to the thousands of readers whose judgment has very correctly pronounced the author of "Signa" the most fascinating novel writer of the age.

*** For sale by book-sellers generally, or will be sent by mail, post-paid, on receipt of the price by

J. B. LIPPINCOTT & CO., Publishers,
Nos. 715 and 717 Market st., Philadelphia.

CARMINA CONCORDIA.

THE SONGS OF UNION COLLEGE, elegantly bound in cloth, $1 75. Copies mailed.
WM. A. POND & CO., No. 547 Broadway, New-York.
Branch store, No. 39 Union square.

CONSOLIDATED MORTGAGE BONDS,

GUARANTEED AND INDORSED BY THE

CENTRAL RAILROAD OF NEW-JERSEY.

The undersigned offer for sale at 97½ per cent. a limited amount of the bonds of the

Lehigh and Wilkesbarre Coal Co.,

due in 1900, bearing 7 per cent. interest, payable quarterly, and secured by mortgage upon some of the best coal lands in Pennsylvania, from which two and one-half million tons of coal were mined in 1874. A sinking fund of ten cents for every ton mined is provided for the redemption of the bonds. Each bond bears the following endorsement, signed by a special act of the Legislature of New-Jersey, passed March 21, 1874: "For value received, the CENTRAL RAILROAD COMPANY OF NEW-JERSEY hereby guarantees the punctual payment of the principal and interest of the within bond, at the times and upon the terms and conditions therein specified, in pursuance of resolutions of the board and the assent of the stockholders."

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the said company has caused this guaranty to be signed on its behalf by its Treasurer, and its corporate seal to be affixed.

BARUCH, BROTHERS & CO., 50 Wall st.
G. G. HAVEN & CO., No. 17 Wall st.

S. Z. HOOVER & CO.,
GENERAL AGENTS,
No. 1,513 9th st., Washington, D. C.

Debts collected in District of Columbia, States of Virginia and Maryland; claims against the United States and District Governments, and business that may be attended by agents will receive our prompt attention.

LOST OR MISLAID—CERTIFICATE NO. 120 OF THE UNION TRUST COMPANY OF NEW-YORK, in name of Theodore P. Green, for twenty shares assented stock of the Mississippi and Missouri Railroad Company, for surrender certificate No. 213 of said Mississippi and Missouri Railroad Company. All persons are cautioned against negotiating certificate of said Trust Company, and any person having knowledge of same will please address the subscriber at Brattleboro, Vt. THEO. P. GREEN.

New-York, June 8, 1875.

TO THE HOLDERS OF THE FIRST MORTgage bonds of the Chicago and Illinois Southern Railroad Company, and of the Decatur, Sullivan and Mattoon Railroad Company: You are hereby notified to appear before me, a special Master, appointed by the United states Circuit Court for the Southern District of Illinois, and make proof of your bonds, at my office, No. 21 Nassau street, in the City of New-York, on or before the 10th day of June, 1875.

SUTHERLAND D. SMITH, Master, &c.

MONEY TO LOAN ON BOND AND MORTgage.—Property in New-York, Brooklyn, Westchester, and New-Jersey. First, second, and leasehold mortgages cashed. City and county bonds negotiated. References: R. H. Lowry, Esq.; J. D. Vermilye, Esq. Apply to S. S. WOOD, JR., & R. B. FLOYD JONES, No. 98 Broadway.

BROWN BROTHERS & CO.,
NO. 59 WALL ST.,
ISSUE COMMERCIAL and TRAVELERS' CREDITS AVAILABLE in all PARTS of the WORLD.

SUMMER RESORTS.

United States Hotel,

SARATOGA SPRINGS.

Will open for reception of guests June 1, 1875.
JAMES M. MARVIN, Proprietor.

Diagrams of rooms will be sent on

PLYMOUTH LECTURE-ROOM.

MR. BEECHER'S FRIDAY EVENING TALK.

THE BEAUTY AND GOODNESS OF RELIGION —THE NEW-YORK NEWSPAPERS AS INSTRUCTORS OF THEOLOGY — ANOTHER DEFENSE OF MR. BEECHER'S IDEAS ON PREACHING AND RELIGION—THE WORSHIP OF PLYMOUTH CHURCH.

Plymouth Lecture-room was crowded to repletion last evening despite the overpowering heat. Col. Harry Beecher and his wife and Rev. Edward Beecher occupied seats on the platform and Mrs. Beecher sat in front. Gen. Tracy was one of the worshipers, and he fell sound asleep during the Pastor's discourse. There were two bouquets on the pastoral desk as though to make up for last week's neglect. Mr. Beecher arrived five minutes early, looking the picture of cheerfulness and good health. At 8 o'clock he gave out the 513th hymn. Then Brother McKay prayed long and floridly, and the congregation sang the 907th hymn. This was followed by a sepulchral prayer from Brother Hart, and the singing of the 660th hymn. At its conclusion Mr. Beecher settled himself in his chair, and said that he had been brought up as a boy to believe that religion was a matter of duty, and consequently something hard, severe, combative and of a quality which the world could not be expected to admire. He had heard it often said, and had come to regard it as such, that it was an evidence of piety when the world disliked a man. As he had often before remarked, the Jewish mind did not seem to have had any sense of the beauty of nature. As one of their fundamental canons forbid them to fashion anything to the image of God, their sense of beauty seems to have struck in, and nowhere was the appreciation of the beauty of moral excellence so high as among them. The more he thought of it the more he was astonished that this had not before been found out. Throughout the writings of the Apostles there is a constant yearning for beauty. It glistens in a thousand places in the New Testament like the glancing colors of silks that have been woven into the texture of the stuff. All the faculties of man have the quality of becoming noble and beautiful, and there is such an appreciation of this development in the world that, as a general rule, the most hardened men see the beauty even of holiness. He did not mean to be understood as sayink by that a man who is pretty well drunk admires temperance, or that a glutton who is one-third of the way into his dinner admires forbearance, or that while a man is mad he appreciates the beauty of a peaceful temper. While men are under the influence of evil, that restrains them for the time-being from admiring beautifulness; but until nature is substantially extinguished in men will they fail to perceive the moral beauty of goodness. We would go further and say that the capstone of the Bible lies in the exhibition by Christian men of such beautifulness that men, seeing their works, may be induced to glorify God, the Father. Not that that is the only thing, or the end that we should seek in the first instance.

He knew, he said, that everybody would be glad to be handsome. Men try to hide their blemishes, and that is not unpraiseworthy. Everybody, he said, would like to have beautiful features. He would himself. [Laughter.] He had a cosmetic, by which all could become handsome. It was not one to make eyebrows grow upon a spot where they never grew; to make a small nose large, or a big mouth small; but it substantially does the same thing. Handsome is that handsome does. The face is like a canvas, and beauty is what is put upon it. No matter how coarse the canvas may be, if the artist be skilful and cover it with noble thoughts in glowing colors the canvas will not be looked at on account of the beauty of the painting. A really handsome face is indicative of a handsome soul. It is grace that makes us handsome—if we have enough of it. It is not enough for a man to strive after Christian qualities—to catch them by the foot as it were; to have them visit him once a year and spend a night or two with him. It is not until we have refined them, polished them into beauty that the moral power of the beauty of holiness begins to be discernible in us. Is there anything more noble than conscience? Yet when we look around we see how conscientious people live, how hard and unlovely they appear. There are men who are unteachable—who stand amid temptations like sphinxes amid the sands of Egypt. Now and then comes a time of trouble, when he is not moved, but is it not a barrenness of conscience that makes them so homely? If we are to develop and educate our consciences, it is not necessary that they should be forced to remain as granite. Will we not let some moss grow on them? Will we not plant some vines to run over and cover them? Is anything more lovely than a mother's conscience as she sits in the midst of her children dividing justice among them? It is the want of beautiful consciences that makes men afraid of conscience.

There are no more conscientious men in the world than men of benignity. Conscience is to life what the bone is to the structure of the body. It is not to be worn outside. It is strength in the centre, with an outside of softness. Everybody has seen a torn plucked and placed in an empty tumbler. In an hour it collapses. He had seen some people who were just like that—who hadn't any combativeness in them. The energy and power which is given to beautifulness comes from combativeness. Without it life would be gelatinous. Once in a while it strikes out like lightning, and everybody says "Good," yet as a general ruling it ought to be a kind of fire under the cauldron of the other qualities, making them boil and bubble. A man may be gentle, but his gentleness may be nothing but deliquescent moonshine. What gives power to it is combativeness. Men are told that they must contend for the faith and for the delivery of the saints, and instantly contention springs up all over, in theological seminaries and churches, and everybody who has got a notion, hits everybody else over the head with it for the purpose of defending the faith. That is combativeness run riot. How many men there are who think that preaching means attacking evil. As long as God spares the lives of the editors of the New-York newspapers, the man who don't preach right will have no excuse, because we have therefrom an exhibition of pure spirituality and such magnificent theological powers of criticism that we will never want for good instructors. [Great laughter.] In the same sense no man should have any excuse for being sick while he can obtain Brandreth's pills, for they work everything, and if a man dies before taking them he ought to be esteemed a suicide. [Renewed laughter.] The newspaper idea of a preacher was that of a man armed with a gun, and standing on a dock waiting to shoot rats—of a man who was all the time squeezing boils. [Laughter.] What kind of preaching is that? We should abhor evil. If a man tells us a salacious story we should not smirk at it as though we were afraid of incurring his displeasure. We should tell him never to dare to repeat such a thing in our hearing. If a man tells us how neatly he cheated another, we should not, in fear of losing his custom, smile and say it was smart. We should abhor evil, but not to the extent of becoming abhorrent ourselves. Take the man who is all the time preaching that this is false, and that is wrong. What is he but a vermin-killer? The speaker's business had been to make virtue radiant. No tree in full bloom was half so beautiful as a man living in imitation of the Lord and pouring out upon others the benefactions God had placed in him. Nothing could be grander than that. But as to the fault-monger who goes continually about looking for warts—it is no wonder that such a man's preaching is unfruitful. Men have all got a garden of God in their breasts. All the preacher has to do is to fill it with flowers.

Some qualities, like humor, cheerfulness, and buoyancy, are said to be unsanctifying. He affirmed that more families were made happy by these than by the conventionalities of life. One courageous soul in a family borne down by trouble is a deliverer. The person who has the divine art of seeing something amusing in everything has a great faculty of happiness. He is a man who is foreordained to make things beautiful. The peculiar circumstances in which the world has been placed from time to time has destroyed this in religion. He would be glad to see it restored. In war times, when we sing only "My country, 'tis of thee," are we to take out all the soft stops in the organ as being unnecessary to render such harsh tunes, and are we to put aside the flute and the oboe and the harp? A man says "I've got a flute in me." He is told that that does not belong to religion. Or "I've got a harp in me." Oh! that is well enough for frivolity. "I say," said Mr. Beecher, "that religion is a full band. [Laughter.] And there is not an instrument that God has put into man that He don't want him to play to His honor and glory." When we get religion, whatever else we throw overboard we should not throw these overboard. There have been men who thought that candles were vanities, and they sat all night solemnly in the dark rather than light them. There are many men to whom God has given spiritual candles, who never light them because they think it savors of the world. Men whose feelings are denied their legitimate expression go to outside channels of gratification and grow abnormal. All that is magnanimous in man, all that belongs to the moral sense in any form, all the affirmative and negative qualities in any form should be developed in Christian life; and as we get toward goodness we get toward liberty. These are the ideas of the New Testament. The speaker had preached that men should not of their own free will do God's wishes without being under fear of the law. He had sought to give religion a cheerful aspect, to make it more social, and pleasant, and cheerful. He knew what it was to beat religion into men and women. Why did people like to come to Plymouth Church? Some said it was on account of the "figurative language." [Laughter.] Others said it was this or that concession to popular prejudice. The true reason was that their is nothing in this world so pleasant as when men and women get together and let their better selves out; when the soul communion is genuine as it is there. It cannot be imitated, and, thank God, it cannot be extinguished. Mr. Beecher did not know if the development would be accomplished in this or even in several generations, but he had in his mind the time when perfect men and women shall come together; when there will be a mighty band playing entrancing melody in the churches of earth. Churches nowadays are too often lean and cold, but the time will come when they will catch the choral strain, and men will be drawn to Christ not by the dogmas of the pulpit, but by the beauty of holiness in men. Then the earth will be full of glory.

After the singing of the 659th hymn, Mr. Beecher announced the withdrawal from the Church of Brother Sanford, and said that Rev. Dr. Bacon, of New-Haven, would preach in his stead on Sunday evening, an announcement which was received with applause. Benediction closed the exercises.

MR. F. B. CARPENTER'S STATEMENT.

WHY HE WAS NOT CALLED TO THE WITNESS-STAND—DETAILS OF HIS CONNECTION WITH THE SCANDAL.

In a statement published yesterday by Mr. F. B. Carpenter in relation to his connection with the Beecher-Tilton scandal, he said that the reasons for his not having been called to the witness-stand were these:

"I was subpœnaed at the beginning of the trial, was telegraphed to repeatedly, and presented myself in court, expecting hourly to be called. Just before the evidence on the direct closed Mr. Tilton's counsel had a consultation, and decided to hold me back for rebuttal, supposing from Mr. Beecher's statement to his committee last Summer that there would be something important for me to rebut. Mr. Tracy made blatant threats of what the defense was going to prove concerning me, and on hearing Tracy Mr. Tilton's counsel congratulated themselves on their policy in holding me back for rebuttal. When the defense closed, to the surprise of the plaintiff's lawyers, not one word had been produced that needed rebuttal from me. There was only one point at this stage where I might have been called, and that was consiuered too unimportant to waste time upon. That point was this: Mr. Jackson S. Schultz, on his direct examination, testified that I represented Mr. Tilton in a conversation about starting a new paper. Judge Neilson very properly remarked that there was 'no evidence that Mr. Carpenter had any authority to represent Mr. Tilton.' This was a point well taken—for not only did I have no 'authority' to represent Mr. Tilton, but I never did represent him in any action or transaction of mine at any time or in any way whatever either to Mr. Schultz or any other man. Mr. Schultz, you will remember, was cross-examined sharply on this point, and became much confused, and there the prosecution left it. I could have helped Mr. Schultz's memory both as to dates and facts. His partner, Mr. Southwick, made no reference to me whatever though both Mr. Porter and Mr. Evarts quoted him as one of the men from whom 'Carpenter,' they said, 'tried to raise money for Tilton'—a statement absolutely untrue and unsupported by a word of proof. I do not know Mr. Southwick by sight even, and never spoke to him in my life. As is well known, in 'rebuttal' a witness is limited to the points already brought out, and cannot give evidence as in the examination in chief. My evidence was not needed in rebuttal, and as other evidence of mine at that point in the trial could not be offered, I was not called. In brief, I was kept back for 'rebuttal.' The defense abandoned those points requiring rebuttal from me, and I was not called."

After referring to other matters already published, Mr. Carpenter turned directly to his acquaintance with Mr. Beecher, and, in positive contradiction of the latter's statement that he first knew Frank Carpenter in connection with the scandal, said he first became acquainted with Mr. Beecher in 1855 or 1856, and painted his portrait at Beecher's own house in 1858. The statement next recited how Mr. Carpenter first became connected with the scandal, and Dr. Storrs' opinion of the Woodhull story; also the revelation made to that clergyman in this matter. Mrs. Tilton's letter to Dr. Storrs, written at the request of her husband, Mr. Carpenter said, was indited and sent in lieu of her own appearance at the interview which had been arranged for by Dr. Storrs. When this letter was read to Dr. Storrs, he said:

"'Mr. Tilton, excuse me, but is that the whole truth?' Mr. Tilton replied that it was not, that it was an understatement; but he could answer no questions. Mr. Tilton read to Dr. Storrs and myself a part, and a part only, of Mr. Beecher's 'letter of contrition.' I recollect distinctly the sentence, 'she is guiltless; sinned against.' Before leaving Dr. Storrs, Tilton stated positively to us that Mr. Beecher had confessed adultery to him and to Mr. Moulton in connection with one of Mr. Bowen's charges, and that Mr. Beecher at the same time named the woman, which name, however, Mr. Tilton did not disclose. In a subsequent interview between Dr. Storrs and myself Dr. Storrs asked me what was my belief concerning Mr. Beecher's offense, which was evidently concealed under Mrs. Tilton's language. Neither of us believed that the charge was 'improper proposals,' for we had both heard from Mr. Tilton's lips that that was not the whole truth."

The statement then recites the origin of what is known as the true story and Dr. Storrs' advice to Tilton—to put the facts and documents in his possession in historical form for his own protection. Mr. Carpenter next detailed the particulars of the newspaper project to save Mr. Beecher from the impending investigation which Mr. Beecher was to escape by becoming the editor of the new Republican journal which it was intended should be placed under his control. Mr. Beecher, according to Mr. Carpenter, was wonderfully taken with the plan, as he felt that his work as a minister was ended, and theology had to be reconstructed, he felt. Mr. Moulton did not favor the scheme, and he was averse to Mr. Beecher's leaving his pulpit. In relation to Mr. Beecher's admissions to Mr. Carpenter, touching the scandal, the latter said he was prepared to support his assertions by affidavit at any time. Finally, with regard to the newspaper project, the latest statement declares that Mr. Beecher abandoned it because as he said himself, his leaving the pulpit would be attributed to the Mrs. Woodhull's publication of the scandal. As to Oliver Johnson's position in the case, Mr. Carpenter asserted that the former admitted Mr. Beecher's adulteries to him as recently as April 3, 1874, in the office of the *Christian Union*, and that Henry M. Cleveland, on the 17th of March, same year, said that Oliver Johnson told him that "Beecher had slipped his cable, and must be protected." The statement then told how Mr. Beecher declined to see Dr. Storrs about the scandal; how Mr. Bowen had refused to retract his charges against Mr. Beecher, the latter's desire to punish the former; Bowen's readiness to meet Beecher, and Mr. Cleveland's remarkable contradiction of his remarks before the trial by his testimony on the stand. Mr. Carpenter offered corroborative proof of the "afghan meeting" of June 2, 1874, and he also declared that Mr. Beecher offered afterward to share his fame and fortune, and everything with Theodore Tilton, if he would stand by him and publicly disavow Mrs. Woodhull. Nothing grew out of this, and the next important feature of the new statement was Mrs. Tilton's asknowledgment to Mr. Carpenter of her guilt. It occurred one evening in her own house, after the death of Charles Sumner, when she received Mr. Carpenter, and with much distress related to him how Mrs. Ovington had told her that a Brooklyn lady had said that Mrs. Tilton was not a fit person for her to receive into her house. After further conversation Mrs. Tilton broke down and said: "Oh, Mr. Carpenter, I have committed the unpardonable sin. There is no hope for me." She further declared that the written statement made to him and to Dr. Storrs was a lie. The remainder of Mr. Carpenter's latest statement referred cursorily to Mr. Bowen's knowledge of the facts and his silence.

MR. BEACH'S SPEECH.

From the Brooklyn Union, a strong supporter of Beecher and Plymouth Church.

The beginning of the end of the great trial has come, as far as the efforts of both sides to present their respective cases to the court and jury are concerned. If Mr. Beach keeps his promise and closes to-morrow evening, there only remain the requests to charge, and the address of the Chief Justice, which would no doubt send the jury out on Saturday night.

Those who expected a magnificent effort of oratory from Mr. Beach will not be disappointed. His opening of the closing address yesterday was all that his most ardent admirers could wish. He was vehement and denunciatory, without being theatrical or vituperative; he was incisive, without becoming wearisome by critical analysis; he was pungent and sarcastic, without being abusive or vindictive. In a word, he was in strong contrast to the two great advocates who preceded him, without the defects of either, and in full possession of the strong faculties of both. In contrasting the oratorical styles of Mr. Porter and Mr. Evarts we have had occasion to point out some defects in the former which mar in a great degree his strength as an advocate. It is, that, going into a case from conviction, he conceives a just indignation against all opposed to him, is carried away by his feelings, and falls into the mistake of believing that the jury and his hearers are in sympathy with him beforehand. This mistaken assumption robs his oratory of the cold logic of argument necessary to convince an impartial jury, more especially when an advocate is to follow who is likely to open all the floodgates of feeling on the other side. As we said then, Mr. Porter's presence in the case was a better argument for the innocence of Mr. Beecher than his somewhat irrational—we must be pardoned for using the word—abuse of Theodore Tilton. Mr. Evarts, on the other hand, was to the last degree analytical, and in this he became absolutely wearisome. It is out of all reason to suppose that there is one juryman in the box who could remember a tithe of the strong points he made. Was it his intention to lose them on the broad prairie of his argument?

But Mr. Beach, while rising at times to magnificent diatribes, infinitely more dignified than the fist-shaking apostrophes of the ex-Judge of the Court of Appeals, lopped off with a few sweeps the irrelevant matter, and from the tangled mass laid bare with masterly hand the only real issue which the jury have to try. We scarcely believe that either side would descend to the meanness, not to say criminality, of filling the court with professional *claqueurs*, but we do know that there were occasions yesterday during the speech of Mr. Beach when most people would be moved to a spontaneous outburst of applause. Nothing could be more superb than the manner in which the distinguished advocate stripped the issue of its deftly-laid incumbrances, eloquent and analytic at once. At one sweep of his arm he put out of sight the testimony of scores of witnesses when he granted that Theodore Tilton may have been all that he was painted—cruel at home, moody, false, and even afterward an adulterer—but insisted that though this was favorable to what he called the "designs of Henry Ward Beecher," it gave the latter no license to put that household to shame, nor immunity from the consequences of his act. Later on another magnificent concession blotted out the testimony of a great many who were considered highly important witnesses for the defense. The advocate did not believe that a heart beat in a man's breast which did not bound to action at the seduction of a wife. It was against the Divine law, but nowhere was the opposite condition found but in the lowest strata of society. He granted that whenever Theodore Tilton had the safe opportunity of having "a slash" at Beecher in those long years without involving his own family, he was irresistibly impelled to avail himself of it, but his action toward the "disgraced Pastor" was, on the whole, that of a man whose soul was filled with charity. Thus the evidence of those who testified that Tilton was at intervals speaking and publishing against Beecher, and the theory of the defense on this point, were rendered almost nugatory.

This gives us the key to Mr. Beach's argument, and shows how it is possible for him to conclude by to-morrow night. It is perfectly evident that he means to confine himself to the testimony of Mr. and Mrs. Moulton, Mr. Richards, and Mr. Beecher's letters, upon which to rest his case. Yesterday he foreshadowed that the desertion of her home by Mrs. Tilton will be made the cardinal cause of the present issue, and in this connection we have reason to believe that one lady member, at least, and several of the brethren of Plymouth Church will come in for a large share of Mr. Beach's denunciation, as being instrumental in breaking up Tilton's home, and forcing him to fight!

THE WISHART HABEAS CORPUS.

HOW THE BOGUS PHILADELPHIA INSURANCE COMPANIES INCREASED THEIR CAPITAL —A CONFLICT OF TESTIMONY—A MEMBER OF A BIBLE-CLASS AND OFFICER OF ONE OF THE COMPANIES TRANSACTS HIS BUSINESS IN A DISREPUTABLE HOUSE.

The taking of testimony in the extradition case of Robert H. Wishart, charged in Philadelphia with forgery, was resumed yesterday before Chief Justice Davis, in the Court of Oyer and Terminer.

Detective Sillick swore that in 1874 he watched the office of Hilt, alias Batcheler, and finally upon searching it found railroad and United States bonds, some of which were in a drawer and some under a carpet. He also went with other officers to Philadelphia after Hilt, and found him in bed and arrested him, but was not permitted to take him away, he being held to bail by a United States Commissioner in Philadelphia.

Officer Thomas Doyle testified that he was in company with the preceding witness in making the search, and found between $30,000 and $40,000 in United States and railroad bonds and a plate for printing fifty-cent currency; had a detail to watch Hilt as J. E. Batcheler; accompanied the other officers to Philadelphia to effect the arrest of Hilt, alias Batcheler.

Commissioner Shields testified that George F. Hilt, alias Batcheler, signed a bond under an indictment against G. F. Hilt as George F. Hilt and principal in such indictment.

Detective Dusenberry testified that he knew both Wishart and Hilt, and that he was detailed with other officers in 1874 to search the office of J. E. Batcheler & Co., No. 48 New street, and on that occasion Hilt represented himself as Batcheler, and witness identified him as a man he had often seen in Wall street in the company of a noted forger now in State Prison.

The janitor of the building No. 48 New street, in which Hilt, alias Batcheler had his office, testified to his [Hilt] having applied to him to rent the office; that he then gave his name as Batcheler; that he was unable to give any reference, and this was compromised by the payment of three months' rent in advance.

Capt. Irving, chief of the Head-quarter detective force, and Superintendant Walling were also sworn as witnesses, and both testified that they knew Hilt to be the same person who went under the name of Batcheler, and had an office at No. 48 New street, where the forged bonds referred to by the preceding witnesses had been found.

On the opposite side of the question of identity Horace Prindle, formerly Vice President of the defunct People's Insurance Company, of Philadelphia, was sworn. He testified that he knew Wishart by the name of Batcheler. On cross-examination he further testified that he borrowed from James A. Gaw, of Philadelphia, a large amount of bonds, over $20,000 of which were those of the United States, for the purpose of exhibiting them as assets of the company when the Insurance Examiner of the State called to make an examination of the affairs of the company; he borrowed these bonds for one day, and paid, as consideration therefor, $5,000 or $6,000; he had been referred to Gaw by George E. Heimbold, who purported to be a broker and published an insurance journal; he did not know the bonds were raised; the President of witness' company was D. Rodney King, and the loan was made under his direction.

Several telegraph messenger boys testified that they had been frequently to the office of Batcheler & Co., No. 48 New street, and never saw any one but Wishart there, and one of them on one occasion heard some one in the office address him as Batch. Louis Wishart, who had been a clerk in the Safeguard Insurance Company, of which Hilt was Secretary, testified that he came to New-York on one occasion with Hilt, and met Wishart, who was introduced to him as Batcheler, and answered to that name; he also saw him several times since, and always addressed him as Batcheler. On cross-examination he said he first made the acquaintance of Hilt by both being members of a Bible-class in one of the old churches of Philadelphia, and soon after Hilt employed him for the company.

George F. Hilt, the former Secretary of the Safeguard Insurance Company, testified that Wishart was first introduced to him as George F. Hilt, (his own name,) and that he subsequently knew him as Batcheler; that he [Hilt] never had an office in New street, and never went by the name of Batcheler. On cross-examination he stated that $100,000 of the capital of the Safeguard Insurance Company was paid up, but could only give items of assets for $82,000; he had stock to the amount of $10,000 in the company; he borrowed United States stocks to the amount of $100,000 from Gaw to increase the capital of the company, although at the time of such loan the assets of the company were a fraction more than its liabilities; did not consult the officers of the company about the loan, but told them of it subsequently; agreed to pay Gaw 6 per cent. per annum for the loan, Gaw retaining the coupons; was to give no security for the bonds, but they were to be subject to the control of Gaw, in the hands of a third party; when he got possession of them he retained them, but Gaw subsequently borrowed $50,000 of the amount to lend to Prindle, of the People's Company, for a day; Gaw refused to give these back, and Wishart and two men, named Davis and Stevens, came to him and said he could get them back by paying $7,000, which he did, and got them; subsequently Wishart agreed to furnish stock of the Chicago and North-western Railroad Company in substitution of Gaw's bonds; the negotiation about these, as well as the transfer of the Gaw bonds, were all made in a house of ill-fame in which one of the parties to the transactions, named Stevens, lived or frequented; did not know that the bonds were forged or altered until they were taken possession of by the United States authorities. The further hearing was adjourned to Thursday next.

ANOTHER ARREST IN CONNECTION WITH THE BOND FRAUDS.

PHILADELPHIA, June 11.—This morning Joseph Calvert, alias "Button Joe," was arrested upon the charge of being interested in the negotiation of the United States bonds which were stolen in the West. The arrest is based upon an affidavit of Dr. Melville, one of the accused parties in the Hilt cases, who alleges that he received from Calvert two registered bonds of the United States—one for $5,000 and the other for $10,000—which he returned to Calvert. It is alleged these two bonds are the fruits of a robbery committed in Ohio, and were originally in the name of a Mrs. Scott.

THE SCHUTE MYSTERY.

MR. SCHUTE STILL IN A PRECARIOUS CONDITION—HIS ASSASSIN NOT YET IN CUSTODY—BROOKLYN CITIZENS ASKING FOR PROTECTION.

The excitement caused in Brooklyn by the shooting of Mr. Aaron Schute by a burglar whom he detected and attacked while attempting to rob his house, is still most intense. On Thursday night the citizens of that district held a meeting and passed resolutions asking for better Police protection. Mr. Schute is still in a precarious condition, but every day adds to his chance of recovery. The Police made two arrests yesterday, but in both instances the men were discharged after a brief examination. Ten mounted Police are still engaged in scouring the woods on Long Island in the direction which Mr. Schute's assassin is supposed to have taken.

Late on Thursday evening a party of citizens of the village of Jamaica arrested in Fleet's Woods a man who, from his appearance, they were led to believe was the burglar who shot Mr. Schute. The man was barefooted and coatless and his shirt bosom had blood stains upon it. After chasing the man for nearly an hour he took refuge in the thick underbrush and was finally captured. He was brought before the colored man, Samuel Johnson, and John Sears, who had seen the supposed burglar on Wednesday morning while he was crossing the fields at White Pot Road. They were unable to identify him and he was released. A man, answering somewhat the description of the assassin, was found yesterday morning in the Big Woods, opposite Calvary Cemetery, but for want of evidence he was discharged. Mr. Van Sicklen, of Glen Cove, denies the report that a man stopped at his house and was given a shirt.

CITY AND SUBURBAN NEWS.

NEW-YORK.

Mr. George Rignold, Mr. Frederic Maccabe, and Mr. Howard Paul sail to-day for England in the steam-ship Adriatic.

The suspension of the firm of Messrs. Strang & Holland Bros., wool dealers, No. 142 Duane street, was announced yesterday.

George Brockner, a German laborer, was suffocated in a sewer in the yard of No. 25 Bedford street. Coroner Eickhoff will hold an inquest.

The Eighteenth Assembly District Republican Association held its regular monthly meeting last evening, and elected a large number of new members.

The Board of Estimate and Apportionment will meet this morning to take action upon a resolution authorizing the issue of $100,000 of Croton main stock.

Henry Folmer, of No. 165 Hester street, fell through the hatchway of No. 150 West street, where he was employed, yesterday evening, and was fatally injured.

Last night Rachel Altman, aged twelve years, of No. 182 Seventh street, was knocked down and run over by a team of horses and a stone truck near her residence, and badly injured.

Charles Gier, aged fourteen, of Sixty-fourth street and Tenth avenue, was crushed to death between two cars on the Hudson River Railroad track, at Sixty-fourth street, yesterday evening.

An unknown colored man, about thirty years old, entered the Colored Home in East Sixty-fifth street, yesterday evening, and dropped dead in the dormitory of the institution.

Richard Melley, aged forty, and living at No. 350 East Twelfth street, yesterday fell from a ladder at the corner of Maiden lane and Pearl street and sustained severe injuries.

William Polwarth, an employe of the New-York and New-Haven Railroad, who was run over by a freight train in Centre street, died in Bellevue Hospital yesterday morning.

Frank Marsh, aged thirty, and residing in Brooklyn, fell overboard yesterday at the foot of Montgomery street, East River, while intoxicated, and received a severe scalp wound.

A report was received at the Coroners' office yesterday that Alexander McKay, of No. 55 Avenue C, had died at his residence from the effects of a beating received at the hands of unknown persons on Decoration Day.

The anniversary exercises of the Phi Beta Kappa Society of Columbia College will be held in the chapel of the college on Tuesday evening at 8 o'clock. The oration will be delivered by Rev. Dr. E. A. Washburn.

Over 1,500 of the female teachers in the grammar and public primary schools of New-York will go on a musical and aquatic matinée excursion up the Hudson, to Iona Island, to-day, by the steamer Plymouth Rock.

Thomas Phelan, aged eighteen, of Forty-sixth street, between Second and Third avenues, while endeavoring to get on one of the Yorkville Ice Company's carts last night, was run over and almost instantly killed.

At a special meeting of the New-York Historical Society, to be held at the Library, Second avenue, corner of Eleventh street, on Tuesday evening next at 8 o'clock, Mr. James. W. Gerard will read a paper on "The Old Stadt Huys of New-Amsterdam."

The spelling-matches of a few weeks since have been superseded by pronouncing matches. The first match took place last night at Association Hall, and was participated in by twenty-six competitors. The prizes were won by Messrs. John W. Baird, H. Edwards Ficken, and W. H. P. Phyffe.

The next Church Conference will be held on Monday next at 2:30 P. M., in the chapel of the Church of the Incarnation, corner of Madison avenue and Thirty-fifth street. Rev. Dr. N. H. Schenck will read a paper on "Handel and the Oratorio; a plea for the oratorio as a substitute for the opera."

Mayor Wickham still declines to sign individual warrants for the salaries of the members of the Fire Department, and the men, therefore, remain unpaid for the month of May. All the warrants could be signed in about one hour; but the firemen have already been kept eleven days out of their pay.

The formal report of the examination of the seized crapes of Messrs. P. Schuloff & Co. has not yet been handed to the Collector. All goods found correct at the reappraisement will be returned to the owner to-day, and those the value of which was found to exceed the amount stated in the invoices will be detained for further action.

A reception of the Young Men's Christian Association takes place at Association Hall on Monday evening at 8 o'clock. The programme includes select readings by Fanny Foster, of New-York, and Prof. E. H. Barlow, of Lafayette College, Easton, Penn., and attractive musical selections by Mr. Carl Lanzer on the violin, and Prof. Robert Elder on the organ.

Mr. P. G. Duffy, whose nomination for the Police Justiceship was confirmed by the Democratic majority in the Board of Aldermen, the Republican members having been debarred from the right of voting on his nomination, received a certificate of his appointment from Mayor Wickham yesterday. He intends, it is said, to familiarize himself with the duties of his position before taking his seat on the bench.

Yesterday, being the feast of St. Barnabas, set apart as the anniversary of the Sunday-schools of Trinity Church, 300 children carrying banners marched in procession from the school building to the church. The procession entered singing "Brightly Gleams our Banner," and with the clergy, including Rev. Morgan Dix, D. D., Rev. Dr. Ogilby, Rev. H. B. Hitchings, and Rev. H. C. Rush, passed up the central aisle. The services consisted of evening prayer, the singing of carols, and an address by Rev. Dr. Dix.

A meeting of the Working Men's Association of the Twenty-second Ward was held last evening at Father Mathew T. A. B. Society's Hall, Forty-third street, between Eighth and Ninth avenues. Thomas Keith, President of the association, occupied the chair. Resolutions were passed protesting against the reduction of the wages of public laborers from $2 to $1 60 per day. Brief addresses were delivered by J. MacNally, T. Keith, D. Kronberg, and James O'Reilly, denouncing the action of capitalists in reducing the wages of their employes, and advocating the union of the working classes, and the organization of trades-unions, to resist such action.

BROOKLYN.

The off platoon of the Police was inspected yesterday morning by Inspector Waddy at Fort Greene.

It is in contemplation by the Long Island Historical Society to erect a new building at the corner of Clinton and Pierrepont streets.

The annual festival of the Typo Social Club takes place to-day at Schuetzen Park. The organization is composed of prominent printers of New-York and Brooklyn.

A petition to the Board of Education has been presented by the colored residents of the Fourth Ward for the re-establishment of a school for colored children.

Patrick Cranley, a vagrant, was committed by Justice Delmar yesterday on a charge of stealing $100 worth of jewelry from the house of Mrs. Nugent in Thirty-eighth street, near Third avenue.

Christian Wolf, of No. 160 Nassau street, reported to the Police yesterday that about 3 A. M., while slightly under the influence of liquor, he was knocked down in Bridge street and robbed of a silver watch.

Mr. William H. Muldoon, formerly connected with the editorial staff of the *Eagle*, was yesterday appointed Property Clerk, in place of Mr. Charles C. Kraushaar, resigned. The appointment gives general satisfaction.

The verdict of the Coroner's jury in the inquest into the cause of the death of William Dolan, is to the effect that he died from pneumonia, induced by a stab wound inflicted by Peter Boyd. Boyd was committed to await the action of the Grand Jury.

Some time since John Meyers, of No. 70 Rapelyea street, was arrested on a charge of stabbing John Quigley. The accused gave bail, but when the case was called did not appear for trial. Yesterday he was arrested by the Police in the house of a friend in Gowanus.

It has been definitely ascertained by means of a post-mortem examination that Martin Lee, late of No. 31 Diamond street, did not die from the effects of the bite of a dog, but from disease of the heart. The body was exhumed for the purpose of deciding the matter.

Michael Caromonte, who was arrested and held for several months on a charge of being implicated in the Torrino murder, was yesterday held to await the action of the Grand Jury, on a charge of stabbing Herman Pardee in Hamilton avenue, on the night of the 17th of May.

A meeting of the Charity Commissioners was held yesterday for the purpose of conferring with the representatives of the private charitable institutions to which the children in the Kings County Nursery are to be transferred. No action was taken, owing to the absence of the representatives of the private institutions.

The lovers of miniature yachting and croquet, which have become the chief attractions of Prospect Park on Saturdays, can congratulate themselves on a season of unusual enjoyment in these recreations, the most ample arrangements for accommodating all classes having been made by Chief Clerk Norman Jones.

LONG ISLAND.

Sixty-eight applications to sell liquor in Newtown have been granted. The board propose to institute suits against unlicensed liquor dealers.

The contractor for the extension of Grand street, Newtown, will have completed the grading this month. The improvement will be consummated before the opening of the Winter.

James McManus has been appointed Sergeant of the Second Precinct Police at Hunters' Point, vice Felix Smith, who had resigned and accepted the position of acting Sergeant.

A young trotting mare, known in the Turf Register as Volunteer Maid, owned by Simon R. Bowne, of Flushing, and valued at $12,000, was found in the pasture lot yesterday morning with one of her fore legs broken and fractured so badly that she was shot.

Negotiations are now pending looking to the speedy reopening of the Hempstead branch of the Southern Railroad, running from Valley Stream to the village of Hempstead, and connecting at Valley Stream with the Rockaway branch of the Southern Road.

STATEN ISLAND.

There was a spirited sale of real estate yesterday on Vanderbilt avenue, Clifton, near the ferry landing. D. T. Cornell & Co. sold over fifty lots and plots, the highest bids ranging from $250 to $550, and the sale realizing $28,000.

The committee appointed by the Methodist Church congregation in Westfield, to look after the dram-shops in that town, say that the licenses which have been granted are contrary to the law in not having the names of twenty freeholders attached to the applications, and that hereafter, if all applications are not made out in strict accordance with the law, the Board of Excise will be held accountable and subject to prosecution.

NEW-JERSEY.

Policeman Reupp, who was shot by a rough on the 6th inst. at Trenton, is in a dying condition and will probably not live another day.

Michael Brunner was yesterday committed to the county jail from Jersey City for stabbing a boy named Frank Keffer in the leg.

John Gordon, foreman of the book-bindery of Murphy & Bechtel, in Trenton, N. J., committed suicide by drowning on Thursday. He had been on a three weeks' spree.

Ira Hoag, the driver of a horse car on the Erie street line, Jersey City, was fined $5 yesterday for obstructing a cross-walk, by allowing his car to remain on it longer than the ordinance allows.

John Dunn, a brakeman, was thrown from a Pennsylvania Railroad train, yesterday morning, at the Washington street crossing in Jersey City, and instantly killed. Deceased was a married man, and resided at Millstone. The body was taken to the Morgue.

Late on Thursday night, John H. Meehan was detected in the act of robbing a drunken man lying in Grand street, Jersey City. In the First District Court, yesterday morning, the victim, Michael Kelly, made a complaint, and Meehan was committed for trial.

Exceedingly atttractive and interesting exercises will be given to-morrow at the Sunday-school of the Roseville M. E. Church, Newark, it being the annual floral and children's day. The exercises will be under the direction of Mr. J. I. Dashiell, and the music by Mr. James A. Smith, formerly of New-York.

Some time since Coroner John Lynch and ex-Coroner Mahan, both of Hudson County, became involved in a fight in a Jersey City saloon, and were arrested. They were tried and convicted, and yesterday Judge Hoffman sentenced them to thirty days' imprisonment in the County Jail, and pay a fine of $50 each with costs of court. The politicians were astonished and very much chagrined at the sentence.

OF ALL VARIETIES AND OF MATCHLESS EXCELLENCE.—We refer to KNOX'S stock of SUMMER HATS for gentlemen. His Alaska cassimeres are of conspicuous elegance. Make your purchases at No. 212 Broadway, or in the Fifth Avenue Hotel.—*Advertisement.*

SUNDAY-SCHOOLS and other excursionists will find PYLE'S LEMON SUGAR just the thing for lemonade.—*Advertisement.*

PASSENGERS ARRIVED.

In steam-ship Main, from Bremen.—S. Hertzig, L. Dreyer, Emil Fink, Miss Cornelia Rehfuss, F. Schaeffer, George Rodiman, N. Iless, John Hotzinger, S. T. Austin and family, G. Grunwald, Miss Emelie Dieckmann, John, Niederwieser, Carl Steurer, William B. Rockenhausens Mrs. Louise Stedel, C. Bruder and family, Mis. Margaret Conrad, Miss Maria Hipsch, Michael Busch. mann, Philip Steneragel, Felix Lex, Joseph Lex, Elezear Jorger, George Wegmann, J. J. Schneider, William Viemeister, George Viemeister, M. Schmidt, Moses Frankel, Mrs. R. Aunn Baird, Carl Schreiber, Miss Elise Loffler, Miss Lela Munich, Miss Clara Goldschmidt, Mrs. M. Seelenaper, Mrs. A. Allen, Mrs. J. Graham, Mrs. William Thompson, Mrs. Joseph Wolff, Mrs. J. Schurman.

In steam-ship Greece, from London.—Miss Mary Gordon, Mr. and Mrs. Patterson, child and nurse; Miss Faith Dyer, W. W. Beal, Mrs. Lewis and two children, M